Brown & Williamson vs. V" vad Volume 8 Multi-Page™

Jen 58.B(E)(1)(F)

1166

JEFFERSON CIRCUIT COURT

DIVISION NINE

BROWN & WILLIAMSON :

TOBACCO CORPORATION: :

----- ·

Plaintiff,

VS.

: Case No. 95-CI-06560

JEFFREY S. WIGAND :

Defendant.

The videotaped deposition of
Jeffrey S. Wigand, the Defendant herein,
was taken before Special Commissioner
Richard A. Revell, and reported by Thomas
F. Runfola, a Registered Professional
Reporter, pursuant to notice, at the
offices of Middleton & Reutlinger, 2500
Brown & Williamson Tower, Louisville,
Kentucky, on Thursday,
July 18, 1996, at 1:14 o'clock p.m.

VOLUME 6

- - - - **-**

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Brokes W WEGTEGTED BEAUNNESOTA TOBAGGE LITTIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER. 50. 70.

1	apprinances	Page 167	Pago .iú
ì	middleton & Reutlinger] 1	1 1 # 5 5 #
1	101 South Fourth Avenue 250: Brown & Milliamen Youer	1	2 STANINATION
•	Louisville, Resturry +0702	1) Page
•	By Mr. James E. Milliman.	١,	4 By Mr. Sheffler 1)70
5	4.14	Ì,	3
6	Ring & Speiding	1	
,	191 Perchisee Street Atlanta, Georgia 30303	•	•
	my Mr. Gordon A. amith and Mr. William C. Managicum, III.	,	,
•		•	1
•	4.nd	,	,
0	Chadhourno & Peres 30 Rockefeller Plasa	1,0	16
	Man tole, Man tark 19812	†	
	by Mr. David L. Wallage, 486 Mr. Brugs G. Shoffine	Į.	11
	424	12	12
		13	13
. •	Factor & O'Commeil Suite 1540	[se	16
1 5	Providian Center 400 Hopt Market Street	15	15
1 6	Louisville, Resturny 40202 By Mg. Richael J. O'Connell,	16	16
,			
	On mehalf of the Plaimtiff.	17	
	Mobley, Johnson & Egyla Sulte 480	10	16
	710 Mest Main Street	19	19
20	toutsvills, Sektuczy 40202 By Mr. Joseph V. Mabley.	[20	30
ı.	454	zı.	z t
22		22	25
13		į	
24		23	
		21	21
		Page 1168	Page 1170
ı	Shee i Gardner 1400 maseachusetts Avenus, 4.4	. ا	I JEFFREY S. WIGAND,
2	Haunington, DC 20034	١,	2 having been previously sworn, as hereinafter
3	By Mr. John D. Algory and Ms. Leure S. Morthelmor		3 certified, deposes and says as follows?
	on mehalf of the Delendant.	-	•
,		11	4 EXAMINATION
	ALMO PRESENT	5	5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going on the
•	U.S. Department of Justice		6 record. The time is approximately 1:14.
Ť	Criminal Division, Fraud Section 1400 New York Avenue, N.W.	1 7	7 BY MR. SHEFFLER:
٠	Washington, DC 20035		8 Q. Mr. Wigand, did you meet or did
•	by No. Jimmye 2 Marres	I -	9 you see Mr. Motley during the lunch hour?
ė.	and	1	•
1	Brown & Williamson Topacco Cosporation	10	10 A. No, I did not.
	2400 Weaver Read		11 Q. Did you see anyone who works
12	P.O Box 1056 Macon, Georgia 31298	11	12 with Mr. Motley during the lunch hour?
:3	by Scott Appleton, Ph.D.	İıs	13 A. Did I see anybody that works
1 4		1	14 with Mr. Motley? What do you mean by
15		I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16		Į.	15 anybody?
		10	16 Q. Any person.
11		11	17 A. You mean that's an employee of
		111	18 Mr. Motley?
Ţ			19 Q. Did you see any person who works
			•
. 7		20	20 with or is associated with Mr. Motley
1 9			
1.9 20 21			21 during lunch?
20 21 22		1 2:	21 during lunch? 22 A. Did I see, yes.
18 20 21 22 23		· 2:	-

Page 1167 - Page 1170

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

A01mmc 9	
1	Page 1171 Page 117
1 Q Anyone else?	1 your Honor, was that there was research
2 A. And I believe I saw Cathy	2 reports from England that were, from
3 McGreggor.	3 Southampton that were edited or somehow
4 Q. Did you discuss with them your	4 THE COURT: He mentioned other
5 testimony in this case?	5 places he got reports from too, and I'm
6 A. No, I did not.	6 asking if your question is confined just
7 Q Did you discuss with them	7 to Southampton.
8 anything about this case?	8 MR SHEFFLER: I'm asking about
9 A. No, I did not.	9 research, scientific research reports,
10 Q. Sir, we have talked before the	10 judge, from anywhere.
11 lunch break about the research that was	11 THE COURT: From anywhere.
12 conducted at FRC and that was abstracted	12 Q. Now, is it your contention, sir,
13 and sent to you during the bi-annual	13 that Mr. Wells had scientific reports of
14 reports; do you recall that?	14 research, and again, scientific reports of
15 A. Yes, I do.	15 research is similar to the reports of
16 Q. And we also talked about the	16 research you were getting from the U.K.,
17 research that you could call someone at	17 correct?
18 the U.K. and have sent to you; do you	18 A. But much more extensive, yes.
19 recall that?	19 Q. Okay. You got summaries from
20 A. I recall that.	20 the U.K. and you could, of course, get the
21 Q. Now, sir, it is not true, is it,	21 data from the U.K. as we talked about
22 that Kendrick Wells edited, or anyone at	12 before?
23 the Legal Department of B & W edited,	23 A. Yeah, I could get the data from
24 scientific research. That is not true, is	24 the U.K. but through different sources,
	Page 1172 Page 117-
1 it, sir?	i yes.
2 A. That is true.	2 Q. But you could get it delivered
3 Q. Sir, can you name a single	3 to you directly at B & W?
report for me that Kendrick Wells edited?	4 A. I couldn't get all data
5 A single scientific research study report.	5 delivered to me at B & W.
6 A. I can give you the Kendrick	6 Q. Name the study that you could
7 the editing of the Vancouver meetings. I	7 not get delivered to you at B & W.
8 would consider that a scientific meeting.	8 A. I cannot recall a specific study
9 O. Sir, I didn't ask about	9 but it was generally in the area of
10 meetings. My question was directed to	10 nicotine, smoking and health issues, that
11 reports, scientific reports of research.	11 were considered contentions.
12 Do you know what a scientific report of	12 O. Sir, were those reports included
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·
13 research is?	13 in the FRC in summary fashion?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14 A. Some of those — yes, those
5 Q. It's the type of thing that you	15 reports some of those reports were
6 got from the FRC on a regular and	16 enclosed in the FRC general reports; that
7 continual basis; is it not?	17 is the summaries, yes.
8 A. The abstracts and general	18 Q. Do you have them in front of
9 summaries.	19 you, sir? Can you show us an example of a
20 Q. Right,	20 report where you tried to get the original
THE COURT: Can we clarify? Are	21 report and you were foreclosed from doing
22 you speaking just from England or from any	22 50?
23 source? Because I think he has named	23 A. Show in here a specific report?
MR. SHEFFLER: The allegation.	24 Q. Look, your claim is that there

Page 1171 - Page 1174

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

		Page 1175			Page 117
i were reports that you	called up and asked		1	THE WITNESS: The specific	
2 for and you didn't get		}	2	content of the document, no, sir, but what	
3 it. That's your claim,		-		I can give you is the general area today.	
4 MR. ALDOCK: O				I mean, I just can't recall every specific	
5 not his claim.	-, -,			document. I can tell you that the	
6 THE WITNESS: I	et me clarify			documents and the information dealt with	
7 This morning, I believ	•			information that either I had been told	
8 confusion, and I want		1		specifically I could not have by	
9 I am not going to retra		-		Mr. Sandefur, or it was established by	
10 clarify what the policy	-		_	policy and that I had to go to Mr. Heard	
is system was, and really	•			to get it.	
12 MR SHEFFLER:			12		
13 really, your Honor, the				about policy,	
14 THE COURT: Rig				Q. Now, it's Mr. Sandefur, too.	
15 responsive and he is n		I		Look, you have made some serious	
6 policy. He is asking)	_	I .		allegations as the judge has said. These	
ie poncy. He is asking) ie you name a document		I .		allegations, sir, are fairly specific.	
8 edited or whatever wo				You said in one respect, that there were	
	•			scientific studies that were edited.	
19 by Kendrick Wells, co		1		A. Yes.	
20 Williamson in Louisv	-	1		Q. And you have also said that all	
THE WITNESS: I	•	1		-	
22 cannot name a docum		II		of the scientific studies were reported in summary fashion.	
23 relating to smoking an 24 nicotine and addiction				·	
* nicoune and addiction	<u> </u>			A. In a summary fashion, yes.	
		Page 1176			Page 117
1 Q. Well, sir, certain	•			Q. And all we have asked you to do	
2 be a document, a study	•	ļ	2	today is point out for us what is the	
3 on nicotine or smoking			3	study?	
 reported in the FRCs th 	at you tried to get	1		A. I am unable to pull out of	
5 the data on and were r	-			this - out of the documents that you have	
6 you recall one of those	•	[6	given me any specific study.	
7 A. I can't recall off.	hand.		7	MR. ALDOCK: We don't have, your	
8 Q. Could you refree	•			Honor, all of these. They have them, they	
9 recollection by looking		İ		have them, we haven't had them. So	
0 reports that were given	to you? We have	1		for him to look at them all right now and	
it them here.				do it is a bit much. These are 40 pages	
12 THE COURT: Car	n we approach it	ľ	12	each whatever. We haven't had access to	
3 this way? Again, stop	me if you don't		13	these.	
4 like my questions.			14	He hasn't been able to refresh	
5 Apparently you	regard this as	1	15	his recollection prior to this deposition.	
6 significant because yo	•		16	He is saying he can't do it from the	
7 NBC, CBS, whatever.	<u>-</u> , ,	I		document as he sits there. But it's not	
8 THE WITNESS: Y	es, sir.	1	18	like we have had these and we have had	
9 THE COURT: So			19	access to them for the last week, and he	
20 significant?	, = : w			has had a chance to refresh himself. He	
THE WITNESS: Y	es, sir.	- (hasn't.	
	ould that not have	[22	THE COURT: I can appreciate	
made an impression in		II		that, but I also appreciate the	
··· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. ,			seriousness of the charge that he has	

Page 1175 - Page 1178

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Page 1179	1		Page 118
_		in his expertise.	
_		-	
	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	- 1	• • • • •	
•		•	
	L.		
- -		_	
	1 1	- · · · - · · - · · - · · · · · · · · ·	
•			
•	1		
	1		
•	1		
•	- 1	• •	
•	- 1		
Y: Y	1		
,	1		
- · ·	1		
	1	•	
• • • • • •	i i		
means Dr. Baker knew Dr. Heard was giving	24	policy and I knew	
Page 1180	Ţ		Page 118
•	1	THE COURT: No, not policy.	-
	2		
I mean, I don't want to be	١.	THE COURT: Policies aren't	
	3	THE COURT: FUHLES EVEN (
drawing conclusions, that's not my role.	1 -		
drawing conclusions, that's not my role. But I do think I have got to allow leeway	4	necessarily carried out. They are not	
But I do think I have got to allow leeway	4 5	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are	
But I do think I have got to allow leeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as	4 5	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically.	
But I do think I have got to allow leeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to.	4 5 6 7	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't	
But I do think I have got to allow leeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to. They have got to defend against	4 5 6 7 8	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't go to Dr. Baker and get what I needed	
But I do think I have got to allow leeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to. They have got to defend against a charge like that if they are not going	4 5 6 7 8 9	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't go to Dr. Baker and get what I needed sometimes. I knew —	
But I do think I have got to allow keeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to. They have got to defend against a charge like that if they are not going to admit that it happened, and I don't	4 5 6 7 8 9	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't go to Dr. Baker and get what I needed sometimes. I knew — Q. Okay, sir. How did you know	
But I do think I have got to allow leeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to. They have got to defend against a charge like that if they are not going to admit that it happened, and I don't think they are ready to admit that it	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't go to Dr. Baker and get what I needed sometimes. I knew — Q. Okay, sir. How did you know that? Did you ask Dr. Baker for something	
But I do think I have got to allow leeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to. They have got to defend against a charge like that if they are not going to admit that it happened, and I don't think they are ready to admit that it happened. They are denying it happened so	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't go to Dr. Baker and get what I needed sometimes. I knew — Q. Okay, sir. How did you know that? Did you ask Dr. Baker for something and he refused you?	
But I do think I have got to allow leeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to. They have got to defend against a charge like that if they are not going to admit that it happened, and I don't think they are ready to admit that it happened. They are denying it happened so they get to explore it. And a general	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't go to Dr. Baker and get what I needed sometimes. I knew — Q. Okay, sir. How did you know that? Did you ask Dr. Baker for something and he refused you? A. No, I knew I couldn't go there.	
But I do think I have got to allow leeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to. They have got to defend against a charge like that if they are not going to admit that it happened, and I don't think they are ready to admit that it happened. They are denying it happened so they get to explore it. And a general charge that things were done but I can't	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't go to Dr. Baker and get what I needed sometimes. I knew — Q. Okay, sir. How did you know that? Did you ask Dr. Baker for something and he refused you? A. No, I knew I couldn't go there. Q. How did you know without asking	
But I do think I have got to allow keeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to. They have got to defend against a charge like that if they are not going to admit that it happened, and I don't think they are ready to admit that it happened. They are denying it happened so they get to explore it. And a general charge that things were done but I can't tell you any specific document leaves	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't go to Dr. Baker and get what I needed sometimes. I knew — Q. Okay, sir. How did you know that? Did you ask Dr. Baker for something and he refused you? A. No, I knew I couldn't go there. Q. How did you know without asking him and having it refused?	
But I do think I have got to allow keeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to. They have got to defend against a charge like that if they are not going to admit that it happened, and I don't think they are ready to admit that it happened. They are denying it happened so they get to explore it. And a general charge that things were done but I can't tell you any specific document leaves Brown & Williamson in a hole in effect.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't go to Dr. Baker and get what I needed sometimes. I knew — Q. Okay, sir. How did you know that? Did you ask Dr. Baker for something and he refused you? A. No, I knew I couldn't go there. Q. How did you know without asking him and having it refused? A. Through Mr. Heard.	
But I do think I have got to allow leeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to. They have got to defend against a charge like that if they are not going to admit that it happened, and I don't think they are ready to admit that it happened. They are denying it happened so they get to explore it. And a general charge that things were done but I can't tell you any specific document leaves Brown & Williamson in a hole in effect. I think the witness must get	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't go to Dr. Baker and get what I needed sometimes. I knew — Q. Okay, sir. How did you know that? Did you ask Dr. Baker for something and he refused you? A. No, I knew I couldn't go there. Q. How did you know without asking him and having it refused? A. Through Mr. Heard. Q. Mr. Heard told you that	
But I do think I have got to allow leeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to. They have got to defend against a charge like that if they are not going to admit that it happened, and I don't think they are ready to admit that it happened. They are denying it happened so they get to explore it. And a general charge that things were done but I can't tell you any specific document leaves Brown & Williamson in a hole in effect. I think the witness must get more specific.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't go to Dr. Baker and get what I needed sometimes. I knew — Q. Okay, sir. How did you know that? Did you ask Dr. Baker for something and he refused you? A. No, I knew I couldn't go there. Q. How did you know without asking him and having it refused? A. Through Mr. Heard. Q. Mr. Heard told you that Dr. Baker would refuse you if you asked	
But I do think I have got to allow leeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to. They have got to defend against a charge like that if they are not going to admit that it happened, and I don't think they are ready to admit that it happened. They are denying it happened so they get to explore it. And a general charge that things were done but I can't tell you any specific document leaves Brown & Williamson in a hole in effect. I think the witness must get more specific. MR. ALDOCK: I think —	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't go to Dr. Baker and get what I needed sometimes. I knew — Q. Okay, sir. How did you know that? Did you ask Dr. Baker for something and he refused you? A. No, I knew I couldn't go there. Q. How did you know without asking him and having it refused? A. Through Mr. Heard. Q. Mr. Heard told you that Dr. Baker would refuse you if you asked him for a document?	
But I do think I have got to allow leeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to. They have got to defend against a charge like that if they are not going to admit that it happened, and I don't think they are ready to admit that it happened. They are denying it happened so they get to explore it. And a general charge that things were done but I can't tell you any specific document leaves Brown & Williamson in a hole in effect. I think the witness must get more specific. MR. ALDOCK: I think — THE COURT: This is not	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't go to Dr. Baker and get what I needed sometimes. I knew — Q. Okay, sir. How did you know that? Did you ask Dr. Baker for something and he refused you? A. No, I knew I couldn't go there. Q. How did you know without asking him and having it refused? A. Through Mr. Heard. Q. Mr. Heard told you that Dr. Baker would refuse you if you asked him for a document? A. Mr. Heard was clear on what	
But I do think I have got to allow leeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to. They have got to defend against a charge like that if they are not going to admit that it happened, and I don't think they are ready to admit that it happened. They are denying it happened so they get to explore it. And a general charge that things were done but I can't tell you any specific document leaves Brown & Williamson in a hole in effect. I think the witness must get more specific. MR. ALDOCK: I think — THE COURT: This is not something that he says was going on in	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't go to Dr. Baker and get what I needed sometimes. I knew — Q. Okay, sir. How did you know that? Did you ask Dr. Baker for something and he refused you? A. No, I knew I couldn't go there. Q. How did you know without asking him and having it refused? A. Through Mr. Heard. Q. Mr. Heard told you that Dr. Baker would refuse you if you asked him for a document? A. Mr. Heard was clear on what documents I could have and not have in the	
But I do think I have got to allow leeway to Brown & Williamson to explore this as far as they want to. They have got to defend against a charge like that if they are not going to admit that it happened, and I don't think they are ready to admit that it happened. They are denying it happened so they get to explore it. And a general charge that things were done but I can't tell you any specific document leaves Brown & Williamson in a hole in effect. I think the witness must get more specific. MR. ALDOCK: I think — THE COURT: This is not	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	necessarily carried out. They are not asking you about the policy. They are asking you specifically. THE WITNESS: I knew I couldn't go to Dr. Baker and get what I needed sometimes. I knew — Q. Okay, sir. How did you know that? Did you ask Dr. Baker for something and he refused you? A. No, I knew I couldn't go there. Q. How did you know without asking him and having it refused? A. Through Mr. Heard. Q. Mr. Heard told you that Dr. Baker would refuse you if you asked him for a document? A. Mr. Heard was clear on what	
	leveled against B & W; that they have altered scientific studies, is I guess the summary of it, and he being in research and development and the head of R & D and dealing with this subject presumably that would leave a lasting impression in his mind as to what was going on. And — and I'm going to give Brown & Williamson some leeway as to exploring this. I have to. I mean, that's a serious charge. You are talking about scientists of the world, and now you have included, as I understand it, Dr. Baker, that Dr. Baker knew about these editing and that he sent it to you after it was edited, that left out things. Yet on the other hand what I don't understand is, if I understand the testimony, you say you could — you called Dr. Baker and Dr. Baker said, I can't give it to you but call Dr. Heard, and Dr. Heard was giving it to you surreptitiously which I guess presumably means Dr. Baker knew Dr. Heard was giving Page 1180 it to you surreptitiously but wouldn't give it to you directly himself.	altered scientific studies, is I guess the summary of it, and he being in research and development and the head of R & D and dealing with this subject presumably that would leave a lasting impression in his mind as to what was going on. And — and I'm going to give Brown & Williamson some leeway as to exploring this. I have to. I mean, that's a serious charge. You are talking about scientists of the world, and now you have included, as I understand it, Dr. Baker, that Dr. Baker knew about these editing and that he sent it to you after it was edited, that left out things. Yet on the other hand what I don't understand is, if I understand the testimotry, you say you could — you called Dr. Baker and Dr. Baker said, I can't give it to you but call Dr. Heard, and Dr. Heard was giving it to you surreptitiously which I guess presumably means Dr. Baker knew Dr. Heard was giving Page 1180 it to you surreptitiously but wouldn't give it to you directly himself.	leveled against B & W; that they have altered scientific studies, is I guess the summary of it, and he being in research and development and the head of R & D and dealing with this subject presumably that would leave a lasting impression in his mind as to what was going on. And — and I'm going to give Brown & Williamson some leeway as no exploring this. I have to, I mean, that's a serious charge. You are talking about scientists of the world, and now you have included, as I understand it, Dr. Baker, that Dr. Baker knew about these editing, and that he sent it to you after it was edited, that left out things. Yet on the other hand what I don't understand is, if I understand the testimotry, you say you could — you called Dr. Baker and Dr. Baker said, I can't give it to you but call Dr. Heard, and Dr. Heard was giving it to you surreptitiously which I guess presumably means Dr. Baker knew Dr. Heard was giving It to you surreptitiously but wouldn't I in his expertise. 2 And presumably then he would be able to recall specifically something abe to recall specifically something abe to recall specifically something able

Page 1179 - Page 1182

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

24 of. That he should - and in his -

	Page 118	3	Page 118
1 (AL DE BALL	- 1	have.
	for a document that he said, no, I cannot	2	MR SHEFFLER: Move to strike
	give you?	1 -	as nonresponsive.
3) 4 ,		1	THE COURT: Sustained.
			Q. Now, sir, if Dr. Baker never
	him. O. Sir, you testified earlier that		refused you a document that you requested
6 1	you asked Dr. Baker for a document and he		of him, did Dr. Binns ever refuse you a
			document that you requested of him? Dr.
	said go ask Dr. Heard. Did that happen or		Binns is over at the FRC; is he not?
	not?	1.	·
0 .		1	
1 (•		
2	THE COURT: Yes or no.		request for a document from him that he
3	THE WITNESS: On	- 1	refused you?
	non-contentious material, yes, it happened	14	
5	routinely.	15	and the same was
	Q. When you asked Dr. Heard		Q. You mentioned Dr. Thornton
7	Baker for a document, he routinely said,	17	before, Raymond Thornton?
8 1	no, go see Dr. Heard?	18	A. Yes.
•	A. In the areas of non-contentious		Q. Did you ever make a request for
0	science, non-smoking health		a research study performed at the FRC
1	Q. Sir, my question is this: Did	21	while you were there that he refused you?
2	you ever ask Dr. Baker for a document and	22	t A. Any study, yes.
	he said, go see Dr. Heard?	23	Q. What study, sir?
4	A. I didn't have to ask him for	24	A. I asked him for studies on
	Page 118	4	Page 118
1	something I knew by policy and knew by -		nicotine dosage, nicotine studies in man,
2	THE COURT: Answer the	- 1	was there any studies.
_	question, please.	- 1	O. What study?
4	THE WITNESS: No.		A. Looking at the ranges of
•	O. So there never was an occasion		s nicotine
	when Dr. Baker ever refused you a document	1	Sir, what study? What study did
	that you asked for?		you ask - you told us you knew what the
			studies were because they were in the FRC
_			semi-annual reports. What study?
	specifically. I knew the policy. I knew	- 1	A. Studies that were performed
	the situation.	1	before I got to Brown & Williamson.
1			
12	THE COURT: Your answer is he	- 1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	never refused you a document; is that		were talking about earlier?
-	correct?	- 1	A. Project Hippo I and project
15	THE WITNESS: He never refused	- 1	5 Hippo 1.
	me a document in that context, yes, on	- 1	6 Q. When was project Hippo I and
17	non-contentious science.		7 project Hippo II performed?
18	Q. On contentious or		8 A. Long before 1989.
19	non-contentious, on anything?	19	9 Q. About 1966?
20	A. I never asked him for		O A. Yes. Don't you think as head of
	contentious material. I knew the policy.	2	research I was entitled to receive those
	•	l	· —
21	I knew that I could go to Alan Heard and	2	2 reports?
21 22	I knew that I could go to Alan Heard and get anything. He shared with me reports	2	2 reports: 3 Q. Sir, do you think that as head 4 of research you could have gone down to

Page 1183 - Page 1186

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Brown & Williamson vs. V nd Volume 8 Multi-Page™

7/18/96

Volume 8	1187
Page 1 the library and asked for that report?	Page 11.
2 A. I knew I wouldn't find them in	2 was a policy put in place in which
3 the library.	3 documents, because of this, were not sent
4 Q. Sir, did you ask?	4 to you, or were withheld from you, or were
5 A. I knew I wouldn't have found	5 edited. And I'm trying to find out
6 them in the library.	6 whether or not that's true.
7 THE COURT: Answer the question,	7 THE COURT: Have we established
8 Dr. Wigand. Did you ever attempt to get	8 yet? I'm sorry. Now, you are talking
9 it out of the library? Did you ever even	9 about 1966 study, if I hear you correctly.
0 check to see if it was in the library?	10 Can we narrow your claim down further? Is
THE WITNESS: I may have, sir.	11 your claim that these things that were
2 THE COURT: Not may have. Did	12 denied to you were studies made currently
3 you ever check? You are narrowing it down	13 while you were employed at Brown &
4 now to that specific report, the Hippo	14 Williamson?
5 report I think is what you said. Did you	15 THE WITNESS: There are some
6 ask the librarian to give it to you or get	16 studies that were there during my tenure
7 it for you or whatever the procedure is at	17 at Brown & Williamson, because clearly I
8 Brown & Williamson?	18 asked on a number of occasions what was
9 Did you even ever check to see	19 done in terms of nicotine research prior
to if it was in the library?	20 to coming to Brown & Williamson.
THE WITNESS: I knew I wouldn't	21 I was very much interested in
2 find it in the library, sir.	22 nicotine research. I had seen Ray
THE COURT: Did you ever check?	23 Thornton's name in publications prior to
THE WITNESS: No. sir.	24 joining Brown & Williamson. I was very
_	: 1188 Page 119
I BY MR. SHEFFLER:	
2 Q. Was there any report, sir, that	2 accomplished and what had been done prior
you asked Dr. Thornton for that was	3 to getting to Brown & Williamson.
4 authored and sent to you in summary	4 THE COURT: So if we understand
5 fashion, and that's what all the reports	5 you correctly, you are saying that after
6 were sent to you for, at least in the	6 1990, things that were denied you were
7 semi-annual basis in summary fashion;	7 both current things and prior studies; is
8 right?	8 that correct?
9 A. Um-hum.	9 THE WITNESS: I think you could
0 Q. Did you ask Dr. Thornson for any	10 expand it to that, sir, yes.
of those reports and were refused?	11 THE COURT: And are you - in
2 A. I asked Dr. Thornton	12 regard to the things that were edited, are
3 specifically for reports prior to my	13 you saying they were current studies or
4 tenure at Brown & Williamson.	14 are you saying that it also affected prior
5 Q. Sir	15 studies?
6 A. Particularly as it related to	16 THE WITNESS: No, there are two
7 niconne.	17 situations here I would like to explain.
8 Q. Sir	18 The first situation is one after the
9 A. And I did not receive those.	19 established policy in 1990, is one
0 Q. Sir, I'm not talking about	
_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20 situation. In terms of seeing edited
1 reports back in 1966.	21 reports or complete reports.
2 A. I'm not either.	22 There is also an issue of
3 Q I'm talking about reports that	23 obtaining all the information, all the
4 were done that your allegation here,	24 research, that had been conducted prior to

Page 1187 - Page 1190

Curtin. Schneider & Lawrey

Brown & Williamson vs.

Multi-Page™

7/18/96

Velume 8		
Page 11	4	Page 1193
i my arrival at Brown & Williamson. I	1 established — the procedure that was	
2 asked, I had heard the name, I believe, at	2 established and Alan Heard knew that I	
3 the Vancouver meeting or subsequent	3 couldn't have in my possession on -	
4 meeting relative to nicotine studies. I	4 Q. Sir, please. What study, if	
5 was very much interested in nicotine	5 any, did Dr. Thornton ever say to you I	
6 studies.	6 cannot send you?	
7 Because consistent statements	7 A. I cannot recall at this time.	
8 were that we are in the nicotine delivery	s Q. Okay. Now, you did claim that	
9 business, and I wanted to understand more	9 you asked Dr. Thornton for studies done in	
10 about nicotine, particularly what the	10 a project Hippo, which was about 30 years	
11 company did, or what the company in total	11 ago; do you recall that?	
12 did.	12 A. Yes.	
13 After January, 1990, and because	13 Q. Do you recall saying that in,	
14 there was, I was directly told not to have	14 publicly?	
15 any contentious material, there was a	15 A. Publicly?	
16 policy or procedure set up between myself	16 Q. Haven't you referred to project	
17 and Alan Heard in which Alan Heard would	17 Hippo in your, and your inability to get	
18 either send me by fax at my home, let me	18 that from U.K.? Haven't you claimed that	
19 read documents when I was in Europe or at	19 before?	
20 some other meeting with him, or when he	20 A. I believe I have claimed that	
21 visited the United States that I did not	21 before, yes.	
22 see come through the channels later.	22 Q. We have established here you	
23 Can I give you the specific	23 never even tried to get it at B & W's	
24 details of all those reports? No, sir,	24 library, did you?	
		Page 1194
Page 11	1 A. But I knew it wasn't going to be	
1 but I can give you the general area they 2 were. They were in areas of smoking and	2 in B & W's library.	
3 health, and nicotine, DNA adducts, I	3 O. Sir, isn't it correct you never	
	4 went to B & W's to look for it, you never	
4 think.	5 asked Raymond Thornton for it, and you	
5 Q. I think?	6 never mentioned project Hippo until after	
6 A. In those general areas.	7 you were terminated from Brown &	
7 Q. Sir, now, you have said your	8 Williamson; isn't that true?	
8 allegations, let's see what we can do		
9 individually. Let's start with after		
10 1990. What report, sir, did you ask of	10 Q. Isn't it true that the first 11 time you ever made a claim about project	
11 Raymond Thornton to send you after 1990		
12 that he refused to send you?	12 Hippo was when it came to light in	
13 A. I don't believe I had to ask	13 documents that were stolen by a paralegal	
14 Raymond Thornton for anything, I went	14 from Brown & Williamson?	
15 directly to Alan Heard.	15 A. No, I think if you go back to my	
16 Q. Sir, what report, if any, did	16 diary -	
17 Raymond Thornton ever refuse to send you?	17 Q. Oh, the diary. The	
18 A. Prior research on nicotine.	18 contemporaneous diary, sir?	
19 Q. After 1990?	19 A. My contemporaneous diary.	
20 A. Or before 1990.	20 Q. Other than that diary that you	
21 Q. After 1990. Let's start with	21 claimed to have written at that time,	
22 that one.	22 there is no evidence, is there, sir? You	
23 A. I can't recall anything	23 never made a request?	
24 specifically after 1990 because the	24 A. There is a reference in my	

Page 1191 - Page 1194

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Brown & Williamson vs. 5 and

Multi-Page™

7/18/96

	clume 8	Millio		-ge	//18/9
	Pag	e 1195	1		Page 119
1	diary.		1	1966 on micotine? You had access, did you	
2	Q. Okay, sir.		2	not, to the Surgeon General's report on	
)	A. Contemporaneous, specifically		} 3	nicotine, to huge amounts of data on	
4	related to project Hippo. I also asked		4	nicotine, to huge amounts of data that was	
5	Mr. Kohnhurst and Mr. Sandefur, I think I		1	being generated on nicotine every day in	
6	have also may have asked - I think I		1	your own department as well as overseas;	
	also asked Mr. Wells.		1	you had all of this, didn't you?	
	Q. Okay, sir. Let me ask you			A. I don't think we were generating	
	specifically strike that.			any pharmacology data in Brown &	
10 2			ı	Williamson.	
	thinking through this, can I ask a really		1		
	<u> </u>		1	Q. Sir, were you -	
	dumb question that I don't understand?			A. The Surgeon General's - excuse	
	I'm asking this of counsel. What concern		ı	me. The Surgeon General's report on	
	is it of Brown & Williamson if he was			smokeless tobacco and the subsequent	
	denied documents as opposed to - I mean I			Surgeon General's report in 1988 was the	
-	can understand the seriousness of the		•	first Surgeon General's report I knew that	
	charge that he is saying these scientific		1	clearly linked tobacco and nicotine as a	
	studies were edited by somebody because I		l8	phermacologically active drug and that it	
19	presume there is some procedure whereby		19	was addictive. That's what the 1988	
20	these documents are either exchanged or		20	report said.	
21	filed or sent to the government or		21	The smokuless tobacco report	
22	whatever.		22	said that nicotine is the active	
23	And I can understand the		23	ingredient in smokeless tobacco. Don't	
4	seriousness of saying that something was		24	you think I would be interested in what	
_	Page	1196			Page 119:
l	edited. But I don't understand, if he was		ı	the company has done to assess that?	
2	denied something		2	Q. Sir, the question is, you were	
3	MR. SHEFFLER: From 1966?		3	given access to a great deal of	
4	THE COURT: - what in the		4	information about nicotine while you were	
5	world? It seems to me it's rather		5	at Brown & Williamson. You were given	
6	irrelevant to both sides. If they put him		6	access to a great deal of information	
	in a position and then they denied him			about nicotine while it was being,	
	some literature, if they did, what does it			research was being performed at the U.K.	
	matter to anybody? Is it even a charge		ŀ	That information was communicated to you.	
	that he can go public with that, in		ı .	You had full access to all that	
	effect, he is saying his company didn't		1	information. You never even went down to	
	tell him something that he wanted to know			see if this project Hippo, this 1966	
	<u> </u>				
	and so what?			project Hippo, was even in the library.	
4				Did you?	
5	charge about the redacting or the editing		15	•	
	is a different matter because I assume		l .	answered that, Dr. Wigand?	
				THE WITNESS: I think I have,	
	that's part of what the government is		17	· ·· •	
7				sir.	
7	that's part of what the government is interested in.	:	18 19	THE COURT: You say you never	
7 8 9	that's part of what the government is interested in.		18 19		
7 8 9	that's part of what the government is interested in. MR. SHEFFLER: I don't know		18 19 20	THE COURT: You say you never	
7 18 19 20	that's part of what the government is interested in. MR. SHEFFLER: I don't know what the government is interested in, your		18 19 20 21	THE COURT: You say you never attempted to get it; you don't even know	
17 18 19 20 21	that's part of what the government is interested in. MR. SHEFFLER: I don't know what the government is interested in, your Honor, but you are absolutely correct.		18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: You say you never attempted to get it; you don't even know if it was in the library.	

Page 1195 - Page 1198

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Page 119	9	Page 1201
Williamson?	i report?	
2 A. All nicotine research? I don't	2 A. On non	
3 believe I was.	3 THE COURT: Any report.	
4 Q You don't believe so. What	4 Q. No, sir, Did he ever deny you	
5 study then was denied you?	5 access to a report?	
6 A. I cannot recall a specific	6 A. No.	
7 study.	7 O. Graham Smith, did he ever deny	
B Q. Who denied you the study? Let's	8 you access to a report?	
9 start with Southampton. Most of the	9 A. I think he may have referred me	
10 fundamental research that was performed in	10 to Alan Heard.	
11 BATCO - performed about the B.A.T.	11 Q. Did he ever deny you access to a	
2 companies, took place in Southampton; is	12 report?	
13 that correct?	13 A. He referred me to Alan Heard.	
14 A. Took place in Southampton, took	14 Is that access? Did he give me the	
15 place in other places in Europe.	15 report?	
	16 THE COURT: Did he deny you?	
16 Q. Okay. Sir, who were the people 17 at Southampton who were in the Fundamental	17 Did he say I'm not going to give it to	
8 Research Center and who you would call for	18 you?	
	19 THE WITNESS: I could say in a	
19 documents when you wanted to see the full	•	
to report of the research documents that were	20 way be, yes. He said go see Alan Heard. 21 O. In a way?	
i sent to you?		
22 A. When I		
23 Q. Who were the people first?	23 in charge.	
24 A. Who were the people I could call	24 Q. Sir, did Graham Smith — did	
Page 1200		Page 1203
1 for routine non-contentious subjects -	1 Graham Smith ever say, no, I cannot give	
2 Q. No, sir, no, sir. Who were the	2 you that report?	
3 people who had access to the research	3 A. After 1990 or before 1990?	
4 reports and could send them to you?	4 Ever?	
5 A. Alan Hea d.	5 Q. While you were at B & W. Let's	
4 D Annon else?		
6 Q. Anyone else?	6 do that.	
6 Q. Anyone else? 7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had 8 access to them.	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy.	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy. 9 1 clearly —	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had 8 access to them. 9 Q. Anyone else?	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy.	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had 8 access to them. 9 Q. Anyone else? 10 A. Mr. Wells.	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy. 9 1 clearly — 10 THE COURT: That's not the 11 question. Answer his question, please.	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had 8 access to them. 9 Q. Anyone else? 10 A. Mr. Wells. 11 Q. Mr. Wells is over in America.	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy. 9 1 clearly — 10 THE COURT: That's not the	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had 8 access to them. 9 Q. Anyone else? 10 A. Mr. Wells. 11 Q. Mr. Wells is over in America. 12 Is there a Mr. Wells in the U.K.?	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy. 9 1 clearly — 10 THE COURT: That's not the 11 question. Answer his question, please.	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had 8 access to them. 9 Q. Anyone else? 10 A. Mr. Wells. 11 Q. Mr. Wells is over in America. 12 Is there a Mr. Wells in the U.K.? 13 THE COURT: Are we speaking of	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy. 9 I clearly — 10 THE COURT: That's not the 11 question. Answer his question, please. 12 THE WITNESS: Then I would have	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had 8 access to them. 9 Q. Anyone else? 10 A. Mr. Wells. 11 Q. Mr. Wells is over in America. 12 Is there a Mr. Wells in the U.K.? 13 THE COURT: Are we speaking of 14 Kendrick Wells?	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy. 9 I clearly — 10 THE COURT: That's not the 11 question. Answer his question, please. 12 THE WITNESS: Then I would have 13 to say no.	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had 8 access to them. 9 Q. Anyone else? 10 A. Mr. Wells. 11 Q. Mr. Wells is over in America. 12 Is there a Mr. Wells in the U.K.? 13 THE COURT: Are we speaking of 14 Kendrick Wells? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am, sir.	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy. 9 I clearly — 10 THE COURT: That's not the 11 question. Answer his question, please. 12 THE WITNESS: Then I would have 13 to say no. 14 Q. Raymond Thornton, besides his	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had 8 access to them. 9 Q. Anyone else? 10 A. Mr. Wells. 11 Q. Mr. Wells is over in America. 12 Is there a Mr. Wells in the U.K.? 13 THE COURT: Are we speaking of 14 Kendrick Wells? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am, sir. 16 THE COURT: He is asking you	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy. 9 I clearly — 10 THE COURT: That's not the 11 question. Answer his question, please. 12 THE WITNESS: Then I would have 13 to say no. 14 Q. Raymond Thornton, besides his 15 1966 project that you purportedly asked	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had 8 access to them. 9 Q. Anyone else? 10 A. Mr. Wells. 11 Q. Mr. Wells is over in America. 12 Is there a Mr. Wells in the U.K.? 13 THE COURT: Are we speaking of 14 Kendrick Wells? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am, sir. 16 THE COURT: He is asking you 17 about Southampton, I believe.	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy. 9 I clearly — 10 THE COURT: That's not the 11 question. Answer his question, please. 12 THE WITNESS: Then I would have 13 to say no. 14 Q. Raymond Thornton, besides his 15 1966 project that you purportedly asked 16 him for, did he ever deny you access to	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had 8 access to them. 9 Q. Anyone else? 10 A. Mr. Wells. 11 Q. Mr. Wells is over in America. 12 Is there a Mr. Wells in the U.K.? 13 THE COURT: Are we speaking of 14 Kendrick Wells? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am, sir. 16 THE COURT: He is asking you 17 about Southampton, I believe. 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. Terry	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy. 9 1 clearly — 10 THE COURT: That's not the 11 question. Answer his question, please. 12 THE WITNESS: Then I would have 13 to say no. 14 Q. Raymond Thornton, besides his 15 1966 project that you purportedly asked 16 him for, did he ever deny you access to 17 any of the fundamental research being	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had 8 access to them. 9 Q. Anyone else? 10 A. Mr. Wells. 11 Q. Mr. Wells is over in America. 12 Is there a Mr. Wells in the U.K.? 13 THE COURT: Are we speaking of 14 Kendrick Wells? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am, sir. 16 THE COURT: He is asking you 17 about Southampton, I believe. 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. Terry 19 Mitchell I could ask.	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy. 9 1 clearly — 10 THE COURT: That's not the 11 question. Answer his question, please. 12 THE WITNESS: Then I would have 13 to say no. 14 Q. Raymond Thornton, besides his 15 1966 project that you purportedly asked 16 him for, did he ever deny you access to 17 any of the fundamental research being 18 conducted at the FRC while you were at	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had 8 access to them. 9 Q. Anyone else? 10 A. Mr. Wells. 11 Q. Mr. Wells is over in America. 12 Is there a Mr. Wells in the U.K.? 13 THE COURT: Are we speaking of 14 Kendrick Wells? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am, sir. 16 THE COURT: He is asking you 17 about Southampton, I believe. 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. Terry 19 Mitchell I could ask. 20 Q Terry Mitchell.	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy. 9 1 clearly — 10 THE COURT: That's not the 11 question. Answer his question, please. 12 THE WITNESS: Then I would have 13 to say no. 14 Q. Raymond Thornton, besides his 15 1966 project that you purportedly asked 16 him for, did he ever deny you access to 17 any of the fundamental research being 18 conducted at the FRC while you were at 19 B & W? 20 A. No.	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had 8 access to them. 9 Q. Anyone else? 10 A. Mr. Wells. 11 Q. Mr. Wells is over in America. 12 Is there a Mr. Wells in the U.K.? 13 THE COURT: Are we speaking of 14 Kendrick Wells? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am, sir. 16 THE COURT: He is asking you 17 about Southampton, I believe. 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. Terry 19 Mitchell I could ask. 10 Q Terry Mitchell. 21 A. Graham Smith. Richard Binns. I	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy. 9 I clearly — 10 THE COURT: That's not the 11 question. Answer his question, please. 12 THE WITNESS: Then I would have 13 to say no. 14 Q. Raymond Thornton, besides his 15 1966 project that you purportedly asked 16 him for, did he ever deny you access to 17 any of the fundamental research being 18 conducted at the FRC while you were at 19 B & W? 20 A. No. 21 Q. Alan Heard, did he ever deny you	
7 A. I would imagine Ray Thornton had 8 access to them. 9 Q. Anyone else? 10 A. Mr. Wells. 11 Q. Mr. Wells is over in America. 12 Is there a Mr. Wells in the U.K.? 13 THE COURT: Are we speaking of 14 Kendrick Wells? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am, sir. 16 THE COURT: He is asking you 17 about Southampton, I believe. 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. Terry 19 Mitchell I could ask. 10 Q Terry Mitchell.	7 A. I can say after 1990 clearly I 8 knew that there was an established policy. 9 1 clearly — 10 THE COURT: That's not the 11 question. Answer his question, please. 12 THE WITNESS: Then I would have 13 to say no. 14 Q. Raymond Thornton, besides his 15 1966 project that you purportedly asked 16 him for, did he ever deny you access to 17 any of the fundamental research being 18 conducted at the FRC while you were at 19 B & W? 20 A. No.	

Page 1199 - Page 1202

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Volume 8		
	Page 1203	Page 1205
1 Q. Excuse me, fundamental research	1 THE WITNESS: I didn't have to,	
2 that was being conducted at Southampton?	2 sir. I knew I had a mechanism by which I	
3 A. No, Alan gave me more than I was	3 could get it from Alan Heard.	
4 entitled to have at times.	4 Q. Let's be clear here. Put your	
5 Q. Sir	5 claims and your beliefs aside. The fact	
6 A. Yes, Alan Heard cooperated and	6 is, you were never denied a research study	
7 provided	7 from the U.K. Fundamental Research Center	
8 Q. The question is, did anybody	8 during the time you were there, at B & W.	
9 excuse me, the question is, did Alan Heard	9 That is correct, is it not?	
10 ever deny you any research that was being	10 A. If that includes Alan Heard,	
11 conducted at the Southernpton?	11 yes. If that includes Alan Heard, yes.	
12 A. No, Alan Heard gave me ample	12 Q. Okay, sir. So it would be false	
1) amount of information and research and	13 to say that you were denied research when	
14 data.	14 you requested research of the U.K. FRC's	
15 Q. Okay. Sir, we have named Alan	15 program. That would be wrong, wouldn't	
16 Heard, Raymond Thornton, Terry Mitchell,	16 it?	
17 Graham Smith and Richard Binns. Is there	17 A. I definitely got research that	
18 anyone else who you ever asked for	18 I, that I couldn't keep. I got from Alan	
19 research at Southampton and were denied?	19 Heard documents and I was read documents	
20 A. At this time I can't recall	20 that I had returned to him.	
21 anybody.	21 THE COURT: Answer his question,	
22 Q. So you can't recall a single	22 please.	
23 person who you asked for research at	23 THE WITNESS: Was I denied the	
24 Southampton and were denied, can you?	24 research? No.	
	Dec 1204	Page 1206
1 A. On non-contentious matters	Page 1204 I Q. Okay, sir. Now, you have also	- age 1200
2 Q. Sir, no, that is not the	2 claimed that there were edited reports,	
3 question.	3 coming from Southempton. You have made	
4 A. I have to qualify it.	4 that claim, too. Have you not?	
5 O. No.	5 A. Yes.	
6 A. The answer would be no -	6 O. And you have claimed that this	
7 THE COURT: Answer the question.	7 started happening in 1990; is that	
8 THE WITNESS: I was never	8 correct?	
9 denied non-contempous research from these	9 A. After January 17th, 1990.	
10 people.	10 Q. Sir, can you name for us today,	
11 THE COURT: Answer the question.	11 identify for us today the research report	
12 THE WITNESS: No. 1 mean -	12 that you claim was edited?	
13 Q. No, you never denied research.	13 A. No, I cannot and I believe I	
14 A. No. However, I would like to	14 have stated that already. I cannot	
15 explain that. May I explain?	15 compare what I have here to what was	
16 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.	16 before. I just can't do it.	
17 You were never denied any documents? Any		
	18 got the abstracts of all the research	
18 document dealing with basic research? Is 19 that it; is that correct?		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	19 reports. And you have also told us that	
	20 when you called up, you could get the	
21 No. However, I knew that I wouldn't have	21 reports sent to you. And you have told us	
22 gotten it anyway and I asked Alan Heard.	22 that no one ever at U.K. denied your	
23 THE COURT: So you never tested	21 request to send you a report.	
24 this contended policy?	24 My question is this: Who did	

Page 1203 - Page 1206

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Brown & Williamson v 'igand Volume &

Multi-Page™

7/18/96

_	olume 8			_	
		Page 1207			Page 120
1	you call up and get an incomplete or		ŀ	A. That I never got; that is	
2	edited scientific report from at the U.K.?		2	correct	
3	A. No one because I never asked		3	Q Why would Alan - did Alan Heard	
4	specifically because I knew I could get it		4	make these edits?	
	from Alan Heard. I knew -			A. I don't know who made the edits	
-	Q. Sir, did Alan Heard give you an		i -	specifically.	
-	edited scientific report?		1	Q. But it came from Alan Heard and	
				it came from the U.K.	
-			1 -		
	data that never made it here to the United		1 "	A. I got it from Alan Heard a	
	States.			number of different ways.	
l 1	Q Sir			Q. Wait a minute, sir. I'm not	
l 2	A. That I had to return -		12	talking about ways now. I'm talking about	
3	Q. He gave it to you there or gave		13	what you got. You said that the only	
4	it to you here or he sent it to you or		14	person you could identify who sent you an	
15	what?		15	edited report was Alan Heard. And you	
16	A. I will explain how I got it.			said that Alan Heard sent you these edited	
17	Can I do that?		•	reports from the U.K. to you in the U.S.	
18	THE COURT: You said an edited.		4	And you said that you cannot tell who did	
	did you mean an unedited?			the edits. How do you know Alan Heard	
	O. No. Here is what I - no. My			didn't do the edits?	
			í	·	
	question is, he claims he got reports that		1	A. I don't know that. He may have	
	were edited. We have already talked about		1	but there was an existing policy -	
	the fact he has got all these unedited			Q. Sir, I don't want to know about	
24	reports. But he also claims he has had		24	policy. I'm asking you specific questions	
	and the second s	Page 1208			Page 121
			Ι.	alternative and additional designation. On the second	
2	reports that were edited. And I simply		1	about this so-called document. Or was	
	want to know the title of the report that		2	there more than one document; do you know?	
3	want to know the title of the report that was edited.		2	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one	
4	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you		2	there more than one document; do you know?	
4	want to know the title of the report that was edited.		3 4	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one	
4 5	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you		2 3 4 5	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document.	
4 5 6	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report.		2 3 4 5 6	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard	
4 5 6 7	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall		2 3 4 5 6 7	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to	
4 5 6 7 8	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson?	
4 5 6 7 8 9	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report? A. Sent me?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson? A. Why? Because he knew the policy	
4 5 6 7 8 9	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report? A. Sent me? Q. Sent you a report that was		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson? A. Why? Because he knew the policy that was established in B & W's management.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report? A. Sent me? Q. Sent you a report that was changed, that was edited?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson? A. Why? Because he knew the policy that was established in B & W's management position in terms of having contentious	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report? A. Sent me? Q. Sent you a report that was changed, that was edited? A. Alan Heard.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson? A. Why? Because he knew the policy that was established in B & W's management position in terms of having contentious data research on U.S. soil.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 12	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report? A. Sent me? Q. Sent you a report that was changed, that was edited? A. Alan Heard. Q. Okay. What was the report that		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson? A. Why? Because he knew the policy that was established in B & W's management position in terms of having contentious data research on U.S. soil. Q. Well, sir, you have to help me	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report? A. Sent me? Q. Sent you a report that was changed, that was edited? A. Alan Heard. Q. Okay. What was the report that Alan Heard sent you that was edited?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson? A. Why? Because he knew the policy that was established in B & W's management position in terms of having contentious data research on U.S. soil. Q. Well, sir, you have to help me out here. As I understand your claim now,	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 13 14 15	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report? A. Sent me? Q. Sent you a report that was changed, that was edited? A. Alan Heard. Q. Okay. What was the report that Alan Heard sent you that was edited? A. I cannot recall. But it was		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson? A. Why? Because he knew the policy that was established in B & W's management position in terms of having contentious data research on U.S. soil. Q. Well, sir, you have to help me out here. As I understand your claim now, Alan Heard edited out of documents	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 13 14 15	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report? A. Sent me? Q. Sent you a report that was changed, that was edited? A. Alan Heard. Q. Okay. What was the report that Alan Heard sent you that was edited?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson? A. Why? Because he knew the policy that was established in B & W's management position in terms of having contentious data research on U.S. soil. Q. Well, sir, you have to help me out here. As I understand your claim now, Alan Heard edited out of documents contentious language, and then sent them	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 14 15 16	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report? A. Sent me? Q. Sent you a report that was changed, that was edited? A. Alan Heard. Q. Okay. What was the report that Alan Heard sent you that was edited? A. I cannot recall. But it was		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson? A. Why? Because he knew the policy that was established in B & W's management position in terms of having contentious data research on U.S. soil. Q. Well, sir, you have to help me out here. As I understand your claim now, Alan Heard edited out of documents	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report? A. Sent me? Q. Sent you a report that was changed, that was edited? A. Alan Heard. Q. Okay. What was the report that Alan Heard sent you that was edited? A. I cannot recall. But it was edited.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson? A. Why? Because he knew the policy that was established in B & W's management position in terms of having contentious data research on U.S. soil. Q. Well, sir, you have to help me out here. As I understand your claim now, Alan Heard edited out of documents contentious language, and then sent them	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 5 1 7 1 8	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report? A. Sent me? Q. Sent you a report that was changed, that was edited? A. Alan Heard. Q. Okay. What was the report that Alan Heard sent you that was edited? A. I cannot recall. But it was edited. Q. In what way was it edited? A. References to less hazardous,		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson? A. Why? Because he knew the policy that was established in B & W's management position in terms of having contentious data research on U.S. soil. Q. Well, sir, you have to help me out here. As I understand your claim now, Alan Heard edited out of documents contentious language, and then sent them to you surreptitiously so it didn't get to B & W. What's — it seems to me, sir,	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 1 5 6 7 8 9	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report? A. Sent me? Q. Sent you a report that was changed, that was edited? A. Alan Heard. Q. Okay. What was the report that Alan Heard sent you that was edited? A. I cannot recall. But it was edited. Q. In what way was it edited? A. References to less hazardous, references to contentious, anything that		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson? A. Why? Because he knew the policy that was established in B & W's management position in terms of having contentious data research on U.S. soil. Q. Well, sir, you have to help me out here. As I understand your claim now, Alan Heard edited out of documents contentious language, and then sent them to you surreptitiously so it didn't get to B & W. What's — it seems to me, sir, that if he is sending them to you.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report? A. Sent me? Q. Sent you a report that was changed, that was edited? A. Alan Heard. Q. Okay. What was the report that Alan Heard sent you that was edited? A. I cannot recall. But it was edited. Q. In what way was it edited? A. References to less hazardous, references to committous, anything that was in relation to discoverable stuff that		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson? A. Why? Because he knew the policy that was established in B & W's management position in terms of having contentious data research on U.S. soil. Q. Well, sir, you have to help me out here. As I understand your claim now, Alan Heard edited out of documents contentious language, and then sent them to you surreptitiously so it didn't get to B & W. What's — it seems to me, sir, that if he is sending them to you, surreptitiously, if that's your claim,	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 2 3 14 15 16 7 8 9 10 1	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report? A. Sent me? Q. Sent you a report that was changed, that was edited? A. Alan Heard. Q. Okay. What was the report that Alan Heard sent you that was edited? A. I cannot recall. But it was edited. Q. In what way was it edited? A. References to less hazardous, references to committous, anything that was in relation to discoverable stuff that would be in U.S. litigation.		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson? A. Why? Because he knew the policy that was established in B & W's management position in terms of having contentious data research on U.S. soil. Q. Well, sir, you have to help me out here. As I understand your claim now, Alan Heard edited out of documents contentious language, and then sent them to you surreptitiously so it didn't get to B & W. What's — it seems to me, sir, that if he is sending them to you, surreptitiously, if that's your claim, what's the purpose of the edits?	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	want to know the title of the report that was edited. A. And I think I have told you repeatedly I cannot recall a specific report. Q. All right, sir. Can you recall the person who sent you the report? A. Sent me? Q. Sent you a report that was changed, that was edited? A. Alan Heard. Q. Okay. What was the report that Alan Heard sent you that was edited? A. I cannot recall. But it was edited. Q. In what way was it edited? A. References to less hazardous, references to committous, anything that was in relation to discoverable stuff that		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there more than one document; do you know? A. I think there was more than one document. Q. Now, sir, why would Alan Heard edit a document in the U.K. and send it to you if he wasn't sending it to Brown & Williamson? A. Why? Because he knew the policy that was established in B & W's management position in terms of having contentious data research on U.S. soil. Q. Well, sir, you have to help me out here. As I understand your claim now, Alan Heard edited out of documents contentious language, and then sent them to you surreptitiously so it didn't get to B & W. What's — it seems to me, sir, that if he is sending them to you, surreptitiously, if that's your claim,	

Page 1207 - Page 121

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Brown & Williamson vs. W 16

Multi-Page™

7/18/96

I	Page 1211 Page 1211
1 see if you would concur?	1 the policy?
2 A. I'm not so sure. He may or may	2 Q. Because, sir, we are asking you
3 not have edited.	3 about facts, F-A-C-T-S, facts. What is it
4 Q. You don't know, sir, who edited	4 that you got or what is it you claim to
5 them, right?	5 have got, can you identify? You told us
6 A. All I know is what was, that was	6 you can't name a document. You told us
7 contained in the reports, was reports that	7 that only one person knows anything about
8 I did not receive. Alan Heard was clear on	8 this besides purportedly you, is Alan
9 the policy, after 1990, Alan Heard was	9 Heard.
10 clear on B & W's management position on	Now, I'm trying to figure out
documents that were contentious, and Alan	11 how this is all working. Are you claiming
12 Heard let me read and I had to return to	12 that Alan Heard edited documents without
i) him documents. Alan Heard sent to me by	13 the knowledge of the, Richard Binns and
14 fax documents, that I destroyed or sent	14 Richard Baker and Ray Thornton and all
15 back, with some comments on it.	15 these people? This was something Alan
	16 Heard did on his own? Are you accusing
16 Q. Mr. Wigand, when you say that 17 documents were edited by the Legal	17 him of editing scientific research for
	18 reasons that were not simple science? Is
8 Department and you made that claim, were	19 that what you are saying?
19 you referring to these documents that Alan	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20 Heard sent you that were edited for your	20 A. There is some degree of that
approval; is that what you were claiming?	21 there, yes.
No, I'm claiming above and	22 Q. You are claiming now that Alan
23 beyond that.	23 Heard -
24 Q. All right, sir. Is it your	24 A. Alan Heard
F	Page 1212 Page 121.
1 contention that Alan Heard edited	1 Q is misrepresenting what is in
2 documents that he sent, and subsequently	2 a scientific report?
3 sent to you, or was someone else editing	3 A. I'm not sure of how the edits
4 these documents? Were these scientific	4 got in there. They may or may not have
5 edits, sir?	5 been Alan Heard.
6 A. No, I don't think they were all	6 Q. Okay. Are you accusing anyone
7 scientific edits.	7 else, sir, of editing these scientific
8 Q. Okay, sir. If Dr. Heard was	s reports that Dr. Heard sent you from the
9 sending you documents that were edited for	9 U.K.?
to your comment and to send them back to him,	10 A. No.
11 was it for scientific reasons?	11 Q. So, other than Alan Heard, there
12 A. No, I believe for legal reasons.	12 was no one that you are accusing today of
13 Q. So Dr. Heard was editing these	13 editing scientific reports from the U.K.?
	1
14 and asking you to make edits on these for	The state of the s
15 legal reasons?	15 been directly involved with discussions
16 A. I'm not sure of that.	16 with Mr. Kendrick Wells at times he
17 Q. Well, that's what you just	17 visited the United States on documents
18 testified to. Was it for legal reasons or	18 that he believed needed to be reviewed,
19 scientific reasons or some other reason?	19 vetted by the Legal Department before they
20 A. Alan Heard clearly knew the	20 were sent or should they be sent.
2) policy	21 Q. Sir, we are talking very
22 Q. I don't want to know about the	22 specifically about these documents you
23 policy.	23 claim to have seen that were edited.

Page 1211 - Page 1214

Brown & Williamson vs. V __ nd

Multi-Page™

7/18/96

Volume 8		111015
Pa	age 1215	Page 121
cdired those documents? You have	1 your claim now, isn't it?	
2 identified Alan Heard. You have claimed	2 A. In conjunction with Kendrick	
3 that he has done it. Anybody else done	3 Q. And not editing for scientific	
4 it?	4 reasons. That's your claim?	
5 A. I believe Kendrick Wells was	5 A. That is correct. That is my	
6 directly involved.	6 claim. Very specifically.	
7 Q. Kendrick Wells is over in	7 Q. And you can't even cite a single 8 document to substantiate this serious	
8 Louisville. We are talking about	(
9 documents - you claim that these	9 claim?	
0 documents were edited in the U.K. and sent	10 A. At this time I cannot cite a	
11 to you, didon't you?	11 single document, no, I cannot. But I ca	an an
12 A. Ray Thornton could have brought	i2 tell →	
them as he did and reviewed it with	13 Q. And you destroyed them all so	
4 Kendrick Wells -	14 there is no evidence of it; is that right?	
5 Q. Did you see that, sir?	15 A. That was my agreement with Mr	
6 A. I talked -	16 Heard that he would let me read it and	
17 Q. Did you see that happen?	17 would take it back, or he would fax it	to
B A. No, I did not see that happen	is me and I would destroy it. That is ver	
9 personally.	19 clear.	,
	20 Q. So who else was on this, sir?	
	21 Was Richard Binns in on it?	
21 happened, sir?		
22 A. Because Ray Thornton explained	· ·	
it to me, it was happening, he was unhappy	23 was in on it.	
24 with it, and so was Alan Heard unhappy	24 Q. Richard Baker?	
	age 1216	Page 121
with it. That there was this policy by	1 A. I don't know if Richard Baker	
2 which documents were vetted.	2 was in on it.	
3 Q Sir, we are talking	3 Q. Smith, Mitchell?	
4 specifically. Do you have a specific	4 A. I don't know if they were in on	
5 document that Raymond Thornton brought	5 it.	
6 over here and took back to him and gave to	6 Q. Okay, sir.	
7 Alan Heard to send to you. Is there such	7 A. What is clear -	
8 a thing?	8 Q. Sir, you have testified that the	
9 A. I'm sure in discovery we will	9 FRC has published semi-annually repor	rts?
0 find such a thing.	10 A. That's correct.	
<u>•</u> .		
1 Q. Is there such a thing, sir?	11 Q. I want you to go through those 12 and I don't care how long it takes, and	13.00
2 A. I believe there is such a thing,	_	
) yes,	13 are going to sit here until you do. And	
4 Q. Well, that would be something	14 want to find the title of the report that	
5 you surely would remember, wouldn't you?	15 you claim Alan Heard edited.	
6 A. I cannot remember the specifics.	16 A. I can't do that.	
7 I can remember the general area.	17 Q. You will do it, sir. If it	
8 Q. General areas, sir, are easy to	is takes us all today, you will do it. You	L
9 make claims about, but difficult to prove.	19 have all the reports, sir. You want to	
0 What I am asking you to do, sir, is prove	20 refresh your recollection, you can.	
of for me the instance in which this claim of	21 MR. SHEFFLER: Judge, am I	
2 yours, it's a serious claim. You are not	22 entitled to have him do that?	
3 only claiming now that Dr. Thornton, Dr.	_	
24 Heard, are editing documents — that's	24 MR. ALDOCK: Are you going to	

Page 1215 - Page 1218

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Brown & Williamson vs. V and

Page 1
Page II
rage .

Page 1219 - Page 1222

. Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Brown & Williamson vs. V and Volume 8

	Page 1223			Page 1225
MR SHEFFLER: Cortainly, your	-	ì	Heard, did you regard that as rather	1
2 Honor, if this was such a momentous event		2	significant?	
3 as we all must agree it was, that he		3	THE WITNESS: Yes, and I think	1
4 received a report that a scientist edited		4	those conclusions are reflected in my	İ
5 from England, he certainly would have	i	5	diary, sir.	
6 written in his diary the name of the		6	Q. Well, then look at your diary	
7 report.		7	and get the name of the report for us.	- 1
8 So I ask him to look at his		8	A. I don't have the name of the	
9 diary		9	report in the diary.	
10 THE COURT: To see if that will		l0	Q. How do you know?	{
11 refresh his memory?		11	A. Because I have read my diary.	
12 MR. SHEFFLER: - and refresh			Q. Okay, Why didn't you write it	
13 his recollection that way.	1	13	dowa? Why didn't you write down what it	- 1
14 THE WITNESS: I think if you	İ	14	was?	
15 gave me back the diary that Brown &		15	A. I wrote it in another diary.	1
16 Williamson confiscated when I left			Q. Sir, another diary. Mr. Wigand,	-
17 Q. No, sir, the diary you	1		you cannot refresh your recollection from	į
18 supposedly did contemporaneously.	t		the diary that you claim you wrote	[
19 A. That is a contemporaneous diary			contemporaneously with the events of	- 1
20 that looks at conclusions and reflections.			something that was one of the most, had to	1
21 It's not a day-by-day.			be one of the most momentous events at	
22 Q. Sir, you produced this and		22	your time at B & W?	1
23 represented it was your diary. You		_	A. That's one of many events that	
24 claimed that you are relying upon this		24	happened there at B & W. One of events.	
1	Page 1224			Page 1226
I diary that you claim you wrote at the time		1	Q. Okny, sir.	į
2 you were at Brown & Williamson in the		_	A. There was a policy	
3 four-year period you were there.	1	-	established	ŀ
4 If you did, sir, would you not			Q. I don't want to hear about	
5 put in your diary, Alan Heard, redacted	j		policies -	j
6 documents sent to me, or something upon			A. — and I was told by my	!
7 even a reflection that Alan Heard sent to		7	management	ı
8 you reducted documents, edited documents?	}			I
	l	8	Q. — and I move to strike that.	ļ
9 Wouldn't that be something of a momentous		8 9	THE COURT: Sustained.	
10 event that you would put in your diary?		8 9 10	THE COURT: Sustained. Q. So no not even in this so-called	,
10 event that you would put in your diary? 11 A. I put the conclusions in the		8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Sustained. Q. So no not even in this so-called diary do you have written down that Alan	
10 event that you would put in your diary? 11 A. I put the conclusions in the 12 diary.		8 9 10 11	THE COURT: Sustained. Q. So no not even in this so-called diary do you have written down that Alan Heard reducted or edited a document and	
10 event that you would put in your diary? 11 A. I put the conclusions in the 12 diary. 13 Q. You put in your diary you went		8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Sustained. Q. So no not even in this so-called diary do you have written down that Alan Heard redacted or edited a document and sent it to you?	,
10 event that you would put in your diary? 11 A. I put the conclusions in the 12 diary. 13 Q. You put in your diary you went 14 golfing, you went to see the golf		8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT: Sustained. Q. So no not even in this so-called diary do you have written down that Alan Heard redacted or edited a document and sent it to you? Did you write down that Alan	,
10 event that you would put in your diary? 11 A. I put the conclusions in the 12 diary. 13 Q. You put in your diary you went 14 golfing, you went to see the golf 15 tournament at the Masters. Wouldn't you		8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE COURT: Sustained. Q. So no not even in this so-called diary do you have written down that Alan Heard reducted or edited a document and sent it to you? Did you write down that Alan Heard reducted the document and sent it to	,
10 event that you would put in your diary? 11 A. I put the conclusions in the 12 diary. 13 Q. You put in your diary you went 14 golfing, you went to see the golf 15 tournament at the Masters. Wouldn't you 16 put in something as momentous as this?		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT: Sustained. Q. So no not even in this so-called diary do you have written down that Alan Heard reducted or edited a document and sent it to you? Did you write down that Alan Heard reducted the document and sent it to you, sir?	
10 event that you would put in your diary? 11 A. I put the conclusions in the 12 diary. 13 Q. You put in your diary you went 14 golfing, you went to see the golf 15 tournament at the Masters. Wouldn't you 16 put in something as momentous as this? 17 THE COURT: Did you regard it as		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT: Sustained. Q. So no not even in this so-called diary do you have written down that Alan Heard reducted or edited a document and sent it to you? Did you write down that Alan Heard reducted the document and sent it to you, sir? A. No, I think I wrote in the diary	
10 event that you would put in your diary? 11 A. I put the conclusions in the 12 diary. 13 Q. You put in your diary you went 14 golfing, you went to see the golf 15 tournament at the Masters. Wouldn't you 16 put in something as momentous as this? 17 THE COURT: Did you regard it as 18 a significant event at the time it		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT: Sustained. Q. So no not even in this so-called diary do you have written down that Alan Heard reducted or edited a document and sent it to you? Did you write down that Alan Heard reducted the document and sent it to you, sir? A. No, I think I wrote in the diary that Ray Thornton and Kendrick Wells —	
10 event that you would put in your diary? 11 A. I put the conclusions in the 12 diary. 13 Q. You put in your diary you went 14 golfing, you went to see the golf 15 tournament at the Masters. Wouldn't you 16 put in something as momentous as this? 17 THE COURT: Did you regard it as 18 a significant event at the time it 19 occurred?		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE COURT: Sustained. Q. So no not even in this so-called diary do you have written down that Alan Heard reducted or edited a document and sent it to you? Did you write down that Alan Heard reducted the document and sent it to you, sir? A. No, I think I wrote in the diary that Ray Thornton and Kendrick Wells — Q. Sir, did you write down in the	
10 event that you would put in your diary? 11 A. I put the conclusions in the 12 diary. 13 Q. You put in your diary you went 14 golfing, you went to see the golf 15 tournament at the Masters. Wouldn't you 16 put in something as thomentous as this? 17 THE COURT: Did you regard it as 18 a significant event at the time it 19 occurred? 20 THE WITNESS: It was something		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT: Sustained. Q. So no not even in this so-called diary do you have written down that Alan Heard redacted or edited a document and sent it to you? Did you write down that Alan Heard redacted the document and sent it to you, sir? A. No, I think I wrote in the diary that Ray Thornton and Kendrick Wells—Q. Sir, did you write down in the diary that Alan Heard redacted and edited	
10 event that you would put in your diary? 11 A. I put the conclusions in the 12 diary. 13 Q. You put in your diary you went 14 golfing, you went to see the golf 15 tournament at the Masters. Wouldn't you 16 put in something as momentous as this? 17 THE COURT: Did you regard it as 18 a significant event at the time it 19 occurred? 20 THE WITNESS: It was something 21 that was told to me to do. It was		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT: Sustained. Q. So no not even in this so-called diary do you have written down that Alan Heard redacted or edited a document and sent it to you? Did you write down that Alan Heard redacted the document and sent it to you, sir? A. No, I think I wrote in the diary that Ray Thornton and Kendrick Wells—Q. Sir, did you write down in the diary that Alan Heard redacted and edited a document and sent it to you? Did you	
10 event that you would put in your diary? 11 A. I put the conclusions in the 12 diary. 13 Q. You put in your diary you went 14 golfing, you went to see the golf 15 tournament at the Masters. Wouldn't you 16 put in something as thomentous as this? 17 THE COURT: Did you regard it as 18 a significant event at the time it 19 occurred? 20 THE WITNESS: It was something 21 that was told to me to do. It was 22 something		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT: Sustained. Q. So no not even in this so-called diary do you have written down that Alan Heard redacted or edited a document and sent it to you? Did you write down that Alan Heard redacted the document and sent it to you, sir? A. No, I think I wrote in the diary that Ray Thornton and Kendrick Wells—Q. Sir, did you write down in the diary that Alan Heard redacted and edited a document and sent it to you? Did you write it down in your diary?	
10 event that you would put in your diary? 11 A. I put the conclusions in the 12 diary. 13 Q. You put in your diary you went 14 golfing, you went to see the golf 15 tournament at the Masters. Wouldn't you 16 put in something as momentous as this? 17 THE COURT: Did you regard it as 18 a significant event at the time it 19 occurred? 20 THE WITNESS: It was something 21 that was told to me to do. It was	j	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: Sustained. Q. So no not even in this so-called diary do you have written down that Alan Heard redacted or edited a document and sent it to you? Did you write down that Alan Heard redacted the document and sent it to you, sir? A. No, I think I wrote in the diary that Ray Thornton and Kendrick Wells—Q. Sir, did you write down in the diary that Alan Heard redacted and edited a document and sent it to you? Did you	

Page 1223 - Page 1226

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Brown & Williamson vs. ' ind

i

ł

Multi-Page™

7/18/96

Pag	ge 1227 Page 123
I Q. Was this diary your reflections	1 conclusion is in the diary. The specific
2 of your time at B & W and the things that	2 document is not in the diary.
3 occurred at B & W?	3 THE COURT: They want the
4 A. And it was done monthly, it was	4 specific document.
5 done sometimes every quarter or every two	5 MR. ALDOCK: But he says it's
6 months.	6 not there, he doesn't have it.
7 Q. So you forgot after a couple	7 THE COURT: I know. I assume
s weeks that something as significant as	8 that Brown & Williamson has some way to go
9 this happened and just didn't write it	9 to be back on the paper trail and if he
o down? Is that what you want us to	10 says it's been changed they want to check
1 believe?	11 the original docket and try to sec I
2 Alan Heard never sent you an	12 mean, I guess -
edited document, did he?	13 MR. ALDOCK: They have what -
4 A. Yes, he did.	14 look, they have they asked for his
5 Q. Sir, you can't identify a single	15 recollection. He says he doesn't have it.
6 shred of evidence as to what that	16 They have that conclusion. That's what
7 document, supposed document is. You can't	17 they have.
s name it. You can't tell us when it was	18 THE COURT: That's the
9 done. You can't even show us in your	19 conclusion, they want the facts.
o diary where you made any entry about it.	20 MR. ALDOCK: But he can't give
I It never happened.	21 him what he can't remember.
	22 MR. SHEFFLER. Your Honor, this
The state of the s	23 man can't just get out there and make
3 Q. Did it happen last year?	24 these wild claims and then say I don't
4 A. What happened last year?	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page 1228 1 have any proof but it happened. And then
1 Q. Is this when you came to the	
2 conclusion it happened? Did you come to	2 when we try to ask him for the proof he
3 the conclusion it happened last year?	3 says, I forget.
4 A. No, that conclusion happened in	4 MR. ALDOCY: They got the
5 1990 and I think my diary clearly	5 conclusion.
6 reflected -	I Z THE WINDERS A SOURCE VAN
	6 THE WITNESS: I can give you
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir?	7 proof of one specific incident.
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir?	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir? 8 A. I think my diary clearly	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said 9 that I'm going to give Brown & Williamson
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir? 8 A. I think my diary clearly 9 reflects 0 Q. In 1991?	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said 9 that I'm going to give Brown & Williamson 10 whatever time they need to go into this
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir? 8 A. I think my diary clearly 9 reflects 0 Q. In 1991? 1 A. Are you going to let me finish	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said 9 that I'm going to give Brown & Williamson 10 whatever time they need to go into this 11 with specificity. You have made a charge
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir? 8 A. I think my diary clearly 9 reflects 0 Q. In 1991? 1 A. Are you going to let me finish	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said 9 that I'm going to give Brown & Williamson 10 whatever time they need to go into this
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir? 8 A. I think my diary clearly 9 reflects 0 Q. In 1991? 1 A. Are you going to let me finish 2 or do you want me not to continue? I	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said 9 that I'm going to give Brown & Williamson 10 whatever time they need to go into this 11 with specificity. You have made a charge 12 and they are permitted to ask you to name 13 the document.
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir? 8 A. I think my diary clearly 9 reflects — 0 Q. In 1991? 1 A. Are you going to let me finish 2 or do you want me not to continue? I 3 think my diary clearly reflects —	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said 9 that I'm going to give Brown & Williamson 10 whatever time they need to go into this 11 with specificity. You have made a charge 12 and they are permitted to ask you to name 13 the document. 14 And if it was such a significant
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir? 8 A. I think my diary clearly 9 reflects — 0 Q. In 1991? 1 A. Are you going to let me finish 2 or do you want me not to continue? I 3 think my diary clearly reflects — 4 Q. All right, sir. Get your diary	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said 9 that I'm going to give Brown & Williamson 10 whatever time they need to go into this 11 with specificity. You have made a charge 12 and they are permitted to ask you to name 13 the document. 14 And if it was such a significant 15 event that you go public on 60 Minutes.
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir? 8 A. I think my diary clearly 9 reflects — 0 Q. In 1991? 1 A. Are you going to let me finish 2 or do you want me not to continue? I 3 think my diary clearly reflects — 4 Q. All right, sir. Get your diary 5 and show us where —	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said 9 that I'm going to give Brown & Williamson 10 whatever time they need to go into this 11 with specificity. You have made a charge 12 and they are permitted to ask you to name 13 the document. 14 And if it was such a significant
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir? 8 A. I think my diary clearly 9 reflects — 0 Q. In 1991? 1 A. Are you going to let me finish 2 or do you want me not to continue? I 3 think my diary clearly reflects — 4 Q. All right, sir. Get your diary 5 and show us where — 6 MR. ALDOCK: Can he finish the	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said 9 that I'm going to give Brown & Williamson 10 whatever time they need to go into this 11 with specificity. You have made a charge 12 and they are permitted to ask you to name 13 the document. 14 And if it was such a significant 15 event that you go public on 60 Minutes.
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir? 8 A. I think my diary clearly 9 reflects — 0 Q. In 1991? 1 A. Are you going to let me finish 2 or do you want me not to continue? I 3 think my diary clearly reflects — 4 Q. All right, sir. Get your diary 5 and show us where — 6 MR. ALDOCK: Can he finish the 7 sentence?	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said 9 that I'm going to give Brown & Williamson 10 whatever time they need to go into this 11 with specificity. You have made a charge 12 and they are permitted to ask you to name 13 the document. 14 And if it was such a significant 15 event that you go public on 60 Minutes, 16 but you can't remember the document,
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir? 8 A. I think my diary clearly 9 reflects — 0 Q. In 1991? 1 A. Are you going to let me finish 2 or do you want me not to continue? I 3 think my diary clearly reflects — 4 Q. All right, sir. Get your diary 5 and show us where — 6 MR. ALDOCK: Can he finish the 7 sentence? 8 THE WITNESS: My diary clearly	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said 9 that I'm going to give Brown & Williamson 10 whatever time they need to go into this 11 with specificity. You have made a charge 12 and they are permitted to ask you to name 13 the document. 14 And if it was such a significant 15 event that you go public on 60 Minutes, 16 but you can't remember the document, 17 somebody, a judge or a jury, is going to
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir? 8 A. I think my diary clearly 9 reflects — 0 Q. In 1991? 1 A. Are you going to let me finish 2 or do you want me not to continue? I 3 think my diary clearly reflects — 4 Q. All right, sir. Get your diary 5 and show us where — 6 MR. ALDOCK: Can he finish the 7 sentence? 8 THE WITNESS: My diary clearly 9 reflects the conclusions of what happened.	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said 9 that I'm going to give Brown & Williamson 10 whatever time they need to go into this 11 with specificity. You have made a charge 12 and they are permitted to ask you to name 13 the document. 14 And if it was such a significant 15 event that you go public on 60 Minutes, 16 but you can't remember the document, 17 somebody, a judge or a jury, is going to 18 be permitted to draw a conclusion from 19 that.
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir? 8 A. I think my diary clearly 9 reflects — 0 Q. In 1991? 1 A. Are you going to let me finish 2 or do you want me not to continue? I 3 think my diary clearly reflects — 4 Q. All right, sir. Get your diary 5 and show us where — 6 MR. ALDOCK: Can he finish the 7 sentence? 8 THE WITNESS: My diary clearly 9 reflects the conclusions of what happened. 10 Q. What we want, sir, is the edited	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said 9 that I'm going to give Brown & Williamson 10 whatever time they need to go into this 11 with specificity. You have made a charge 12 and they are permitted to ask you to name 13 the document. 14 And if it was such a significant 15 event that you go public on 60 Minutes, 16 but you can't remember the document, 17 somebody, a judge or a jury, is going to 18 be permitted to draw a conclusion from 19 that. 20 THE WITNESS: I don't think
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir? 8 A. I think my diary clearly 9 reflects — 0 Q. In 1991? 1 A. Are you going to let me finish 2 or do you want me not to continue? I 3 think my diary clearly reflects — 4 Q. All right, sir. Get your diary 5 and show us where — 6 MR. ALDOCK: Can he finish the 7 sentence? 8 THE WITNESS: My diary clearly 9 reflects the conclusions of what happened. 10 Q. What we want, sir, is the edited. 11 document or reference to it.	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said 9 that I'm going to give Brown & Williamson 10 whatever time they need to go into this 11 with specificity. You have made a charge 12 and they are permitted to ask you to name 13 the document. 14 And if it was such a significant 15 event that you go public on 60 Minutes, 16 but you can't remember the document, 17 somebody, a judge or a jury, is going to 18 be permitted to draw a conclusion from 19 that. 20 THE WITNESS: I don't think 21 that's going to be — that's not going
7 Q. It happened in 1990, sir? 8 A. I think my diary clearly 9 reflects — 0 Q. In 1991? 1 A. Are you going to let me finish 2 or do you want me not to continue? I 3 think my diary clearly reflects — 4 Q. All right, sir. Get your diary 5 and show us where — 6 MR. ALDOCK: Can he finish the 7 sentence? 8 THE WITNESS: My diary clearly 9 reflects the conclusions of what happened.	7 proof of one specific incident. 8 THE COURT: I have already said 9 that I'm going to give Brown & Williamson 10 whatever time they need to go into this 11 with specificity. You have made a charge 12 and they are permitted to ask you to name 13 the document. 14 And if it was such a significant 15 event that you go public on 60 Minutes, 16 but you can't remember the document, 17 somebody, a judge or a jury, is going to 18 be permitted to draw a conclusion from 19 that. 20 THE WITNESS: I don't think

Page 1227 - Page 1230

Curtin. Schneider & Lawrey

Brown & Williamson vs. V sd.

Multi-Page™

7/18/96

Volume 8		
Page 123		Page 1233
Brown & Williamson every possibility to	1 it turned out to be Dr. Baker. And t	DOW
2 defend the charge that you say you can't	2 you say it's not Dr. Baker, it's now	Alan
3 come up with one document to support it.	3 Heard.	
4 They have to be permitted to go into this	4 THE WITNESS: I tried to	
5 at great length.	5 clarify that during that confusion. I	
6 And if it's so significant they	6 knew I couldn't go to Richard Bake	
7 are asking you to go through these	7 a report after 1990 or details of a	_
8 documents, surely to goodness, if it's a	8 report. I knew I could	
9 significant event in your life -	9 THE COURT: You have testific	:d
10 THE WITNESS: I did chronicle	o you have never been denied a docum	nent from
11 in my diary two significant events that	1 Dr. Baker. We have been through a	
12 occurred in terms of document changes. I	2 THE WITNESS: But I aiready	
13 did.	1 knew –	
14 THE COURT: If it's in there.	THE COURT: And you are say:	inė
to it's in there.	5 now that Dr. Baker never changed a	_
16 Q. Okay, then. Can you point out	6 document.	
17 those two changes that Alan Heard -	7 THE WITNESS: I didn't say Dr.	
18 A. Wait a minute. You asked me if	8 Baker changed a document this mor	
	9 I? I don't think I did.	mug, ara
19 I could prove or to establish a practice		
20 or an event in my life during Brown &	•	ı
21 Williamson that was related to document	the asking your	
22 change. And I can.	2 THE WITNESS: No, I don't thin	ık
23 Q. No, sir, no, sir. You have	1) I ever said that, sir.	و د د
24 claimed that Alan Heard edited a	THE COURT: You did say Kee	CETICE
Page 123		Page 1234
i scientific report. That's what we are	1 Wells changed them.	
2 addressing. Alan Heard, you claim, edited	2 THE WITNESS: I said Kendrick	:
3 a scientific report and sent it to you	3 Wells changed documents and I will	stay by
4 from the U.K. That is a serious charge.	4 that for a long time.	
5 A. It's also a serious charge that	5 Q. Are you going to stay by the	
6 minutes of a scientific meeting are	6 fact that Alan Heard changed the	
7 changed by an attorney. That is also a	7 scientific studies for a long time?	
8 serious charge.	8 A. I'm going to say	
9 MR. SHEFFLER: Your Honor, this	9 Q. Because we are going to pursu	
10 is -	0 it until we have to.	
II A. That's what I chronicled.	I A Well, Alan Heard made editin	Œ
12 THE COURT: I'm not sure.	2 marks on a document.	v
13 MR. ALDOCK: That Alan Heard	3 THE COURT: But you have not	!
14 changed a document, your Honor, was never	4 been able - you say you cannot iden	
15 said on TV. What he says is that there is	is any single document that either Ken	_
•	• • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
16 a practice and a policy. Now, with regard	6 Wells of Alan Heard, Dr. Alan Hear	ш,
17 to the practice they with regard to the	7 changed; is that correct?	
18 policy we got that. With regard to the	8 THE WITNESS: I at this time	
19 practice they are cross-examining. And on	9 cannot do that. What I can clearly s	iay,
20 the practice he can't cite the incident.	that the practice was not to put into	
21 Fair enough, they got that.	21 documents contentious information,	
22 THE COURT: We have to go first	2 contentious subject matter.	
23 to the charge. I thought this morning it	23 THE COURT: That's too general	Ų.
24 was Kendrick Wells that did it. And then	24 They are asking you specifically.	

Page 1231 - Page 1234

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Brown & Williamson v. Vigand Multi-Page Volume 8

Page 1237 Page 1235 THE WITNESS: I can't do that 1 report; does it? 2 without spending a lot of time on 2 A. I don't have that recollection 3 today. 3 documents. What documents would you look You don't have it in your diary? 4 Q. 5 at, sir, since you claim to have destroyed I have the practice outlined in 5 A 6 them? 6 the diary. What documents would I like? I You don't have -7 A 7 Q. 8 would like all the documents from Alan I don't have the specific report. 8 A. 9 Heard's files that he ever sent me or There is not a street of evidence 10 to implicate Dr. Heard in what you have 10 exchanged with me or showed with me. Sir, I have shown you the only 11 claimed and disparaged him about? H Q. 12 two faxes that Dr. Alan Heard can recall I haven't disparaged Alan Heard 13 about anything. I have told the truth. 13 ever sending you at home? Well, I'm just saving --The truth, sir -- the truth, sir 14 A. 15 is Dr. Heard doesn't edit scientific Are these - excuse me. Are 15 Q. 16 those two faxes that Dr. Heard, the only 16 reports except for scientific reasons. 17 Isn't that the truth? 17 two faxes he can recall sending you - he 18 doesn't deny sending you documents or I don't believe that's true. 19 But we can find that out. If you can 19 sending you faxes. 20 A. Documents. I haven't seen a 20 provide me the draft reports and you can 21 document yet. 21 provide me all the paperwork, I will go I don't know -- Yeah, he sent 22 through it and I will tell you explicitly 23 you two. We have marked them. We have 23 which documents, and all you have

Page 1236 I'm sure you are never going to I report. 2 find them. Sir, your testimony in this 2 Q. 3 action and your claims have changed Well, sir, Alan Heard is not 3 Q. 4 here to defend himself. 4 dramatically from day-to-day. You claimed 5 on 60 Minutes that reports were kept from 5 A. Why don't we bring him here 6 you. You claimed on 60 Minutes that 6 then? 7 lawyers vetted and kept reports from you. 7 Q. Because this is a deposition of 8 You claimed on 60 Minutes that lawyers 8 you. It's your claims. He never claimed 9 to do any of this. You are the one. In 9 edited reports. Today you have testified that 10 fact, until today, you were impugning 10 11 Kendrick Wells. It's only today that now 11 you got abstracts of all the reports. 12 you have shifted from Kendrick Wells and 12 Today you have testified that you could 13 have called various people in the 13 now you are impugning Dr. Heard. 14 organization in the U.K. and got those 14 The only reason we are asking 15 you these questions, sir, is you are 15 reports. Today you have testified that 16 impugning his reputation. And you are 16 17 claiming that he did this editing. And, 17 Alan Heard was the one who edited reports. 18 Your testimouy, your claims and your 18 sir, you don't have a shred of evidence to 19 testimony have been at odds, sir, and you 19 say that. 20 keep shifting the grounds. Not even your own diary that you

21

24 U.K.

Page 1235 - Page 123:

7/18/96

Page 1238

21 wrote after the, that you wrote supposedly

22 contemporaneously. Not even that has any
 23 evidence of Dr. Heard changing a document,

24 editing a document, a scientific research

24 marked them, didn't we?

We have given you the evidence

22 that we have that you received semi-annual

23 reviews of all the research done at the

24 presented to me today is the final summary

Brown & Williamson vs. V ad Volume 8

		Page 1239			Page 1241
ı	We have given, you, sir, what we		1	MR. ALDOCK: To sit in the	
2	have to demonstrate that you have called		2	middle of a deposition on the fourth day	
3	and have received reports from the U.K. to		3	and read is one thing.	
4	you at Brown & Williamson, without any		4	THE COURT: It's their	
5	lawyer interference, no attorney-client	i	5	deposition.	
	stamp, nothing. Direct from them to you.	i	6	MR. ALDOCK: Well, they're	
	You, sir, have now made your other claims	į	7	entitled to do it. He can't do that now.	
	that we haven't yet to find a shred of		8	MR. SHEFFLER: Why can't he do	
	evidence for, and have not been able to		9	that now?	
	come up with one shred of evidence to		10	MR. ALDOCK: I couldn't do that	
	implicate Dr. Heard in the practice you		11	now.	
	claim he was engaged in. Isn't that		12	THE COURT: If it's so	
	correct?		13	significant that he is alleging that some	
	A. No. No. so.			of these documents have been changed, can	
	Q. Okay. Name the shred of	İ		he not look through there now and see -	
	evidence.	ļ	16	MR. ALDOCK: He doesn't say	
	A. I cannot at this time.	1		these documents have been changed. What	
	O. Then you can't.			he says is that the policy and practice	
19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			was such that documents came in and things	
	20-minute speech you asked him to sign		l	were left out.	
	onto. He said no. He agrees he cannot		21	THE COURT: Can you say,	
	present evidence to substantiate the			Dr. Wigand, that none of these documents	
	practice and policy that he knew existed,			and none of these documents were altered.	
	he agrees to that.		24		
_			H		Page 124
		Page 1240	١.	your Honor, either way, I really can't. I	Page 124
ı	THE COURT: He is saying he			need to see — this is a final document.	
	cannot, he keeps saying at this time and			This is a final summary. It was done	
	the obvious danger as we all know as			consistent. These documents were done	
	lawyers is on the morning on the day of		ı		
	trial, unlikely he will name documents and		-	consistent -	
	they won't have time this is their		6	_	
-	ter to the second of the secon		١.	.*	
	discovery opportunity. They should be		1	consistent, yet you can't name one?	
B	able to discover it now so they can defend		В	THE WITNESS: May I finish	
8 9	able to discover it now so they can defend against that charge. If he comes up on		B 9	THE WITNESS: May I finish please? These documents were produced	
8 9 10	able to discover it now so they can defend against that charge. If he comes up on day of trial and now my memory is much		9 10	THE WITNESS: May I finish please? These documents were produced consistent with a policy —	
8 9 10	able to discover it now so they can defend against that charge. If he comes up on day of trial and now my memory is much better and I can name document A, B, C, D,		9 10	THE WITNESS: May I finish please? These documents were produced consistent with a policy — THE COURT: When you use the	
8 9 10	able to discover it now so they can defend against that charge. If he comes up on day of trial and now my memory is much better and I can name document A, B, C, D, E		9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: May I finish please? These documents were produced consistent with a policy — THE COURT: When you use the word "consistent," are you saying —	
8 9 10	able to discover it now so they can defend against that charge. If he comes up on day of trial and now my memory is much better and I can name document A, B, C, D, E		8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: May I finish please? These documents were produced consistent with a policy — THE COURT: When you use the word "consistent," are you saying — because you said two different things	
8 9 10 11 12	able to discover it now so they can defend against that charge. If he comes up on day of trial and now my memory is much better and I can name document A, B, C, D, E MR. SHEFFLER: Well, let me ask the question this way.		10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: May I finish please? These documents were produced consistent with a policy — THE COURT: When you use the word "consistent," are you saying — because you said two different things there. Are you saying that these were	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	able to discover it now so they can defend against that charge. If he comes up on day of trial and now my memory is much better and I can name document A, B, C, D, E MR. SHEFFLER: Well, let me ask the question this way. MR: ALDOCK: The problem, your		10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: May I finish please? These documents were produced consistent with a policy — THE COURT: When you use the word "consistent," are you saying — because you said two different things there. Are you saying that these were consistently changed, consistently	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	able to discover it now so they can defend against that charge. If he comes up on day of trial and now my memory is much better and I can name document A, B, C, D, E MR. SHEFFLER: Well, let me ask the question this way. MR: ALDOCK: The problem, your Honor, was in part compounded by them. We	:	11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: May I finish please? These documents were produced consistent with a policy — THE COURT: When you use the word "consistent," are you saying — because you said two different things there. Are you saying that these were consistently changed, consistently altered? Would that include the majority	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	able to discover it now so they can defend against that charge. If he comes up on day of trial and now my memory is much better and I can name document A, B, C, D, E MR. SHEFFLER: Well, let me ask the question this way. MR: ALDOCK: The problem, your	:	11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: May I finish please? These documents were produced consistent with a policy — THE COURT: When you use the word "consistent," are you saying — because you said two different things there. Are you saying that these were consistently changed, consistently altered? Would that include the majority of these documents?	
B 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	able to discover it now so they can defend against that charge. If he comes up on day of trial and now my memory is much better and I can name document A, B, C, D, E MR. SHEFFLER: Well, let me ask the question this way. MR: ALDOCK: The problem, your Honor, was in part compounded by them. We	•	11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: May I finish please? These documents were produced consistent with a policy — THE COURT: When you use the word "consistent," are you saying — because you said two different things there. Are you saying that these were consistently changed, consistently altered? Would that include the majority of these documents? THE WITNESS: Some of them may	
B 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	able to discover it now so they can defend against that charge. If he comes up on day of trial and now my memory is much better and I can name document A, B, C, D, E MR. SHEFFLER: Well, let me ask the question this way. MR: ALDOCK: The problem, your Honor, was in part compounded by them. We asked for the documents and we couldn't get them.	•	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: May I finish please? These documents were produced consistent with a policy — THE COURT: When you use the word "consistent," are you saying — because you said two different things there. Are you saying that these were consistently changed, consistently altered? Would that include the majority of these documents? THE WITNESS: Some of them may have. I can't point out the specific	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	able to discover it now so they can defend against that charge. If he comes up on day of trial and now my memory is much better and I can name document A, B, C, D, E MR. SHEFFLER: Well, let me ask the question this way. MR: ALDOCK: The problem, your Honor, was in part compounded by them. We asked for the documents and we couldn't get them.	• ·	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: May I finish please? These documents were produced consistent with a policy — THE COURT: When you use the word "consistent," are you saying — because you said two different things there. Are you saying that these were consistently changed, consistently altered? Would that include the majority of these documents? THE WITNESS: Some of them may have. I can't point out the specific document. Some of them may not have been	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	able to discover it now so they can defend against that charge. If he comes up on day of trial and now my memory is much better and I can name document A, B, C, D, E MR. SHEFFLER: Well, let me ask the question this way. MR: ALDOCK: The problem, your Honor, was in part compounded by them. We asked for the documents and we couldn't get them. MR. SHEFFLER: Oh, wait a minute		10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: May I finish please? These documents were produced consistent with a policy — THE COURT: When you use the word "consistent," are you saying — because you said two different things there. Are you saying that these were consistently changed, consistently altered? Would that include the majority of these documents? THE WITNESS: Some of them may have. I can't point out the specific document. Some of them may not have been changed at all. Some of them clearly may	•
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	able to discover it now so they can defend against that charge. If he comes up on day of trial and now my memory is much better and I can name document A, B, C, D, E MR. SHEFFLER: Well, let me ask the question this way. MR: ALDOCK: The problem, your Honor, was in part compounded by them. We asked for the documents and we couldn't get them. MR. SHEFFLER: Oh, wait a minute	•	19 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: May I finish please? These documents were produced consistent with a policy — THE COURT: When you use the word "consistent," are you saying — because you said two different things there. Are you saying that these were consistently changed, consistently altered? Would that include the majority of these documents? THE WITNESS: Some of them may have. I can't point out the specific document. Some of them may not have been changed at all. Some of them clearly may not have been changed at all. But these	•
B 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	able to discover it now so they can defend against that charge. If he comes up on day of trial and now my memory is much better and I can name document A, B, C, D, E MR. SHEFFLER: Well, let me ask the question this way. MR: ALDOCK: The problem, your Honor, was in part compounded by them. We asked for the documents and we couldn't get them. MR. SHEFFLER: Oh, wait a minute - MR. ALDOCK. If that would refresh his recollection		19 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: May I finish please? These documents were produced consistent with a policy — THE COURT: When you use the word "consistent," are you saying — because you said two different things there. Are you saying that these were consistently changed, consistently altered? Would that include the majority of these documents? THE WITNESS: Some of them may have. I can't point out the specific document. Some of them may not have been changed at all. Some of them clearly may	

Page 1239 - Page 1242

	Page 1243			Page 124
1 existing policy		ı	entitled to ask you specifically, were any	
2 MR. SHEFFLER: Your Honor -			of those documents altered.	
	ļ	i	Now we are going to get on with	
3 MR ALDOCK: You answer the 4 Judge's question.	į	4	it, folks. I have answered. I'm not	
			going to change my mind. Take ten and	
5 THE WITNESS: The existing	1		look it over.	
6 policy	i	۰	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going	
7 THE COURT. We are not talking		7		
8 about the policy. We are trying to get			off the record. The time is approximately	
9 back to specifics. They want you to name		-	2:16.	
0 any document, just one, if you will?	I	10	(Recess taken.)	
1 THE WITNESS: I cannot do that		11	THE COURT: Back on the record.	
2 at this time. All I can tell you -		12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the	
3 THE COURT: It's always at this		13	record. The time is approximately 2:34.	
4 time, "at this time," leaves them up		14	THE COURT: Okay, now counsel,	
5 against a trial date.			let's try something here. Various	
6 MR. ALDOCK: I don't know, your			documents have been assembled here. Do	
7 Honor, they have, at this deposition,		17	you want to go through the listing of	
8 established that he believes there was a		18	this? I think Mr. Aldock wants to write	
policy and a practice and he is confident		19	them down anyway.	
that it was done		20	MR. WALLACE: We have given Mr.	
1 THE COURT: We have eliminated		21	Wigand the B.A.T. 1990 and 1991 work	
2 the policy and practice.		22	programs. And the semi-annual review	
3 MR. ALDOCK: But he can't	į	23	notes as follows: September '89 through	
4 produce any he can't produce any			February '90; March '90 through 8-90;	
	Page 1244	-		Page 124
THE COURT: We are not - they	1 880 1244	1	September '90 through February '91.	
		2	MR. ALDOCK: Wait a minute.	
2 want to talk about specifies, I have 3 answered that ad infinitum.	1	_	September '90, February '91, right?	
		4	MR. WALLACE: March '91 through	
4 MR. ALDOCK: He has agreed that		7	August '91. September '91 through April	
5 he can't, as he sits here today, name a			'92.	
6 document that consistent with that		7		
7 practice he knows was edited and they will			MR. ALDOCK: April '92.	
	1		THE COURT OF A 44 that in	
		8	THE COURT: Okay. And that is	
9 established that here.		8	these things assembled here in front of	
9 established that here. 0 THE COURT: They are going to		8 9 10	these things assembled here in front of Dr. Wigand; is that right?	
9 established that here. 0 THE COURT: They are going to 1 get it now in the discovery, period.	Ì	8 9 10 11	these things assembled here in front of Dr. Wigand; is that right? MR. WALLACE: Yes, sir.	
9 established that here. 10 THE COURT: They are going to 1 get it now in the discovery, period. 2 MR. ALDOCK: But he's		8 9 10 11	these things assembled here in front of Dr. Wigand; is that right? MR. WALLACE: Yes, sir. Actually, one of the items was marked as	
9 established that here. 10 THE COURT: They are going to 1 get it now in the discovery, period. 2 MR. ALDOCK: But he's		8 9 10 11	these things assembled here in front of Dr. Wigand; is that right? MR. WALLACE: Yes, sir. Actually, one of the items was marked as an exhibit. So we have simply taken —	
9 established that here. 10 THE COURT: They are going to 1 get it now in the discovery, period. 2 MR. ALDOCK: But he's 3 admitted he can't give him something		8 9 10 11 12 13	these things assembled here in front of Dr. Wigand; is that right? MR. WALLACE: Yes, sir. Actually, one of the items was marked as an exhibit. So we have simply taken — MR. ALDOCK: Okay. One is not	
9 established that here. 10 THE COURT: They are going to 1 get it now in the discovery, period. 2 MR ALDOCK: But he's 3 admitted he can't give him something 4 that doesn't exist.		8 9 10 11 12 13	these things assembled here in front of Dr. Wigand; is that right? MR. WALLACE: Yes, sir. Actually, one of the items was marked as an exhibit. So we have simply taken —	
9 established that here. 10 THE COURT: They are going to 21 get it now in the discovery, period. 22 MR. ALDOCK: But he's 3 admitted he can't give him something 4 that doesn't exist. 5 THE COURT: Then they have		8 9 10 11 12 13	these things assembled here in front of Dr. Wigand; is that right? MR. WALLACE: Yes, sir. Actually, one of the items was marked as an exhibit. So we have simply taken — MR. ALDOCK: Okay. One is not there but somewhere else?	
9 established that here. 10 THE COURT: They are going to get it now in the discovery, period. 2 MR. ALDOCK: But he's admitted he can't give him something that doesn't exist. 3 THE COURT: Then they have 6 offered him the time to look them over.		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	these things assembled here in front of Dr. Wigand; is that right? MR. WALLACE: Yes, sir. Actually, one of the items was marked as an exhibit. So we have simply taken — MR. ALDOCK: Okay. One is not there but somewhere else?	
9 established that here. 10 THE COURT: They are going to 1 get it now in the discovery, period. 2 MR. ALDOCK: But he's 3 admitted he can't give him something 4 that doesn't exist. 5 THE COURT: Then they have 6 offered him the time to look them over. 7 We are going to do it. Let's get on with		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	these things assembled here in front of Dr. Wigand; is that right? MR. WALLACE: Yes, sir. Actually, one of the items was marked as an exhibit. So we have simply taken — MR. ALDOCK: Okay. One is not there but somewhere else? MR. WALLACE: No, it's there. They are all there.	
9 established that here. 10 THE COURT: They are going to get it now in the discovery, period. 2 MR. ALDOCK: But he's admitted he can't give him something that doesn't exist. 3 THE COURT: Then they have offered him the time to look them over. 4 We are going to do it. Let's get on with it.		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	these things assembled here in front of Dr. Wigand; is that right? MR. WALLACE: Yes, sir. Actually, one of the items was marked as an exhibit. So we have simply taken — MR. ALDOCK: Okay. One is not there but somewhere else? MR. WALLACE: No, it's there. They are all there.	
9 established that here. 10 THE COURT: They are going to 11 get it now in the discovery, period. 2 MR. ALDOCK: But he's 2 admitted he can't give him something 4 that doesn't exist. 5 THE COURT: Then they have 6 offered him the time to look them over. 7 We are going to do it. Let's get on with 8 it. 9 Look over the documents.		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	these things assembled here in front of Dr. Wigand; is that right? MR. WALLACE: Yes, sir. Actually, one of the items was marked as an exhibit. So we have simply taken — MR. ALDOCK: Okay. One is not there but somewhere else? MR. WALLACE: No, it's there. They are all there. MR. ALDOCK: It's there, it's the exhibit.	
9 established that here. 10 THE COURT: They are going to 11 get it now in the discovery, period. 2 MR. ALDOCK: But he's 2 admitted he can't give him something 3 that doesn't exist. 5 THE COURT: Then they have 6 offered him the time to look them over. 7 We are going to do it. Let's get on with 8 it. 9 Look over the documents. 10 THE WITNESS: How would you		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	these things assembled here in front of Dr. Wigand; is that right? MR. WALLACE: Yes, sir. Actually, one of the items was marked as an exhibit. So we have simply taken — MR. ALDOCK: Okay. One is not there but somewhere else? MR. WALLACE: No, it's there. They are all there. MR. ALDOCK: It's there, it's the exhibit. MR. WALLACE: It's all in a pile.	
9 established that here. 10 THE COURT: They are going to 11 get it now in the discovery, period. 2 MR. ALDOCK: But he's 2 admitted he can't give him something 4 that doesn't exist. 5 THE COURT: Then they have 6 offered him the time to look them over. 7 We are going to do it. Let's get on with 8 it. 9 Look over the documents. 11 THE WITNESS: How would you. 12 like me to do this?		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	these things assembled here in front of Dr. Wigand; is that right? MR. WALLACE: Yes, sir. Actually, one of the items was marked as an exhibit. So we have simply taken — MR. ALDOCK: Okay. One is not there but somewhere else? MR. WALLACE: No, it's there. They are all there. MR. ALDOCK: It's there, it's the exhibit. MR. WALLACE: It's all in a pile. MR. ALDOCK: I see, right. I	
get it now in the discovery, period. MR. ALDOCK: But he's admitted he can't give him something that doesn't exist. THE COURT: Then they have offered him the time to look them over. We are going to do it. Let's get on with the time to look over the documents.		8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	these things assembled here in front of Dr. Wigand; is that right? MR. WALLACE: Yes, sir. Actually, one of the items was marked as an exhibit. So we have simply taken — MR. ALDOCK: Okay. One is not there but somewhere else? MR. WALLACE: No, it's there. They are all there. MR. ALDOCK: It's there, it's the exhibit. MR. WALLACE: It's all in a pile. MR. ALDOCK: I see, right. I see, okay.	

Page 1243 - Page 1246

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Brown & Williamson vs. W ad

/al	ume 8		_		
	P:	age 1247			Page 124
1 5	summations of studies?		1	How long would you need to go	
2	MR. SHEFFLER: Those are the		2	through all those? The starting point is,	
3 8	abstracts, the scientific summaries or in		3	if you were to tell me, no, I cannot look	
	scientific lexicon, they are called		4	at these and that would not refresh my	
	abstracts -		5	memory, that's not, it's not possible to	
6	THE COURT: Okay.		6	name a document by looking at these.	
7	MR. SHEFFLER: - of the		7	There is no use even reading all those is	
8 1	research. Those are the reviews of the		8	the way I would look at it.	
, ,	research which set forth briefly a		9	But if you say, yes, in looking	
	summary, the methodology used, a brief		10	at these it's possible one of them would	
	discussion, and the conclusion. In some		11	flag your memory, jog your memory, and	
	instances where the project is ongoing it		12	then you could say, that is one of the	
	amply reports the progress.		13	documents that was altered. Then it's	
1	That is the report, as I believe		14	worth looking at those.	
	Mr. Wigand has previously restified, of		15	THE WITNESS: Your Honor,	
	the research projects that were being done		16	clearly, this is something I had - it's	
	at Southampton at that time.			more than what I came in here today	
	THE COURT: Okay. Dr. Wigand,			prepared. I have not read these documents	
	if you were to take the time now or			in years.	
	whenever and look over all of these		20	THE COURT: 1 appreciate that.	
	documents, is there any possibility that		21	THE WITNESS: And it's clear	
	you could then name any of those documents		22	that a very good starting point for me to	
-	as among those altered?			go through these documents. And I believe	
, -	THE WITNESS: I believe I can.			once I go through them, it should jog my	
	Pi	age 1248			Page 12
t 5	sir.	_	1	memory.	
2	THE COURT: I mean, with these		2	THE COURT: All right.	
,	you can do that?		3	MR. ALDOCK: Your Honor, why	
, '	THE WITNESS: Yes, if I have an		4	don't I make a I have a narrow proposal	
	opportunity, a reasonable opportunity to		5	to address your Honor's concerns.	
	go through these documents carefully. 1		6	THE COURT: I'm sorry. And then	
	mean, read through them, put them down for		7	on the other hand, if there was a document	
	a while, and then pick them up again for a		8	request, would Brown & Williamson then be	
	while and read them through again, I am			prepared to say, this is the extent of the	
	sure I can pick out the document, specific			documents that would be furnished?	
				MR. ALDOCK: I think they would	
	tocument and subject area.		11	WIC VITTOCK: I fritter right world	
	document and subject area. THE COURT: And how long would				
2	THE COURT: And how long would		12	give us more, wouldn't they, if we made a	
:	THE COURT: And how long would that take? Ballpark figure. I mean, I'm		12	give us more, wouldn't they, if we made a full document request?	
: : 1	THE COURT: And how long would that take? Ballpark figure. I mean, I'm not going to totally hold you to it. What		12 13 14	give us more, wouldn't they, if we made a full document request? THE COURT: In regard to this	
! 	THE COURT: And how long would that take? Ballpark figure. I mean, I'm not going to totally hold you to it. What I am trying to consider here is, Mr.		12 13 14 15	give us more, wouldn't they, if we made a full document request? THE COURT: In regard to this issue. Not other documents but in regard	
2 5 1 5 1 5 1	THE COURT: And how long would that take? Ballpark figure. I mean, I'm not going to totally hold you to it. What I am trying to consider here is, Mr. Milliman said, and he said it off the		12 13 14 15	give us more, wouldn't they, if we made a full document request? THE COURT: In regard to this issue. Not other documents but in regard to the issues, in regard to the issues of	
2 5 t 5 1 5 1 7 t	THE COURT: And how long would that take? Ballpark figure. I mean, I'm not going to totally hold you to it. What I am trying to consider here is, Mr. Milliman said, and he said it off the record, and I said let's put it off the		12 13 14 15 16	give us more, wouldn't they, if we made a full document request? THE COURT: In regard to this issue. Not other documents but in regard to the issues, in regard to the issues of the documents that he contends were	
2 3 1 3 1 5 1 7 1 8 1	THE COURT: And how long would that take? Ballpark figure. I mean, I'm not going to totally hold you to it. What I am trying to consider here is, Mr. Milliman said, and he said it off the record, and I said let's put it off the record. That he wants to move or wants me		12 13 14 15 16 17	give us more, wouldn't they, if we made a full document request? THE COURT: In regard to this issue. Not other documents but in regard to the issues, in regard to the issues of the documents that he contends were altered.	
2 3 t 5 1 6 l 7 (8 1	THE COURT: And how long would that take? Ballpark figure. I mean, I'm not going to totally hold you to it. What I am trying to consider here is, Mr. Milliman said, and he said it off the record, and I said let's put it off the record. That he wants to move or wants me to request, however he said it, for		12 13 14 15 16 17 18	give us more, wouldn't they, if we made a full document request? THE COURT: In regard to this issue. Not other documents but in regard to the issues, in regard to the issues of the documents that he contends were altered. MR. ALDOCK: Yes. There has got	
2 3 1 4 1 5 1 7 1 8 1 9 1	THE COURT: And how long would that take? Ballpark figure. I mean, I'm not going to totally hold you to it. What I am trying to consider here is, Mr. Milliman said, and he said it off the record, and I said let's put it off the record. That he wants to move or wants me to request, however he said it, for additional time to take the deposition.		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	give us more, wouldn't they, if we made a full document request? THE COURT: In regard to this issue. Not other documents but in regard to the issues, in regard to the issues of the documents that he contends were altered. MR. ALDOCK: Yes. There has got to be more documents than that. When we	
2 3 1 5 1 7 (8 1 9 1	THE COURT: And how long would that take? Ballpark figure. I mean, I'm not going to totally hold you to it. What I am trying to consider here is, Mr. Milliman said, and he said it off the record, and I said let's put it off the record. That he wants to move or wants me to request, however he said it, for additional time to take the deposition, which I assume would not be next week		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	give us more, wouldn't they, if we made a full document request? THE COURT: In regard to this issue. Not other documents but in regard to the issues, in regard to the issues of the documents that he contends were altered. MR. ALDOCK: Yes. There has got to be more documents than that. When we are allowed to make a document request for	
2	THE COURT: And how long would that take? Ballpark figure. I mean, I'm not going to totally hold you to it. What I am trying to consider here is, Mr. Milliman said, and he said it off the record, and I said let's put it off the record. That he wants to move or wants me to request, however he said it, for additional time to take the deposition.		12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	give us more, wouldn't they, if we made a full document request? THE COURT: In regard to this issue. Not other documents but in regard to the issues, in regard to the issues of the documents that he contends were altered. MR. ALDOCK: Yes. There has got to be more documents than that. When we	

Page 1247 - Page 1250

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Brown & Williamson vs. V and

7/18/96

/clume 8	Page 1251		Page 1253
1 not?	- ,	edited at Brown & Williamson to you?	
- 11 (.)	Į.	A. No.	
2 MR. SHEFFLER: Well, it depends 3 how you define research. These are the	ļ ·	3 O. Okay, So, if Mr. Aldock	
	,	THE COURT: There is a hold there.	
4 research projects. These are the reviews] .	You say - he can ask you about it at home	
5 of the research projects.	1	and you said, no, implying that you got	•
6 MR. ALDOCK: These are the	1	them at places other than at your home.	
7 summaries. We are going to ask him -			
8 when we are allowed to make a document			
9 request, we are going to ask for all		O Q. But not at Brown & Williamson. O So they wouldn't be in Brown &	
o documents, among other things, all		•	
documents from Southampton that were		Williamson's possession. THE COURT: Oh, I see.	
2 received by him. That's going to be	12	·	
3 broader than that.	13		
4 MR. SHEFFLER: That's true.		s suggesting, your Honor, is that if we were	
5 MR. ALDOCK: That's what we would		o doing memory jogging, and so, if we saw	
6 ask for if we were allowed to ask.		s all the research materials, I don't know	
7 THE COURT: I'm not sure where		7 how to frame the document request as I sit	
8 this		s here, that came across his desk, he would	
9 MR. ALDOCK: My thought was		look at those and he would say the Heard	
this, your Honor		documents that I saw, that I talked about,	•
MR. SHEFFLER: Your Honor, let	1	that he told me I couldn't have in this	
to me respond to that for a minute. The		country, related to that study or that	_
23 problem is, of course, all research		study. And that's the hope one would have	Ç.
4 documents he received at Brown &	24	THE COURT: Just reading these	
	Page 1252		Page 125
1 Williamson purportedly aren't the ones h	1	documents here that are in front of him	
2 is saying Alan Heard edited.		2 now -	
you see, as I understand his	3	MR. ALDOCK: Would be a good	
4 testimony, and I realize it's changed a	4	start.	
5 number of times, but the latest edition of	5	THE COURT: - would possibly	
6 his testimony is that the person who	6	6 jog his memory. So I think we owe him th	œ
7 edited documents was Alan Heard and he		time to look at this, which then leads to	
8 sent these documents to him.		Mr. Milliman's request for additional	
9 As I understood it, he sent it	9	discovery time which I would strongly	
10 to him at his home. Now, he also said he		recommend to Judge Mershon.	
	11		
' GESTO LEGITINO' RETEINE			
is destroyed them so, therefore the COURT: You were shaking	ĺtz	make a proposal in that regard, your Hono	r.
THE COURT: You were shaking	12	2 make a proposal in that regard, your Honor 3 MR. ALDOCK: But your Honor said	т.
THE COURT: You were shaking 13 your head no.	13	MR. ALDOCK: But your Honor said	r.
THE COURT: You were shaking your head no. THE WITNESS: No, I don't think	13 14	MR. ALDOCK: But your Honor said that the evil you were concerned with —	r.
THE COURT: You were shaking your head no. THE WITNESS: No, I don't think that's the only place I got documents from	13 14 n 15	MR. ALDOCK: But your Honor said that the evil you were concerned with — scratch evil. The problem you were	т.
THE COURT: You were shaking your head no. THE WITNESS: No, I don't think that's the only place I got documents from Alan Heard.	13 14 15 16	MR. ALDOCK: But your Honor said that the evil you were concerned with — 5 scratch evil. The problem you were 6 concerned with, was that if he says he	т.
THE COURT: You were shaking your head no. THE WITNESS: No, I don't think that's the only place I got documents from Alan Heard. Q. Okay. So you got some of these	13 14 15 16 17	MR. ALDOCK: But your Honor said that the evil you were concerned with — scratch evil. The problem you were concerned with, was that if he says he doesn't remember something at this time	π.
THE COURT: You were shaking your head no. THE WITNESS: No, I don't think that's the only place I got documents from Alan Heard. Okay. So you got some of these dited documents at Brown & Williamso	13 14 25 16 17 27 18	MR. ALDOCK: But your Honor said that the evil you were concerned with — scratch evil. The problem you were concerned with, was that if he says he doesn't remember something at this time and he remembers it at trial, that would	π.
THE COURT: You were shaking your head no. THE WITNESS: No, I don't think that's the only place I got documents from Alan Heard. Okay. So you got some of these edited documents at Brown & Williamso A. No, what I got from Alan	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. ALDOCK: But your Honor said that the evil you were concerned with — scratch evil. The problem you were concerned with, was that if he says he doesn't remember something at this time and he remembers it at trial, that would be unfair. And I agree with that.	π.
THE COURT: You were shaking your head no. THE WITNESS: No, I don't think that's the only place I got documents from Alan Heard. Okay. So you got some of these edited documents at Brown & Williamso A. No, what I got from Alan Heard	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. ALDOCK: But your Honor said that the evil you were concerned with — scratch evil. The problem you were concerned with, was that if he says he doesn't remember something at this time and he remembers it at trial, that would be unfair. And I agree with that. THE COURT: All right.	π.
THE COURT: You were shaking your head no. THE WITNESS: No, I don't think that's the only place I got documents from Alan Heard. Okay. So you got some of these edited documents at Brown & Williamso No, what I got from Alan Heard U. Now, let me do this. You didn't	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. ALDOCK: But your Honor said that the evil you were concerned with — scratch evil. The problem you were concerned with, was that if he says he doesn't remember something at this time and he remembers it at trial, that would be unfair. And I agree with that. THE COURT: All right. MR. ALDOCK: So my proposal is	π.
THE COURT: You were shaking your head no. THE WITNESS: No, I don't think that's the only place I got documents from Alan Heard. Okay. So you got some of these edited documents at Brown & Williamso A. No, what I got from Alan Heard	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21	MR. ALDOCK: But your Honor said that the evil you were concerned with — scratch evil. The problem you were concerned with, was that if he says he doesn't remember something at this time and he remembers it at trial, that would be unfair. And I agree with that. THE COURT: All right.	π.

Page 1251 - Page 1254

Brown & Williamson vs. W

Multi-Page™

7/18/96

Page 1255	Pa	ge 125
that he has found anything in there that	We attempted to take this man's	
2 jogs his memory. Because then they would	2 deposition on an expedited basis back on	
be not being surprised.	3 November the 22nd of 1995. November 22nd.	
If he comends that after we do	4 We have tried - so this man has known for	
5 our document discovery that anything jogs	5 seven months, nine months, that his	
6 his memory in that regard, they get to	6 deposition was going to be taken.	
7 probe that at a live deposition before	7 This man has bragged in the news	
g trial. That would be fair.	8 that he has five sets of lawyers, five.	
9 THE COURT: Educate me a little	9 This is not some poor unrepresented man.	
0 bit. Does the, does the discovery	10 He has got Richard Scruggs, he has got Ron	
l limitation come from this court or from	11 Motley, he has now Shea & Gardner, and who	
2 the Federal Court or the Florida Court or	12 else knows. They have had nine months to	
the Mississippi Court? Where does that	13 prepare this man for this deposition.	
4 come from?	14 He had been ordered by Judge	
5 MR. ALDOCK: The discovery	15 Mershon to appear for a meet and confer	
6 limitation is, it is narrow. I believe it	16 session.	
7 has now expired. The discovery limitation	17 Well, first go back. On	
8 came from Judge Mershon. And what he said	18 November 28, Judge Knopf, before he was	
9 was, until this deposition takes place we	19 elected to the Court of Appeals, we tried	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20 to get Judge Knopf to set a deposition.	
o will not be allowed to take any	21 Judge Knopf said I shouldn't have to do	
discovery. Now, that it has taken place,	22 that, lawyers ought to be able to agree on	
2 I presume we will be allowed to take	, , , ,	
3 discovery.	23 a date, you guys agree on a date.	
4 MR. MILLIMAN: That's not true.	24 We tried to take his deposition	
Page 1256		ige 12.
THE COURT: Would Brown &	1 with Mr. Doheny. Mr. Doheny told us	
2 Williamson - I'm trying to balance the	2 this is going to be a little long but it's	
3 table here. Y'all have said, may	3 important to understand the history.	
4 specifically ask him about a document or	4 Mr. Doheny told us that he was trying	
5 documents that he contends have been	5 the next day, that he was trying to get a	
6 altered. And you are asking for	6 hold of Wigand to arrange a meeting so	
7 additional time to take discovery.	7 that we could sit down and talk and go	
8 Would it not balance the table	8 over these things.	
9 to say, all right, we will give you the	9 He then told me at 11:00 o'clock	
o various documents, let him read them, we	10 in the morning, Jim, I think Wigand is in	
will set a time, not today, set a time	11 Mississippi. I don't know where he is.	
2 somewhere in the future for additional	12 After telling us for three times that	
discovery and go back at it? And after	13 Wigand would meet with us, Doheny calls me	
4 looking at those, if he can name	14 and says, I think he is in Mississippi.	
5 documents, okay, if he can't name anymore,	15 I have had fights with Frank	
6 any documents, okay. However it turns out?	16 Doheny, but I have never ever questioned	
	17 the integrity of Frank Doheny. And I	
7 MR. MILLIMAN: No, your Honor, 8 that won't work because Mr. Aldock has not	18 think this man flew the coupe on his own	
9 been Mr. Aldock has not been in this	19 lawyer.	
to case from the beginning. And I think	20 He showed up the next day in	
I Judge Mershon understands. And the reason	21 Pascagoula, Mississippi without documents	
2 he entered a discovery limitation - Judge	22 and he gave a deposition, sealed, he gave	
3 Mershon fully understands what's happened	23 it. He didn't need all these documents.	
4 in this case.	24 He made allegations, your Honor, that you	

Page 1255 - Page 1258

Brown & Williamson vs. W 1d

7/18/96

Velume 8		771077
Page 125	59	Page 126
1 have heard about. He didn't need to sit	1 deposition.	
2 down and review these documents to make	2 And Frank Doheny — actually at	
3 these charges and these allegations.	3 the December 5th hearing Frank Doheny	
4 And he made that and they	4 tells the judge, Judge, we don't need	
5 gave us, well, you can meet and confer,	5 discovery, we are filing a motion that	
6 you have got an hour.	6 will be dispositive. When you get our	
7 Judge Mershon held a hearing and	7 motion this case is over. You will	
8 said that was unreasonable, that did not	8 dismiss the complaint. That's	
9 comply with his obligations under the	9 interesting.	
10 agreement. We come back. We again file a	10 Do you know what they filed?	
1) motion to take a deposition in December.	11 They filed a motion to diamiss for a	
We have a motion to compel in December.	12 violation of Rule 8, that we didn't file a	
13 Judge Mershon spends two hours meeting	13 short and plain statement showing we are	
14 with the lawyers.	14 entitled to relief. It took Judge Mershon	
15 They filed a motion for	15 about five seconds to get rid of that one.	
16 protective order. In December 5th, Frank	16 We have tried to get the	
17 Doheny, Frank Doheny again, agrees, they	17 deposition. And Judge Mershon finally	
18 agree that this man will appear on	18 ruled that we were entitled since we	
19 Wednesday, December the 7th at 9:00	19 have been trying for nine months to get	
20 o'clock a.m. in Frank Doheny's office.	20 this man, that we were entitled to take	
21 There is an agreement made by	21 this deposition before they had any	
22 counsel with Judge Mershon. Judge Mershon	22 discovery. That it would be inherently	
23 issues an agreed order the next day. This	23 unfair for them to use the nine months of	
24 man doesn't show up. He doesn't show up	24 delays that they have used. And they have	
Page 126		Page 126
1 in response to Judge Mershon's direct	1 used change of counsel as excuses, they	1 120 120
2 order.	2 have used violations of court orders as	
3 And they say, well, we filed a	3 excuses, Judge Mershon knows this, there	
4 motion in the Supreme Court. They never	4 are contempt motions pending that will be	
5 got a stay of the order, which is required	5 heard.	
6 under Kentucky law. They never got a stay	6 And his approach was that we	
7 of that order. They say they are not	7 could complete our discovery - because	
8 going to show up.	8 there is a restraining. This has to be	
9 We show up at Mr. Doheny's	9 one of the longest restraining orders in	
10 office. Mr. Doheny – and this is on the	10 history. There hasn't been a hearing on a	
1) record. Mr. Doheny says, we will do	11 temporary injunction yet.	
	12 And we were entitled to our	
12 whatever the Supreme Court tells us we	13 discover. We are entitled to examine this	
have to do. The Supreme Court rules against them.	14 man before they did any discovery.	
·	1	
	16 he thought this deposition could 16 be completed in a couple days. I think we	
16 thing they do, Judge, is they remove the	17 have very clearly seen that it cannot be	
17 case to Federal — no, the next thing they	1	
8 do, we end up with Washington. That's all	18 completed. On Monday, quite frankly, we 19 found out about other documents.	
19 I'm going to say about that.		
But then they remove the case to	20 This man testified there were	
21 Federal Court. To Federal Court. Judge	21 documents put over the transom. And I	
22 Simpson threw it back in quite honestly	22 will say further for the record, the	
23 record time. Then they file a motion. We	23 videotape clearly shows that his counsel	
14 have another hearing to take this man's	24 gave him that answer.	

Page 1259 - Page 1262

ŧ

	Page 1263			Page	126
1 When the question was asked, if	•	1	and I will let Judge Mershon interpret his	-	
2 you listen to the videotape, Ms.		2 (own order. The question right now though,		
3 Wertheimer whispered in his ear "over the	;	3 1	to be more practical is, y'all have		
4 transom". He then repeated "over the	.	4 (offered to him the opportunity to sit here		
5 transom".		5 :	as long as it takes to go through this.		
6 That is extraordinarily			Do you want to do that because it may be		
7 unprofessional for a lawyer to whisper the	I .		5:00 o'clock tomorrow afternoon?		
8 answer to the client and then the client	;	8	This is a stack about six inches		
9 repeat the answer. It's on the tape. It		9 }	high or so. I still say you are entitled		
0 can be heard.	I		to try to pin him down to one, to a		
I I think Judge Mershon intended		_	specific document or documents that he		
2 for us to be able to complete this			says have been altered so you can go back		
deposition and probe this man and his	I		and try, through the existing paper, to		
4 allegations before any discovery. And I			see whether or not that is correct or not.		
believe that Judge Mershon will continue	1.		But		
6 to adhere to that. And I would — he is	14	_	MR. SHEFFLER: Your Honor, I		
7 going to be back July 29th and I would	1	_	understand. 1 understand.		
8 recommend we put this matter on his	11		THE COURT: So it's really a		
9 docketed for July the 29th, to continue		-	practical question. I have no trouble at		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	-	all. I mean, just from the involvement of		
this matter immediately, and you make			this case in recommending to Judge Mershon		
whatever recommendation you feel			that you have more time for the		
2 appropriate to make.			•		
I don't believe that we			deposition. I mean, it's a very involved — you said yesterday you have		
4 should what they are looking for again,		•	involved - you said yesterday you have	_	
	Page 1264			Page	126
1 Judge they are looking for delay. It's	j :	1 (only gotten through about a third of		
2 more delay.	:	2 1	the		
THE COURT: I can answer in a	[:	3	MR. SHEFFLER: He's made a lot		
4 hurry that obviously I have no authority	•	4 (of claims, your Honor, and they keep		
to change Judge? tershon's order if it came			shifting. And one of the things that is		
6 from this court in regard to the exchange	(6 (of grave concern to me, is that new claims		
7 of discovery. If that's his order, it	-	7]	keep popping out and old claims keep being		
8 stands. So any thoughts that I may have	:	8 1	modified.		
9 had of asking you all to go ahead and		9	THE COURT: I understand.		
exchange something, forget it unless you	12	0	MR. SHEFFLER: Now, we have		
do it willingly.	lı.	ı i	implicated someone who we have not heard		
MR. MILLIMAN: Your Honor, what			him implicate before. He said today, a		
we're going to do			very serious charge against a person who I		
4 MR. ALDOCK: We may be wrong	1		do know and I regard highly and I'm sure		
5 but we have a difference of agreement	4		has the high regard of many.		
6 about what that order said. I read that	- 1	6	I believe that that claim should		
7 order as saying this deposition would take		-	be rebutted and rebutted quickly. We have		
8 place	-		had we have been thwarted for many		
F			months in trying to get this man's		
9 THE COURT: Well, you are going	I		deposition. If we do not at least try to		
to have to go back to Judge Mershon on					
that.			run this down -		
2 MR. ALDOCK: Right, right, 1		2	THE COURT: You don't have to		
3 agree with that.	1	-	seil me on the seriousness. I'm the one		
THE COURT There is an order	24	4	who stopped the proceedings this morning		

Page 1263 - Page 1266

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Brown & Williamson vs. V :d

(

{

1

Multi-Page™

7/18/96

olume 8	Manusch Va. V	171=4-	•		
		Page 1267			Page 12
and asked h	in if he wanted to confer with		1	this is. In this stack are the titles of	
counsel abo	ut these new allegations he is		2	the reports, and a description of the	
	ause that person has a		3	reports. It's a summary.	
reputation to	oo and may guard it highly and		4	If I read a book back in 1992, I	
	of what has been said here.		5	certainly probably would be hard-pressed	
	EFFLER: I'm sure he		6	to recall every book I read. If I read a	
will			7	book that somebody fraudulently edited, I	
	OURT: But - I'm not going			think I probably would remember it. But	
	imess what to say. That's			maybe I would want something to jog my	
	He can say what he wants to			memory.	
	vent him to realize the		11	If I saw the summary of it again	
	es of it. And I am telling			and the title, that should do it.	
	own & Williamson will have	I	13		
				you want to stay on though and have him	
	nity to try to pin him down			examine each document and ask specifically	
	as to what documents he			or do you want him to read all of them and	
	en altered. And so far he can			then come back and say whether or not any	
	is I understand the testimony.			•	
	(EFFLER: Your Honor, the			of them have jogged his memory?	
	hat Mr. Wigand has no		19	MR. SHEFFLER: Why don't we do	
	ns about saying these things as	I		it one at a time, sir, and see if they do.	
	y, regardless of court orders,		21	THE COURT: All right. Do you	
	f promises he has made in			have the order of these that you can	
	regardless of anything.			follow along or you can stand over here so	
He co	ntinues to make these		24	we don't have to get so many copies around	
	<u> </u>	Page 1268		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page 12
claims, new	claims, amended old claims, on		ı	the table. However you want to do it.	
an ongoing	basis. And this is our		2	MR. ALDOCK: Your Honor, is he	
	to try to find the truth.			allowed to I mean, are we going to sit	
	ve have been trying to do		4	here is he allowed to take them and	
	we have to have him sit here		5	read them?	
	lock, let's let him sit here		6	THE COURT: Right now.	
	clock. I want him to look at		7		
	ents. I want him to identify		8	record 500 pages of abstracts. Let's see	
them for us	•			what jogs his memory.	
	OURT: Okay. There you go		10	THE COURT: Please get started.	
	ed to stay on the record,			Q. Starting with the 1990 work	
	re going to ask him questions			program, sir.	
-				A. Is that the latest one? I would	
-	long. Would it help to go		i	like to do these in sequence. '91, this	
	h one of these separately and			is 1990. Do you have anything after	
	th and such a document and then			do you have the '89 program?	
	es that jog your memory?		l	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	LDOCK: Your Honor, given		[Q. I thought you said this happened	
	think he has got to be given		,	in 1990?	
	nity to think about it. I just			A. Okay. I'm just looking for	1.7
	- we are trying to refresh		1	something that would jog my memory. And	1 1
recollection	with, I don't know, are they			would like to look at the whole continuum.	
2 500 pages o				Q. Would you like to look at the	
MR.S	HEFFLER: Your Honor - wait			1989? Would that jog your memory as to	
a minute. Y	our Honor, let me explain what		24	what was written in 1990?	

Page 1267 - Page 1270

Brown & Williamson vs. W

 $\textbf{Multi-Page}^{\mathsf{TM}}$

7/18/96

Vol	ume 8				
		Page 1271			Page 1273
1 4	A. It may.		ı	THE COURT: See y'all in the	
2 (Well, we will get 1989. Your		2	morning.	
3 (claim, thought, sir, was the report was		3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the	
4 6	edited by Mr. Heard sometime after 1990,		4	record at 4:40.	
5 1	wasn't it? Wasn't it?		5	***	
6 /	A. I believe what I said is Mr.		6	Thereupon, the deposition was	
7	Heard showed me edited reports, sent me		7	adjourned at 4:40 p.m.	
1	edited reports after 1990, January of		8		
	1990.		9		
10 (o. All right.		10		
11 /			11		
12	THE COURT: They may become		12		
	xhibits. Do you want to take a yellow		13		
	oad and make notes?		14		
15 (15		
16	THE COURT: Sticky.		16		
17 (17		
18 5			18		
19	MR. ALDOCK: Can be at least		19		
	have the time to do it without every time		20		
	te makes a note asking him what he is		21		
	writing down? This is not fair.		22		
23	THE COURT: All right.		23		
	Sustained		24		
		- 1100	-		Dama 1274
	min improces arms. End of since	Page 1272	١.	CENTRICATE	Page 1274
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: End of time.		1	CERTIFICATE	
	The videographer is going to swap out		2	I, Thomas F. Runfola, a Registered	
3 L	apes. The time is approximately 3:32.			Professional Reporter, do hereby certify	
4	MR. WALLACE: Let the record			that I reported the deposition of Jeffrey S.	
	effect at this point that the deponent			Wigand, and that the foregoing transcript of	
	us left the room at approximately 3:32.		1	such proceedings is a full, true and correct	
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The beginning		1	transcript of my stenotypy notes made to the	
	of next tape. The time is 3:33.		L	best of my ability.	
9	775 - 12		9	I do further certify that I was called	
10	(The witness continues to read			there in the capacity of a Court Reporter,	
	iocuments.)		I	and am not otherwise interested in this	
12			ı	proceeding.	
13	THE COURT: Am I correct in		13		
	inderstanding it's the will of the group to		14	THOMAS F TORROLA	
	disband for today?		l	Regulated Professional Reports	
16	MR. ALDOCK: Yes, your Honor.		16		
	Yes, your Honor.		17		
18	THE COURT: What time in the		18	DEANNA'S CURTIN.	_
	noming? Quarter till 9:00 to be back	1		Regulated Professional Reporter	
	nere?		1	Notary Public for the State of Kentucky.	
21	MR. SHEFFLER: Quarter to 9:00		21	My Commission Expires:	-
22 1	will be fine.		22		
23	MR. ALDOCK: Quarter to 9:00 will		23		
24 1	oe fine.		24		

Page 1271 - Page 1274

Curtin. Schneider & Lawrey

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TORACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. Winend Multi-Page 89 - Brown Volume 8 7/18/96

/olume 8				
	40 (t) 1178.11	adhere (1) 1263:16	allowed (19) 1250:21	August [1] 1246:5
_*-	400 (2) 1167:15,19	adjourned [1] 1273:7	1251:8,16 1254:22,23	authored (1) 1188:4
89 (2) 1245:23 1270:16	401 (i) 1167:2	admit [2] 1180:10.11	1255:20,22 1270:3,4 1271:11	authority () 1264:4
90 (a) 1245;24,24 1246;1	40202 pg 1167:3.16.20	admits (1) 1222:11	along [2] 1268:13	available (1) 1250:22
1246:3	4:40 (2) 1273:4.7	admitted (r) 1244:13	1269:23	Avenue (3) 1167:2
91 (6) 1246:1.3,4,5,5		affected (1) 1190:14	altered [11] 1179:2	1168:1,7
1270:14	-5-	afternoon (1) 1265:7	1181:5 1241:23 1242:16	
92 (2) 1246:6.7	500 [2] 1268:22 1270:8	again o 1173:14	1245:2 1247:23 1249:13	-B-
		1176:13 1248:8,9 1259:10	1250:18 1256:6 1265:12	B pag 1171:23 1174:3.5,7
-1-	5:00 (a) 1265:7 1268:6.7	1259:17 1263:24 1269:11	1267:16	1179:1 1182:23 1193:23
	5th (a) 1259:16 1261:3	against [6] 1179:1 1180:8	always (i) 1243:13	1194:2,4 1202:5,19,23,24
0012(1) 1167:11		1240:9 1243:15 1260:14	amended (1) 1268:1	1205:8 1208:24 1210:10
1056 [1] 1168:12	-6-	1266:13	America (1) 1200:11	1210:18 1211:10 1225:22 1225:24 1227:2.3 1240:11
1170 (t) 1169:4	60 [st 1219:19 1230:15	ago (3) 1193:11 1221:21	amnesis (1) 1219:24	B.A.T [2] 1199:11
1:00(1) 1258:9	1238:5,6,8	1221:22	among [2] 1247:23	1245:21
1400 (r) 1168:7		agree (6) 1223:3 1254:19	1251:10	Baker [23] 1179:14,14,20
L540 (t) 1167:14	-7-	1257:22,23 1259:18 1264:23	amount(1) 1203:13	1179:20.24 1182:8.11.18
i 7th pg 1206:9	710 m 1167:19	agreed [2] 1244:4	amounts (2) 1197:3,4	1183:1,7,17,22 1184:6
18 (1) 1166:20	7th [1] 1259:19	1259:23	ample (1) 1203:12	1185:5 1213:14 1217:24
1800 (i) 1168:1		agreement (4) 1217:15	answer (15) 1181:14	1218:1 1233:1.2,6,11,15
191 (i) 1167:6	-8-	1259:10,21 1264:15	1184:2,11,12 1187:7	1233:18
1966 [7] 1186:19 1188:21	8 pg 1166:23 1261:12	agreements (1) 1267:23	1202:11 1204:6.7,11 1205:21 1243:3 1262:24	balance [2] 1256:2.8
1107.7 1170.3 1177.1		agrees pg 1239:21,24	1263:8,9 1264:3	Balipark (1) 1248:13
	8-90 (1) 1245:24	1259:17	answered pt 1198:16	basic (1) 1204:18
1988 [2] 1197:15,19		ahead (1) 1204:16 1264:9	1244:3 1245:4	basis [4] 1172:17 1188:7
1989 [3] 1186:18 1270:23		Alan ps; 1184:22	anyway [2] 1204:22	1257:2 1268:2
1271:2	95-CI-06560 pp 1166:7	1191:17,17 1192:15	1245:19	BATCO (1) 1199:11
1 990 (77) 1189:1 1190:6 	9:00 [4] 1259:19 1272:19	1193:2 1200:5 1201:10	Appeals (1) 1257:19	beat [1] 1228:24
1192:11.19.20.21.24	1272:21,23	1201:13,20,22,22 1202:21 1203:3,6,9,12,15 1204:22	appear (2) 1257:15	become [1] 1271:12
1202:3,3,7 1206:7,9		1205:3.10.11.18 1207:5.6	1259:18	beginning (2) 1256:20
1211:9 1228:5,7 1233:7	<u>-A-</u>	1207:8 1208:12,14,22	APPEARANCES (1)	1272:7
1245:21 1270:11,15,18	a_m_[1] 1259:20	1209:3,3,7,9,15,16,19	1167:1	behalf pj 1167:17 (168:4
1270:24 1271:4,8,9	ability (1) 1274:8	1210:5.15.23 1211:8.9.11	Appleton (t) 1168:13	beliefe (t) 1205:5
1991 (5) 1228:10 1242:24	able (11] 1178:14 1181:3	1211:13,19 1212:1,20 1213:8,12,15,22,24	appreciate (1) 1178:22	believes [1] 1243:18
1245:21 1 992 (1] 1269:4	1219:12,19,21 1220:16	1214:5,11,24 1215:2.24	1178:23 1249:20	best [1] 1274:8
	1234:14 1239:9 1240:8	1216:7 1218:15 1224:5,7	approach (2) 1176:12	better(1) 1240:11
1995 (i) 1257:3	1257:22 1263:12	1226:11,14,20 1227:12	1262:6	between [1] 1191:16
1996 (n. 1166:20	above (1) 1211:22	1231:17,24 1232:2,13	appropriate [1] 1263:22	beyond (1) 1211:23
1:14 (2) 1166:20 1170:6	absolutely (1) 1196:21	1233:2 1234:6,11,16,16 1235:8,12 1236:3 1237:12	approval [1] 1211:21	bi-annual [1] 1171:13
	abstract (i) 1219:11	1238:17 1252:2,7,16.19	April (2) 1246:5.7	bigger [1] 1250:24
	abstracted (1) 1171:12	1252:23.24	area [5] 1174:9 1177:3	Binna (9) 1185:7,9,10
2 0-minute [1] 1239:20	abstracts (4) 1172:18	Aldock (55) 1168:2	1192:1 1216:17 1248:11	1200:21.24 1203:17
20035 (1) 1168:8	1206:18 1238:11 1247:3	1175:4 1178:7 1180:19	areas (4) 1183:19 1192:2	1213:13 1217:21,22
20036 [1] 1168:2	1247;5 1270;8	1181:11 1218:24 1222:1	1192:6 1216:18	bit (2) 1178:11 1255:10
22nd (2) 1257:3.3	access [14] 1178:12,19 1197:1 1198:3,6,10,23	1222:24 1228:16.22 1229:5,13,20 1230:4	arrange (1) 1258:6	book (3) 1269:4,6,7
2500 [2] 1166:17 1167:3	1200:3.8.24 1201:5.8.11	1232:13 1239:19 1240:15	arrival (1) 1191:1	Box (1) 1168:12
2600 (t) 1168:11	1201:14 1202:16,22	1240:21 1241:1.6.10.16	arrived pt. 1230:22	Boyle (i) 1170:24
28 թլ 1257:18	accomplished (1)	1243:3,16,23 1244:4,12	aside (1) 1205:5	bragged (1) 1257:7
29th (a) 1263:17,19	1190:2	1245:18 1246:2,7,14.18	assembled [2] 1245:16	break (2) 1171:11
2:16(1) 1245:9	accusing (3) 1213:16	1246:21 1250:3,11,19 1251:6,15,19 1253:3,13	1246:9	1244:23
2:34 [1] 1245:13	1214:6,12	1254:3,13,21 1255:15	assess [1] 1198:1	brief (1) 1247:10
	action (1) 1238:3	1982 10 10 1984 14 99	associated (1) 1170:20	briefly (i) 1247.9
-3-	active (2) 1197:18,22	1268:17 1270:2.7 1271:19	2551170C (3) 1196:16	bring [1] 1236:5
	8d (t) 1244:3	1272:16,23	1227:7 1240:21	broader (1) 1251:13
30 (2) 1167:10 1193:10	addiction (1) 1175:24	allegation (1) 1172:24	Atlanta (t) 1167:7	brought (2) 1215:12
30303 pr 1167:7	addictive [1] 1197:19	1188:24	attempt (1) 1187:8	1216:5
31298 (i) 1168:12	additional (4) 1248:20	allegations (7) 1177:16	attempted (2) 1198:20	Brown [43] 1166:4.18
3:32 (a) 1272:3,6	1254:8 1256:7.12	1177:17 1192:8 1258:24 1259:3 1263:14 1267:2	1257:1	1167:3 1168:10 1175:19
3:33 (1) 1272:8	address (1) 1250:5		attorney (1) 1232:7	1179:9 1180:6,16 1181:10 1186:11 1187:18 1188:14
	addressing [1] 1232:2	alleging (i) 1241:13	attorney-client [1]	1189:13,17,20,24 1190:3
-4-		allow[1] 1180:5	1437.3	1191:1 1194:7.14 1195:14
	adducts (t) 1192:3		!	1178.0 412 (116 . 222

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCOLITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER bruce - difference Brown & Williamson vs. W 'd Volume 8 7/18/96

	olume 8				7/18/9
	196.23 1197-9 1198:5	Claum (13) 1174:24	1228:3,4 1229:1,16,19		days (2) 1221:9 1262 16
	198:24 1210.7 1220:9	1175:3.5 1181:6,16	1230:5,18,24 1247:11	1239:13 1265:14 1272:13	DC pg 1168:2.8
	223:15 1224:2 1229:8	1189:10.11 1193:8	conclusions (e) 1180:4	1274:6	dead nr 1228:24
	1230:9 1231:1,20 1239:4	1194:11 1206:4,12	1181:9 1223:20 1224:11	correctly (2) 11 19 :9	deal [2] 1198;3,6
	1250:8 1251:24 1252:18	1 1208:23 1210:14,20 1211:18 1213:4 1214:23	1225:4 1228:19	1190:5	dealing pu 1179:5
	1252:23 1253:1,9,10 1256:1 1267:13	1215:9 1216:21,22 1217:1	CONCUT(1) 1211:1	counsel [7] 1175:19	1204:18
	•	1217:4.6,9 1218:15	:concurred [1] 1210:22	1195:13 1245:14 1259:22	
	ruce (1) 1167:12	1220:17 1224:1 1225:18	conducted is 1171:12	1262:1,23 1267:2	dealt (1) 1177:6
D	usiness [1] 1191:9	1232:2 1235:5 1239:12	1190:24 1202:18.23	country (1) 1253.22	DEANNA (1): 1274.19
_		1266:16 1271:3	1203:2,11	coupe [1] 1258:18	December (r) 1259:11
	C-	claimed (in 1193:18,20	confer (a) 1257:15	couple (2) 1227:7	1259:12.16.19 1261:3
lō	(2) 1167:8 1240:11	1194:21 1206:2,6 1215:2	1259:5 1267:1	1262:16	decide pp 1221:5
	ils ny 1258:13	1223:24 1231:24 1236:8	confident(1) 1243:19	course [2] 1173:20	defend [4] 1180:8 1231
1		1237:11 1238:4,6,8	confined (1 1173:6	1251:23	1236:4 1240:8
	innot (25) 1174: 8 175:22 1183:2 1193:6,7	claiming (4) 1211:21,22	confiscated (1) 1223:16	court (124) 1166:1	Defendant pp 1166:9
	199:6 1202:1 1206:13	1213:11,22 1216:23	· · ·	1172:21 1173:4,11	1166:12 1168:4
	206:14 1208:5,15	1236:17	confusion [2] 1175:8	1175:14 1176:12,19,22	define [1] 1251:3
	209:18 1216:16 1217:10	claims (19) 1205:5	1233:5	1177:12 1178:22 1180:20	definitely (1) 1205:17
	217:11 1219:17 1225:17	1207:21.24 1216:19	conjunction (1) 1217:2	1181:12 1182:1.3 1183:12	degree [1] 1213:20
ı	234:14.19 1239:17.21	1219:20,22,23 1220:12	consequence [1] 1220:3	1184:2.12 1185:4.14	delay pj 1264:1,2
L	240:2 1243:11 1249:3	1229:24 1236:8 1238:3	consequences [1]	1 1187:7,12,23 1189:7	
) I	262:17	1238:18 1239:7 1266:4,6	1267:12	1190:4.11 1195:10 1196:4 1198:15,19 1200:13,16	
CI	ipacity [1] 1274:10	1266:7 1268:1,1,1	consider (2) 1172:8	1201:3.16 1202:10 1204:7	delivered (3) 1174:2,5.
ic.	ure (1) 1218:12	clarify [4] 1172:21	1248:15	1204:11.16.23 1205:21	delivery (1) 1191:8
	refully (1) 1248:6	1175:6,10 1233:5	considered (1) 1174:11	1207:18 1218:23 1221:4	demonstrate [1] 1239:
	rried (1) 1182:4	clear (9) 1182:20.22,23		1221:10.16 1223:10	denied no 1189:12
1		1205:4 1211:8,10 1217:19	1242:4,5,7,10,12 1244:6	1224:17,23 1226:9 1229:3	1190:6 1195:15 1196:2,
	LSC (9) 1166:7 1171:5,8 256:20.24 1260:17,20	1218:7 1249:21	consistently (2)	1229:7.18 1230:8.23	1199:5,8 1203:19,24
	261:7 1265:21	clearly (14) 1189:17	1242:15.15	1231:14 1232:12,22	1204:9.13.17 1205:6.13
		1197:17 1202:7.9 1212:20	contained [1] 1211:7	1233:9,14,20,24 1234:13	1205:23 1206:22 1233:1
	athy (1) 1171:2	1228:5,8,13,18 1234:19 1242:21 1249:16 1262:17	CONTEMPOTABEOUS [4]	1234:23 1240:1,23 1241:4	GC 2 [2] 1200.24 1201.
	BS (1) 1176:17	1262:23	1194:18,19 1195:3	1241:12,21 1242:11	1201:7,11.16 1202:16.21
	למולה (כן 1167:15	client (2) 1263:8.8	1223:19	1243:7,13,21 1244:1,10 1244:15,22 1245:11,14	1203:10 1235:18
	199:18 1205:7	• •	contemporaneously	1246:8,23 1247:6,1B	denying (1) 1180:12
	rtainly [6] 1176:1.5	coming [1] 1189:20 1206:3	[3] 1223:18 1225:19	1248:2.12 1249:20 1250:2	department (7) 1168:6
	219:10 1223:1,5 12 69 :5		1236:22	1250:6,14 1251:17	1 171:23 1180:22,23
	ERTIFICATE (1)	comment (t) 1212:10	contempt (1) 1262:4	1252:12 1253:4,12,24	1197:6 1211:18 1214:19
	274:1	comments (1) 1211:15	contended [1] 1204:24	1254:5,20 1255:9,11,12	deponent (1) 1272:5
∣∝	atified (r) 1170:3	Commission (1)	contends (4) 1250:17	1255:12.13 1256:1	deposes (1) 170:3
Œ	rtify (2) 1274:3,9	1274:21	1254:24 1255:4 1256:5	1257:19 1260:4,12,13,21	deposition per 1166:1
C	hadbourne pp 1167:10	Commissioner (1)	1	1260:21 1262:2 1264:3,6	1178:15 1236:7 1241:2.
	IEIDCC (1) 1178:20	1166:13	content (1) 1177:2	1264:19,24 1265:18 1266:9,22 1267:8,21	1243:17 1248:20 1255:
	nange (4) 1231:22	communicated (1)	contention (2) 1173:12	1268:10 1269:13,21	1255:19 1257:2,6.13.20
	245:5 1262:1 1264:5	1198:9	1212:1	1270:6.10 1271:12.16.23	1257;24 1258;22 1259;1
1.	anged (17) 1208:11	compunics (1) 1199:12	contentions (14)	1272:13,18 1273:1	1261:1.17.21 [262:15
	229:10 1232:7,14	COMPANY (4) 1191:11,11	1174:11 1184:18.21	1274:10	1263:13 1264:17 1265:2
	233:15,18 1234:1,3,6.17	1196:11 1198:1	1191:15 1208:19 1210:11 1210:16 1211:11 1234:21	Criminal (t) 1168:7	1266:20 1273:6 1274:4
	238:3 1241:14,17	compare [1] 1206:15	1234:22	Cross-Czamining [1]	description [1] 1269:
1	242:15,21,22 1252:4	compel (1) 1259:12	context (1) 1184:16	1232:19	desk (1) 1253:18
cb	anges (2) 1231:12,17	complaint n 1261:8		current (2) 1190:7.13	destroy (1) 1217:18
		complete pj 1190:21	continual (i) 1172:17	CURTIN (1) 1274:19	destroyed (4) 1211:14
	nannels (1) 1191:22	1262:7 1263:12	continue p ₁ 1228:12	CORTING 1274.19	1217:13 1235:5 1252:11
		completed 131 1262:16	1263:15,19		details (2) 1191:24
	1478:24 179:11 1180:9.14.23	1262:18	continues (2) 1267:24	D	1233:7
	195:17 1196:9:15		1272:10	D [4] 1168:2 1169:1	development (i) 1179
	201:23 1230:11 1231:2	comply(i) 1259:9	continuum [i] 1270:21	1179:4 1240:11	diary [43] 1194:16,17.1
	232:4.5.8,23 1240:9	compounded (1)	conversations (1)	danger [1] 1240:3	1194:19,20 1195:1 122:
	266:13	1240:16	1222:4,13	data per 1173:21,23	1223:9,15,17,19,23
ct	18FgC8 [1] 1259:3	compunctions (1)	cooperated (1) 1203:6	1174:4 1176:5 1197:3,4,9	1224:1,5,10,12,13 1225
	maken 1107.10 12.10	1267:20	copics [1] 1269:24	1203:14 1207:9 1210:12	1225:6.9.11,15.16.18
	187:23 1229:10	concern (1) 1195:13	Corporation (2) 1166.5	date(3) 1243:15 1257:23	1226:11.17.20.22.23
cl		1266:6	116B:11	1257:23	1227:1,20 1228:5.8.13.1
cl 1				1	1228:18,23 (229:1.2
ch l ch	ronicle [1] 1231:10	concerned [2] 1254:14		David (1 1 1 67-11	
ch ck ch	ronicle [1] 1231:10 ronicled [1] 1232:11	concerned [2] 1254:14 1254:16	correct [10] 1173:17	David(1) 1167:11	1231:11 1236:20 1237:4
ch ch ch C	ronicle [1] 1231:10 ronicled [1] 1232:11 IRCUIT [1] 1466:1	concerned [2] 1254:14 1254:16 concerns (1) 1250:5		David (1) 1167:11 day-by-day (1) 1223:21 day-to-day (1) 1238:4	1231:11 1236:20 1237:4

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Multi-Pago™ Brown & Williamson vs. 7 different - Gardner ъÁ 7/18/96 Volume 8 edit [2] 1210:6 1237:15 1217:14 1227:16 1236:18 figure (a) 1213:10 1242.23 1244:19.24 different (4) 1173:24 1196:16 1209:10 1242:13 1245:2,16 1247:21,22 edited [45] 1171:22,23 1236:23 1237-9 1238.21 1749 13 1248:6 1249:13,18,23 1239:9,10,16,22 file py 1259:10 1260:23 1172:4 1173:3 1175:18 difficult (t) 1216:19 1250:10,15,17,20 1251:10 evil (1) 1254:14.15 1177:19 1179:16 1189:5 1261:12 direct (i) 1239:6 1260:1 1251:11.24 1252:7.8.15 1190:12,20 1195:18 EXAMINATION [1] filed (5) 1195:21 1259:15 directed (r) 1172:10 1252:18.22.24 1253:20 1196:1 1206:2.12 1207:2 1170:4 1260:3 1261:10.11 directly (c) 1174:3 1254:1 1256:5,10,15,16 1207:7.18.22 1208:1.3.11 files (1) 1235:9 examine (2) 1262:13 1180:2 1191:14 1192:15 1258:21,23 1259:2 1208:14.16.17 1209:15 1269:15 filing pp 1261:5 1262:19,21 1265:11 1214:15 1215:6 1209:16 1210:15,24 1267:15 1268:8.22 example (1) 1174:19 final (3) 1237:24 1242:2 1211:3,4,17,20 1212:1.9 disband (1) 1272:15 1272:11 except [1] 1237:16 1242:3 1213:12 1214:23 1215:1 discover (2) 1240:5 finally (1) 1261:17 doese't py 1229:6,15 1215:10 1218:15 1219:8 exchange [3] 1264:6.10 1262:13 1235:18 1237:15 1241:16 1719-14 1220-18.22 exchanged (2) 1195:20 fine (2) 1272:22.24 discoverable (1) 1244:14 1254:17 1259:24 1222;3 1223;4 1224;8,24 1235:10 finish (1) 1228:11.16 1208:20 1259:24 1226:12.20 1227:13 1242:8 excuse (4) 1197:12 discovery [21] 1216:9 1240:7 1244:11 1254:9 1228:20 1231:24 1232:2 Doheny (12) 1258:1,1,4 1203:1,9 1235:15 first(s) 1190:18 1194:10 1238:9.17 1244:7 1252:2 1258:13,16,17 1259:17 1197:16 1199:23 1221:13 1254:23.24 1255:5,10,15 1252:7,18,22 1253:1 **EXCUSES** (2) 1262:1,3 1259:17 1260:10,11 1221:17 1232:22 1257:17 1255:17.21.23 1256:7.13 1269:7 1271:4.7.8 exhibit (n 1246:13,19 1261:2.3 1256:22 1261:5.22 1262:7 five pt 1257:8,8 1261:15 editing [14] 1172:7 exhibits (1) 1271:13 Doheny's (2) 1259:20 1262:14 1263:14 1264:7 1179:15 1196:15 1212:3 flag (1) 1249:11 1260:9 existm: 1244:14 discuss (2) 1171:4.7 1212:13 1213:17 1214:7 flew rat 1258:18 done (17) 1160:14 existed on 1239:23 1214:13 1216:24 1217:3 discussion (1) 1247:11 Florida nr 1255:12 1188:24 1189:19 1190:2 existing (s) 1209:22 1242:24 1243:1,5 1265:13 1219:8 1234:11 1236:17 discussions (i) 1214:15 1193:9 1196:24 1198:1 folks m 1245:4 1236:24 1215:3.3 1227:4.5.19 dismiss [2] 1261:8.11 expandin: 1190:10 follow[1] 1269:23 edition (t) 1252:5 1238:23 1242:3,4 1243:20 disparaged (a) 1237:11 follows (2) 1170:3 expedited [1] 1257:2 edits pg 1209:4,5,19,20 1247:16 1237:12 1245:23 1210:21 1212:5.7.14 expertise (1) 1181:1 dosage [1] 1186:1 dispositive (1) 1261:6 1214:3 foreclosed (t) 1174:21 expired (n. 1255.17 down (21) 1186:24 Division (2) 1166:2 Educate nr 1255:9 foregoing [1] 1274:5 1187:13 1189:10 1198:11 Expires (1) 1274:21 1168:7 effect (2) 1180:16 forget [2] 1230:3 1264:10 1219:23 1221:24 1225:13 DNA [1] 1192:3 explain (s) 1190:17 1196:11 1225:13 1226:11,14,19 forgot [1] 1227:7 1204:15,15 1207:16 docket [1] 1229:11 1226:22 1227:10 1245:19 either (c) 1177:7 1188:22 1268:24 forth (1) 1247:9 1248:7 1258:7 1259:2 docketed m 1263:19 1191:18 1195:20 1234:15 explained m 1215:22 found (1) 1187:5 1255:1 1265:10 1266:21 1267:14 1242:1 document (15) 1175:17 1262-19 explicitly [1] 1237:22 1271:22 1175:22 1176:2,24 1177:2 elected (1) 1257:19 four-year (1) 1224:3 explore (2) 1180:6,13 1177:5 1178:17 1180:15 Dr (54) 1179:13.14.20.20 eliminated (1) 1243:21 1179:21.22.24.24 1181:13 exploring (1) 1179:10 fourth (2) 1167:2 1241:2 1181:22 1182:19 1183:2 employed (t) 1189:13 1182:8,11.18 1183:1.7.8 1183:7,17,22 1184:6,13 extensive m 1173:18 frame (n. 1253:17 employee (1) 1170:17 1184:16 1185:6,8.12 1183:16.18.22.23 1184:6 extent [1] 1250:9 Frank (v) 1258:15.17 1204:18 1210:1,2,4,6 1185:5,7,8,10,16 1187:8 enclosed (i) 1174:16 1259:16.17.20 1261:2,3 extraordinarily (1) 1188:3,10,12 1193:5,9 1213:6 1216:5 1217:8.11 end (2) 1260:18 1272:1 frankly [1] 1262:18 1263:6 1219:18 1220:21 1221:13 1198:16 1212:8,13 1214:8 engaged [1] 1239:12 Fraud (1) 1168:7 1216:23,23 1224:24 1221:18 1226:12.15.21 England (1) 1172:22 1233:1-2-11.15.17 -F-1227:13.17.17 1228:21 fraudulently [1] 1269:7 1173:2 1223:5 1229:2.4 1230:13.16 1214-16 1235:12.16 FRC (11) 1171:12 1172:16 Fra: 1166:15 1274:2,15 1236:13,23 1237:10,15 1231:3,12,21 1232:14 entered [1] 1256:22 1174:13,16 1185:9,10,20 1239:11 1241:22 1246:10 F-A-C-T-S (i) 1213:3 1233:10,16.18 1234:12 cutitled [12] 1186:21 1186:8 1202:18 1218:9 1234:15 1235.21 1236:23 1247:18 fact [4] 1205:5 1207:23 1203:4 1218:22 1221:11 1220:20 1236:24 1240:11 1242:2 draft (1) 1237:20 1234:6 1236:10 1241:7 1245:1 1261:14 FRC's rr; 1205:14 1242:20 1243:10 1244:6 1261:18,20 1262:12,13 drafts (2) 1210:24 1219:4 facts (1) 1213:3,3 1229:19 FRCs [1] 1176:4 1248-10.11 1249:6 1250:7 dramatically (1) 1238:4 1265:9 factually (1) 1181:18 front [4] 1174:18 1219:21 1250:13.21 1251:8 entry (1) 1227:20 draw (3) 1181:8 1230:18 fair (1 1232:21 1255:8 1253:17 1254:22 1255:5 1246:9 1254:1 1230:24 Ervio (1) 1167:18 1256:4 1265:11 1268:15 full [4] 1198:10 1199:19 1269:15 establish (n. 1231:19) **drawing** (1) 1180:4 fairly (t) 1177:17 1250:13 1274:6 documents (100) 1177:6 established rug 1177:9 drug (1) 1197:18 false (1) 1205:12 fully m 1256:23 1178:5 [182:21 1189:3 1189:7 1190:19 1193:1-2 dumb (1) 1195:12 farm 1180:7 1267:16 fundamental re-1191:19 1194:13 1195:15 1193:22 1202:8 1210:10 1199:10,17 1202:17,22 during (v) 1170:9,12.21 fashion (5) 1174:13 1195:20 1199:19:20 1226:3 1243:18 1244:9 1171:13 1189:16 1205:8 1203:1 1205:7 1177:23:24 1188:5.7 1204:17 1205:19.19 Europe (2) 1191:19 1231:20 1233:5 furnished to 1250:10 fax [3] 1191:18 1211:14 1210:15,24 1211:11,13 1199:15 dwell no 1181:12 1211:14,17,19 1212:2,4,9 1217:17 foture (2) 1248:23 event [6] 1223:2 1224:10 1213:12 1214:17,22 faxes (4) 1235:12,16,17 1256:12 1224:18 1230:15 1231:9 1215:1,9,10 1216:2,24 -E-1235:19 1231:20 1220:6.10.16 1221:21 February (3) 1245:24 -G-E (4) 1167:4 1169:1.2 events (5) 1225:19.21.23 1222:10.20 1224:6.8.8 1246:1.3 1225:24 1231:11 1240:12 1231:8 1234:3.21 1235:3 G (1) 1167:12 Federal (4) 1255:12 1235:4.7.B.IR.20 1237:23 CALT [1] 1263:3 everybody [1] 1248:22 Gardner pp 1168:1 1260:17,21,21

evidence (11) 1194:22

Index Page 3

1257:11

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

1240:5.17 1241:14.17.19

1241.22.23 1242:4.9.17

562630281

fights (1) 1258:15

CASY [1] 1216:18

Brown 8

WIRESTED BY AUNNESOTA TABLE SO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Runch
Volume 8

Volume 8				7/18/96
general [11] 1172:18	1215:2,44 1216:7,24	imagine (1) 1200:7	1170:i i274:4	label [1] 1246.23
1174:16 1175:22 1177:3 1180:13 1181:6 1192:1.6	} 1217:16 1218:15 1224:5 } 1224:7 1225:1 1226:12	immediate [1] 1248:23	Jimes (1) 1258:10	language [1] 1210.16
1216:17,18 1234:23	1226:15,20 1227:12	immediately (1)	Jimmye (1) 1168:8	last (4) 1178:19 1227.23
General's (5) 1197:2.12	1231:17.24 1232:2,13	1263:20	jog [7] 1249:11,24 1254:6	1227:24 1228:3
1197:13,15,16	1233:3 1234:6,11,16,16	implemented (1) 1222:14	1268:16 1269:9 1270:20 1270:23	lasting [1] 1179:6
generally [i] 1174.9	1235:12,16 1236:3,13,23 1237:10,12,15 1238:17	implicate pj 1237:10	jogged [1] 1269:18	latest [2] 1252:5 1270:13
generated (1) 1197:5	1239:11 1252:2.7.16.20	1239:11 1266:12	jogging [1] 1253:15	Laura (1) 1168:3
generating [1] 1197:8	1252:23,24 1253:19	implicated (1) 1266:11	jogs (1) 1255:2.5 1270:9	law(t) 1260:6 lawyer(s) 1239:5
Georgia (2) 1167:7	1259:1 1262:5 1263:10 1266:11 1271:4.7	implying [1] 1253:6	John (1) 1168:2	1258:19 1263:7
1168:12	Heard's (1) 1235:9	important (i) 1258:3	Johnson (1) 1167:18	lawyers (e) 1238:7.8
given pp 1176:10 1178:6	bearing (4) 1259:7	impression (a) 1176:23	joining [1] 1189:24	1240:4 1257:8.22 1259:14
1245:20 1268:17.18	1260:24 1261:3 1262:10	1179:6	Joseph (1) 1167:20	leads [1] 1254:7
giving [2] 1179:27,24	held (1) 1259:7	impugning (3) 1236:10	jadge prij 1173:10	least [4] 1188:6 1248:22
goes [1] 1268:13	beip (a) 1210:13 1268:13	1236:13,16 inability (1) 1193:17	1177:16 1218:21 1230:17	1266:20 1271:19
golf (1) 1224:14	Hendricks (1) 1167:8	inches (1) 1265:8	1254:10 1255:18 1256:21 1256:22 1257:14,18,20	leave (1) 1179:6
golfing (i) 1224:14	hereby (1) 1274:3	incident (1) 1230:7	1257:21 1259:7,13,22,22	leaves [2] 1180:15 1243:14
gone (2) 1176:16 1186:24	herein [1] 1166:12	1232:20	1260:1,16,21 1261:4,4,14	leewsy (3) 1179:9 1180:5
good [2] 1249:22 1254:3	hereinaftet (1) 1170:2	include (1) 1242:16	1261:17 1262:3 1263:11 1263:15 1264:1.5.20	1181:10
goodness (i) 1231:8	high (2) 1265:9 1266:15	included pp 1174:12	1265:1.21	left [4] 1179:16 1223:16
Gordon (1) 1167:7	highly [2] 1266:14	1179:13	Judge's (1) 1243:4	1241:20 1272:6
government (a) 1195:21		includes (a) 1205:10,11	July na 1166:20 1263:17	icgal [6] 1171:23 1211:17
1196:17.20	himself (1) 1178:20 1180:2 1236:4	incomplete (1) 1207:1	1263:19	1212:12,15,18 (214:19 length (r) 1231:5
Graham (s) 1200:21 1201:7.24 1202:1 1203:17	l	individually (i) 1192.9	յաց ըլ 1230:17	less (t) 1208:18
grave (i) 1266:6	1186:15.16,17 1187:14	infinitum (1) 1244:3	Justice [1] 1168:6	leveled (1) 1179:1
great [4] 1181:10 1198:3	1193:10,17 1194:6,12	information (10) 1177:6		lexicon (1) 1247:4
1198:6 1231:5	1195:4 1198:12.13	1198:9.11 1203:13	<u>-K-</u>	librarian (1) 1187:16
grounds [1] 1238:20	history [2] 1258:3 1262:10	1221:23 1234:21	keep (ŋ 1205:18 1238:20	Hibrary (11) 1187:1,3,69
group (i) 1272:14	hold pt 1248:14 1253:4	ingredient (1) 1197:23	1266:4.7,7	1187:10.20.22 1193:24
guard (1) 1267:4	1258:6	i nherently (1) 1261:22	keeps (1) 1240:2	1194:2 1198:13,21
guess [3] 1179:2.23	hole [1] 1180:16	injunction [1] 1262:11	Kelly (1) 1170:24	life [2] 1231.9,20
1229:12	home (5) 1191:18 1235:13	instance (t) 1216:21	Kendrick (17) 1171:22 1172:4.6 1175:19 1200:14	light (t) 1194:12
guys (1) 1257:23	1252:10 1253:5,7	instances [1] 1247:12	1214:16 1215:5.7.14	limitation (4) 1255:11 1255:16,17 1256:22
-н-	bonestly [1] 1260:22	integrity (1) 1258:17	1217:2 [226:18 [232:24	linked (1) 1197:17
	Honor [36] 1173:1 1175:13 1178:8 1196:21	intended (1) 1263:11	1233:24 1234:2,15 1236:11,12	listen [1] 1263:2
hand [1] 1179:17 1250:7	1221:14 1222:2,21,22,23	interested (7) 1189:21 1190:1 1191:5 1196:18	Kentucky (*) 1166:19	listing (1) 1245:17
happening [3] 1206:7 1215.23 1222:7	1223:2 1229:22 1232:9	1196:20 1197:24 1274:11	1167:3,16,20 1175:20	literature (1) 1196:8
hard (1) 1221:14	1232:14 1240:16 1242:1	interesting pg 1261:9	1260:6 1274:20	litigation [1] 1208:21
hard-pressed [1] 1269:5	1243:2,17 1249:15 1250:3 1251:20:21 1253:14	interference (1) 1239:5	kept (4) 1196:24 1220:17	live (1) 1255:7
hazardous [1] 1208:18	1254:12,13 1256:17	interpret (1) 1265:1	1238:5,7	longest [1] 1262:9
head (4) 1179;4 1186;20	1258:24 1264:12 1265:16	involved (3) 1214:15	King (1) 1167:6	look [23] 1174:24 1177:15
1186:23 1252:13	1266:4 1267:18 1268:17 1268:23,24 1270:2	1215:6 1265:24	knew (34) 1179:14,24 1181:23,24 1182:7,9,13	1178:10 1194:4 1220:19
health(s) 1174:10	1272:16,17	involvement (1) 1265:20	1183:4 1184:1,1,9,9,21	1222:19 1223:8 1225:6 1229:14 1235:4 1241:15
1175:23 1176:3 11 83:20 1192:3	Houer's (1) 1250:5		1184:22.24 1186:7 1187:2	1244:16,19,24 1245:6
hear (2) 1189:9 1226:4	hope (1) 1253:23	irrelevant [2] 1196:6,14 issue [2] 1190:22 1250:15	*- * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1247:20 1249:3,8 1253:19
bcard new 1177:10	borne (1) 1228:24	ingues (c) 1174:10	1204:21 1205:2 1207:4.5	1254:7 1268:7 1270:21
1179.21,22.24 1182:16	hour (3) 1170:9,12 (259:6	1175:23 1250:16.16	1210:9 1212:20 1233:6,8	1270:22 looking 1176:9
1182 17,20 1183:8,16,18	hours (2) 1221:9 1259:13	1259:23	1233:13 1239:23	1186:4 1244:22 1249:6.9
1 1183 23 1184:22 1191:2	huge [2] 1197:3,4	items (1) 1246:12	Knopf (1) 1257:18,20.21	1249:14 1256:14 1263:24
1191.13,17 1192:15 1193.2 1200:5 1201:10	hurry (t) 1264:4		knowledge [1] 1213:13 known (1) 1257:4	1264:1 1270:19
1201:13.20 1202:21	ļ 	-J-		looks (1) 1223:20
1203:6,9,12,16 1204:22	<u>-i-</u>	Jpg 1167:16	knows (s) 1213:7 1222:3 1222:4,5,6 1244:7	Louisville (6) 1166:18 1167:3,16,20 1175:20
1205:3,10,11,19 1207:5,6 1207:8 1208:12,14,22	identified (1) 1215:2	James (1) 1167:4	1257:12 1262:3	1215:8
1209:3.7,9,15,16,19	identify (7) 1206:11	January (1) 1191:13	Kohnhurst [1] 1195:5	lunch [4] 1170:9.12.21
1210:5.15.23 1211:8.9,12	1209:14 1213:5 1220:21 1227:15 1234:14 1268:8	1206:9 1271:8		1171:11
1211.13.20 1212:1,8,13 1212:20 1213:9.12.16.23	II [2] 1186:15,17	JEFFERSON (1)	<u>-L-</u>	
1213:24 1214:5,8,11,24	III [1] 1167:8	Jeffrey [4] 1166:8.12	L(1) 1167:11	-M-
	erritt tinve	A 44 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		l

Index Page 4

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

Brown & Williamso	bt J.sv no	Multi-Page™		M - places 7/18/96
M 111 1169:2	1265;1.21	1240:11 1242:7 1243:9	1219:22 1222:9 1232:16	Orders pj 1262:2,9
Macon (i) 1168:12	Mcrahon's (2) 1260:1	1244:5 1247:22 1249:6	1233:1,2,15 1236:11,13	1267:21
Main (t) 1167:19	1264:5	1256:14,15 1267:17	1239:7 1240:8,10 1241:7	organization (1)
	methodology [1]	named [2] 1172:23	1241:9,11,15 1244:11	1238:14
majority [1] 1242:16 makes (n. 1271:21	1247:10	1203:15	1245:3.14 1247:19	original (a) 1174:20
	Michael (1) 1167:16	names (i) 1200:22	1252:10,21 1254:2 1255:17,21 1257:11	1229:11
man (13) 1186:1 1229:23 1257:4.7,9.13 1258:18	middle (1) 1241:2	Darrow pt 1189:10	1265:2 1266:10 1270:6,7	otherwise (a) 1181:5
1259 18.24 1261.20	Middleton (2) 1166:17	1250:4 1255:16	aumber (r) 1189:18	1274:11
1262:14,20 1263:13	1167:2	narrowing (1) 1187:13	1209:10 1252:5	ought (1) 1257:22
man's [5] 1257:1 1260:24	Millimen pp 1167:4	NBC (i) 1176:17		outlined [1] 1237:5
1266:19	1248:16 1255:24 1256:17 1264:12	necessarily (i) 1182:4	0-	outraged (1) 1219:16
management [4]	Milliman's (t) 1254:8	meed (7) 1230:10 1242:2	O[t] 1169:2	overseas [1] 1197:6
1182:24 1210:10 1211:10 1226:7		1249:1 1258:23 1259:1 1 1261:4 1268:11	o'clock (s) 1166:20	OWC[1] 1254:6
March (2) 1245:24	mind(z) 1179:7 1245:5	needed (2) 1182:8	1258:9 1259:20 1265:7	OWD (5) 1197:6 1213:16
1246:4	minute (a) 1209:11 1221:5.16 1231:18	1214:18	1268:6,7	1236:20 1258:18 1265:2
marked pg 1235;23,24	1240:20 1246:2 1251:22	Dever(31) 1184:5,13,15	O'Connell [2] 1167:14	-P-
1246:12	1268:24	1184:20 1185:5 1193:23	1167:16	
Market [1] 1167:15	minutes (a) 1219:20	1194:3,4,6.23 1198:11,19	Objection (t) 1175:4	p.m.(z) 1166:20 1273:7
marks (2) 1219:8 1234:12	1230:15 1232:6 1238:5,6	1204:8.13.17,23 1205:6 1207:3.9 1209:1 1222:15	obligations [1] 1259:9	P.O (t) 1168:12
Massachusetts (II	1235:8	1227:12,21 1232:14	Obtaining [1] 1190:23	ped (i) 1271:14
1168:1	misrepresenting (1)	1233:10.15 1236:1.8	obvious [1] 1240;3	page [2] 1169:3 1271:17
Masters (1) 1224:15	1214:1	1258:16 1260:4,6	obviously [1] 1264:4	pages (1) 1178:11
material (3) 1183:14	Mississippi pp. 1255:13 1258:11,14,21	new (6) 1167:11,11	occasion [1] 1184:5	1268:22 (270:B
1184:21 1191:15	Mitchell (4) 1200:19.20	1168:7 1266:6 1267:2	occasions [1] 189:18	paper (2) 1229:9 1265:13
materials (2) 1250:22	1203:16 1218:3	1268:L	occurred (i) 1224:19	paperwork (1) 1237:2)
1253:16	Mobley (3) 1167:18,20	DEWE [1] 1257:7	1227.3 1231:12	paralegal (t) 1194:13
matter (5) 1196:9.16	modified (1) 1266:8	next(7) 1248:21 1258:5	odds (1) 1238:19	Pario: (1) 1167:10
1234:22 1263:18,20	moment (2) 1220:3	1258:20 1259:23 1260:15 1260:17 1272:8	Off (m) 1196:22 1221:3	Parker [1] 1167:14
matters [2] 1204;1 1222:17	1221:15	nicotine (25) 1174:10	1244:23 1245:8 1248:16 1248:17,24 1273:3	part pj 1196:17 1240:16
may [34] 1187:11,12	momentous (4) 1223:2	1175:24 1176:3 1186:1.1	offense (1) 1267:5	particularly (2) 1188:16
1195:6 1201:9 1204:15	1224:9,16 1225:21	1186:5 1188:17 1189:19	offered in 1240:23	1191:10
1209:21 1211:2,2 1214:4	Monday (1) 1262:18	1189:22 1191:4,5,8,10	1244:16 1265:4	Pascagoula [1] 1258:21
1214:4,14 1221:6 1242:8	monthly (1) 1227:4	1192:3.18 1197:1,3,4,5 1197:17,22 1198:4,7,23	offhand pp 1176:7	Peschuce [1] 1167:6
1242:18.20.21 1256:3	mouths (7) 1227:6	1199:2	office pp 1259:20	pending [1] 1262:4
1264:8,14 1265:6 1267:4 1271:1,12,17	1257:5.5.12 1261:19.23	nine (5) 1166:2 1257:5,12		people per 1199:16.23
McGreggor (i) 1171:3	1266:19	1261:19.23	offices pr 1166:17	1199:24 1200:3,22
mean (17 1170;14,17	morning (s) 1175:7	non [1] 1201:2	old (2) 1266:7 1268:1	1204:10 1213:15 1222:6 1222:14 1238:13
1177:4 1179:10 1180:3	1232:23 1233:18 1240:4 1258:10 1266:24 1272:19	non-contextious (e)	OGCC [1] 1249:24	performed [6] 1185:20
1195:15 1200:22 1204:12	1273:2	1183:10,14,19 1184:17	ODC pm 1176:6 1177:18	1186:10.17 1198:8
1207:19 1221:21 1229:12	most raj 1199:9 1225:20	1184:19 1200:1 1204:1,9	1190:18.19 1192:22	1199:10,11
1248:2,7,13 1265:20,23	1225:21	non-smoking (1)	1206:22 1207:3 1210:2,3	period (2) 1224:3
1270:3	motion (n. 1259:11.12	1183:20	1213:7 1214:12 1220:17	1244:11
means (ij. 1179;24	1259:15 1260:4,23 1261:5	mone [3] 1241:22,23	1225:20,21,23,24 1230:7 1231:3 1236:9 1238:17	permitted (1) 1230:12
mechanism [1] 1205:2	1261:7,11	1267:17	1239:10 1241:3 1242:7	1230:18 1231:4
meet (4) 1170:8 (257:15 1258:13 1259:5	motions [1] 1262:4	nonresponsive (1)	1243:10 1246:12,14	person (*) 1170:16.19
meeting (7) 1172:8	Motley (6) 1170:9,12,14	normally [1] 1220:4	1249:10.12 1253:23	1203:23 1208:8 1209:14 1213:7 1252:6 1266:13
1191:3.4.20 1232:6	1170:18,20 1257:11		1261:15 1262:9 1265:10 1266:5.23 1268:14	1267:3
1258:6 1259:13	move [3] 1185:2 1226:8	Notary (1) 1274:20	1269:20 1270:13	personally (1) 1215:19
meetings (2) 1172:7,10	1248:18	note (1) 1271:21		Ph.D m. 1168:13
memory [17] 1176:23	Ms (2) 1168:3,8 1263:2	motes (3) 1245:23 1271:14 1274:7	ongoing (2) 1247:12	pharmacologically (1)
1223:11 1240:10 1249:5	2001061 [4] 1176:1 1180:17 1220:15 1223:3	nothing (1) 1239:6	1268:2	1197:18
1249:11,11 1250:1		notice [1] 1166:16	onto [1] 1239:21	pharmacology (1)
1253:15 1254:6 1255:2.6 1268:16 1269:10,18	-N-	November pj. 1257:3,3	opportunity [7] 1240:7	1197:9
1270:9,20,23		1257:18	1248:5.5 1265:4 1267:14	pick () 1220:17 1248:8
mentioned pt 1173:4	N (2) 1169:1.2.2	now [49] 1171:21 1173:12	1268:3.19	1248:10
1185:16 1194:6	N.W (3) 1168:1.7	1177:14 1178:10 1179:12	opposed (1) 1195:15	pile [1] 1246:20
Mershon [17] 1254:10	DBIDG [24] 1172:3 1174:6	1181:17 1185:5 1187:14	order [12] 1259:16,23	pin [2] 1265:10 1267:14
1255:18 1256:21,23	1175:17,22 1189:23 1191:2 1206:10 1213:6	1189:8 1192:7 1193:8	1260:2,5,7 1264:5,7,16	place pp 1189:2 (199:12
1257:15 1259:7,13,22,22	1223:6 1225:7,8 1227:18	1206:1 1209:12 1210:5 1210:14 1213:10,22	1264:17,24 1265:2 1269:22	1199:14.15 1252:15 1255:19.21 1264:18
1261:14,17 1262:3 1263:11.15 1264:20	1230:12 1239:15 1240:5	1216:23 1217:1 1219:17	ordered (1) 1257-14	places (3) 1173:5 1199:15
	<u> </u>			breezes (1) 11/2/2 1/24/12

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

562630283

Index Page 5

Volume 8) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER 18/96

policies on 11823-1 projects on 1287-16 projects on 1287-16 projects on 1287-16 projects on 1287-16 projects on 1287-16 projects on 1287-12	Authre 9.				7718/96
Planniff (p) 11666 107-127 108-128 107-127 108-128 107-127 108-128 107-128 108-128 107-128 108-128 109	* * .			1	
Plane (i) 1248 22 1241					
Plazz 11 167:10 199:15 199:16 199-64 1199:15 199-16 199-64 1199:15 199-17 199:16 199-64 1199:15 199-17 199:16 199-64 1199:15 199-17 199:16 199-64 1199:15 199-17 199:16 199-64 1199:15 199-17 199:16 199-64 1199:15 199-17 199:16 199-64 1199:15 199-17 199:16 199-64 1199:15 199-17 199:16 199-64 1199:16 199-64 1199:17 199:17 199:17 199:17 199:17 199:18 199-17 199:18 199-17 199:18 199-17 199:18 199-17 199:18 199-17 199:18 199-17 199:18 199-17 199:18 199-17 199:18 199-17 199:18 199-17 199:18 199-17 199-					
Plazar 167-10	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	I' ¥			1
	L				
1972-19 129-22 1277-3 1962-4 1982-13 1962-4 1982-13 1972-13	Plaza (1) 1167:10	1180:14,10,17 1193:10	melimon 1252.4		
1262-13 128-3 12		1104-74 1108-17 13		regardless pj 1267:21	
projects pt 129-10.16 177-10.1 181-24 179-21 17				1267:22,23	1179:3 1185:20 1186.21
125:14.5 179:10.16 179:10.15 179:10.16 179:10.15 179:1			1195:11 1242:1 1265:18	Registered (4) 1166:15	
Promises 1267:22				1274:2,15,19	1190:24 1192:18 1198:8
1182-12.32 1184-12.31 123-12 125-12 12	POHCY (36) 1175:10,16	promises (1) 1267:22		regular[1] 1172:16	
1189-21 199-19 119-15		proof pt 1230:1,2,7	reasonable (1) 1248:5		
1202-12 120-12		proposal (3) 1250:4		2 .	
1232:21.43 1213.1 1216.1			1212:15,18,19 1213:18		1205:14.17.24 1206:11
1222-18 1236-21 1231		protective (1) 1259:16			1206:18 1210:12 1213:17
1232-118 1239-22 1241-125		Brove (4) 1216:19,20	rebutted (2) 1266:17,17		
1242-1024 1243-164, 5] Provided (s) 1237-021 1239-1239-1239-1239-1239-1239-1239-1239-				relief (r) 1261:14	
Provided (i) 1203-7 Poorfice 1273-7 Po		provide (2) 1237:20.21	1 11 1 1	relying (1) 1223:24	
Providing () 126-7		provided [1] 1203:7			
popping (i) 1266.7 position (j) 1196.7 position (j) 1196.7 position (j) 1196.7 position (j) 1196.7 position (j) 1196.7 position (j) 1196.7 position (j) 1196.7 position (j) 1196.7 position (j) 1231.1 positiolity (j) 1234.2 position (j) 1234.2 posi	poor (i) 1257:9				1 -
	popping (t) 1266:7	public [4] 1176:16	, -		
2011 121:10 2012 2012 2013 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2015 2014 2015	position pp. 1196:7				
Dossibility px 1231:1 possibility px 1231:1 px published (j) 1218:9 px px px px px px px p	1210:11 1211:10				
1253:11 1247:21 1249:5.10 1259:51 1267:21 12	possession [2] 1193:3			,	
possible [2] 1249-5.10 published [1] 1218-9 published [1] 1218-19 published [1] 1218-9 published [1] 121					
126:18 126:19 1249:5.10 125:25 123:38 125:19 123:38 125:19 123:38 125:39 123:39			recommend (2) 1254:10		
possibly [1] 1234-5 practical [2] 1265:3.19 practice [13] 1231:9 1219:12 1219:12 1221:15 1231:9 1221:15 1231:9 1221:15 1231:9 123				repeatedly [1] 1208;5	
practical [3] 1265:3.19 practi		(A 1 7			
practice [13] 1231:19 1232:16,17,19,20 1234:29 1247:15 129:21 1248:17 129:24 1259:129:22 1248:17 125:12 1259:122 1248:17 125:12 1259:122:13 1259:122 1259:122 1259:122 1259:122 1259:122 1259:122 1259:122:13 1259:12					1167:2
Particle [13] 123:13					Revelim 1166:14
1237:5 1239:11.23				1100 00 01 1100.00	
124:18 1243:19.22 124:18 1243:19.22 124:18 124:17 126:22 126:21 1270:8 124:17:18 124:18 123:24 123:24 1273:4 1273:4		•	TOCOTES [15] [170:6-1221:3		
1244:7 pret 1219:4 pret 1219:4 prepared 1219:4 1224:16 1234:20 1248:7 1248:18 1234:16 1234:20 1248:7 1248:18 1234:16 1234:20 1248:18 1224:15 1234:16 1234:20 1248:18 1224:15 1234:16 1234:20 1248:18 1224:15 1234:16 1234:20 1248:18 1224:15 1234:16 1234:20 1248:18 1224:15 1234:16 1234:20 1248:18 1226:12 1236:18 1237:13 1222:13 1237:18 1238:1 1247:18 1237:18 1238:18 1247:18 1237:18 1238:18 1247:18 1237:18 1238:18 1247:18 1237:18 1238:18 1247:18 1237:18 1238:18 1247:18 1237:18 1238:18 1247:18 1237:18 1238:18 1247:18 1237:18 1238:18 1247:18 1237:18 1238:18 1247:18 1237:18 1238:18 1247:18 1237:18 1238:18 1247:18 1237:18 1238:18 1247:18 1237:18 1238:18 1247:18 1247:18 1247:18 1247:18 1247:18 1247:18 1247:18 1247:18 1247:18 1247:		• • •			reviewed (2) 1214:18
124:15 1					
1248:17 1262:21 1263:18 1271:15 1245:8 1226:12.15.20 1223:4 1225:7 1223:4 1225:7 1223:4 1225:7 1223:4 1225:7 1223:4 1225:7 1223:4 1225:7 1223:4 1225:7 1223:13 1237:18 1237:18 1237:24 1237:24 1237:24 1237:24 1237:24 1237:24 1237:24 1237:24 1237:24 1237:24 1237:24 1237:24 1237:24 1237:24 1237:24 1237:24 1237:24 1237:25 1237:18 1238:1 1247:14 1237:22 1247:15 1237:18 1238:1 1247:14 1237:24 1237:18 1238:1 1247:14 1237:24 1237:18 1238:1 1247:14 1237:24 1237:18 1238:1 1247:14 1237:24 1237:18 1238:1 1247:14 1237:24 1237:18 1238:1 1247:14 1237:24 1237:18 1238:1 1247:15 1237:18 1238:1 1238:1 1238:1 1238:1 1237:18 1238:1 1238:1 1238:1 1238:1 1238:1 1238:1 1238:1 1238:1 1238:1 123	pre (1) 1219:4				
Table Tabl	prepare [1] 1257:13				k 12 . 1 .
presented (i) 1237:24 presented (i) 1237:24 presented (i) 1237:24 presented (i) 1237:25 presumably (a) 1179:5 1179:23 1181:2 presumably (a) 1179:5 1272:19:21:23 questioned (a) 1258:16 129:22 1179:23 1181:2 presumably (a) 1179:5 1272:19:21:23 questioned (a) 1258:16 129:23 1181:2 presumably (a) 1179:2 1238:19 previously (a) 1170:2 1238:19 previously (a) 1170:2 1238:19 previously (a) 1170:2 1247:15 probe (a) 1255:7 1263:13 problem (b) 128:15 1260:22 1265:18 1260:23 1281:23 128:16 1274:3 103:19 129 proceeding (a) 1274:12 proceeding (a) 1274:12 produce (a) 128:23 129:23 1208:19 1208:19 1275:10 referring (i) 1211:19 reflect (ii) 1272:5 reflected (ii) 1225:4 1228:6 reflections (ii) 1224:7 reflections (ii) 1222:10 1238:12 1208:13 1218:9 19 proceeding (ii) 1274:12 1274:3 103:18 123:13 14 1217:21:23 1175:14 1175:14 1175:14 1175:16 1175:1 1175:14 1175:14 1175:16 1175:14 1173:13:15 1175:14 1173:13:15 1175:14 1173:13:15 1175:14 1173:13:15 1175:14 1173:13:15 1175:14 1173:14 1175:14 1173:13:15 1175:14 1173:14 1175:14 1173:13:15 1175:14 121:11 1175:14 1173:13:15 1175:14 121:11 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:12 1175:14 123:13 1175:14 123:13 1175:14 123:13 1175:14 123:13 1175:14 123:13 1175:14 123:13 1175:14 123:13 1175:14 123:13 1175:14 123:13 1175:	prepared pg 1249:18	1271:15			
present (i) 168:5 1239:22 presumably [i) 179:5 1179:23 118:2 presumably [ii) 179:5 1179:23 118:2 presumably [iii) 170:2 1255:22 previously [iii] 170:2 1247:15 problem [iii] 1252:1 1260:15 1251:23 1254:15 procedure [iii] 126:24 1274:15 1274:16 127	1250:9		redacting [1] 1196:15		
1239:22 presented (i) 1237:24 press (i) 1219:22 1272:19 1232:1		-		,	
presence (i) 1237:24 press (ii) 1219:22 presumably (ii) 1179:5 1179:23 1181:2 presume (ii) 1258:16 questioned (ii) 1258:16 questioned (ii) 1258:16 questioned (ii) 1258:16 questioned (ii) 1258:16 questioned (ii) 1258:16 questioned (ii) 1258:16 questioned (ii) 1258:16 questioned (ii) 1258:16 questioned (ii) 1258:16 questioned (ii) 1258:16 questioned (ii) 1258:16 questioned (ii) 1266:17 quickly (ii) 1276:16 1271:10 1188:23 1186:9 1176:10 1188:23 1186:9 1176:10 1188:23 1186:9 1176:10 188:23 1186:9 1176:10 1188:23 1186:9 1176:10 1188:23 1186:9 1176:10 1188:23 1186:19 1176:10 1188:23 1186:19 1176:10 1188:23 1186:19 1176:10 1188:23 1186:19 1176:10 1188:23 1186:19 1176:10 1188:2		qualify m 1204:4			1257:10
129:23 181:2 179:5 129:19 129:19 129:24 126:25 126:19 129:24 126:25 126:19 129:24 126:25 126:19 129:24 126:25 126:21 126:21 126:21 126:21 126:22 126:21 126:22 126:21 126:22 126:21 126:22 126:21 126:22 126:21 126:22 126:21 126:22 126:21 126:22 126:22 126:21 126:22 126:21 126:22 126:21 126:22 126:22 126:21 126:22 126:22 126:22 126:23 127:3 123:22 126:22 126:23 127:3 126:22 126:23 127:3 126:22 126:23 127:3 126:22 126:23 127:3 126:22 126:23 127:3 126:33 126:3				l	rid (1) 1261:15
presumely 13 1179:5 i179:23 1181:2 presume [2] 1195:19 1255:22 1247:15 problem [5] 1222:1 1240:15 1251:23 1254:15 1260:23 1262:18 procedure [4] 1187:17 1191:16 1193:1 1195:19 proceeding [1] 1274:12 proceeding [1] 1274:12 proceeding [2] 1274:12 proceeding [2] 1274:12 proceeding [3] 1224:17 139:14 1214:14 1215:12 proceeding [4] 1274:12 proceeding [2] 1274:12 proceeding [3] 1243:24.24 produce [3] 1243:24.24 produce [3] 1243:24.24 produce [3] 1243:24.24 produce [3] 1243:24.24 produce [3] 1243:24.24 professional [4] 1166:15 1274:35.15 1211:12 1217:16 1225:11 1211:13 1248:7,9 1249:18 Index Pag					
presume [2] 1195:19		questioned (1) 1258:16			1175:14 1178:10 1188:8
129:24 1236:15 1268:12 129:24 1236:15 1268:12 129:24 1236:15 1268:12 129:24 1236:15 1268:12 129:24 1236:15 1268:12 129:24 1236:15 1268:12 127:15 1247:15 1269:24 1270:67 1271:23 1		questious [4] 1176:14			
previously (2) 1170:2 1247:15 probe (2) 1255:7 1263:13 problem (5) 1222:1 1240:15 1251:23 1254:15 1267:19 procedure (4) 1187:7 119:16 1193:1 1195:19 proceeding (1) 1274:12 proceeding (2) 1274:26 1274:6 produce (2) 1243:24.24 produced (3) 1223:22 1242:9.23 Professional (4) 1191:19 1205:19 1166:15 1274:3,15,19 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 125:4 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 125:4 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 125:4 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 125:4 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (1) 1272:5 Table (2) 128:5, 11,13,21 Table (2) 128:2, 120:1 Table (3) 1223:20 Table (3) 1223:20 Table (4) 126:2 Table (3) 1224:7 Table (4) 126:2 Table (4) 126:2 Table (4) 126:2 Table (5) 1274:3, 15,19 Table (7) 1271:5 Table (8) 1223:1 Table (8) 1223:1 Table (8) 1223:1 Table (8) 1223:1 Table (8) 1223:1 Table (8) 1223:1 Table (8) 1223:1 Table (8) 1223:1 Table (8) 1223:1 Table (8) 1223:1 Table (9) 1223:2 Table (9) 1223:2 Table (1) 124:2 Table (2) 124:1 Table (2) 124:1 Table (3) 129:1 Table (2) 123:2 Table (3) 129:2 Table (1) 124:2 Table (1) 124:4 Table (1) 124:4 Table (1) 124:4 Table (1) 124:4 Table (1) 124:4 Table (1) 124:4 Table (1) 124:4 Table (1) 124:4 Table (1) 124:4 Table (1) 124:4 Table (2) 124:4 Table (3) 124:4 Table (2) 124:4 Table (3) 124:4		1209:24 1236:15 1268:12		1173:14,15 1174:12,15	
Transport 124:15 125:7 126:15 125:7 126:15 125:7 126:15 125:12 125:15 125:12 125:15 125:12 1		quickly [1] 1266:17			1250:2 1254:11.20 1256:9
problem [5] 1222:1 1240:15 1251:23 1254:15 1267:19 procedure [4] 1187:17 1191:16 1193:1 1195:19 proceedings [3] 1274:12 1271:23 1271:2	previously [2] 1170:2	quite (2) 1260:22 1262:18	* *		1264:22,22 1265:2
Problem					1269:21 1270:6,7 1271:10
Table 124 125 123 125 12	hioonisi 1533:/ 1503:13 (
1267:19 1267:19 1267:10 1267:10 1274:12 1274:16 1274	1240:15 1251:23 1254:15	Rm 1179-4		1206:19,21 1207:8,21,24	
procedure [4] 1187:17 1191:16 1193:1 1195:19 proceeding [1] 1274:12 proceeding [2] 1266:24 1274:6 produce [2] 1243:24,24 produced [2] 1223:22 1242:9.23 Professional [4] 1166:15 1274:3,15,19 procedure [4] 1187:17 reflects [3] 1228:9,13,19 reflects [3] 1228:128:11 reflects [3] 1228:128:11 reflects [3] 1228:128:11 reflects [3] 1228:128:11 reflects [3] 1228:128:11 reflects [3] 1228:128:11 reflects [3] 1228:128:11 reflects [3] 1228:128:11 reflects [3] 1228:128:11 reflects [3] 1228:128:11 reflects [3] 1228:128:11 reflects [3] 1228:128:11 reflects [3] 1228:128:11 reflects [3] 1228:128:12 reflects [3] 1228:128:12 reflects [3] 1228:128:12 reflects [3] 1228:12 reflects [3]	1267:19	= -			, , ,
191:16 1193:1 1195:19 Ray [7] 1189:22 1200:7 1213:14 1214:14 1215:12 178:14,20 1218:20 123:15.22 1226:18 1274:6 1214:24.24 1215:12 1223:11,14,17 1194:5 1192:11,14,17 1194:5 1202:14 1203:16 1216:5 1242:9.23 1211:12 1217:16 1225:11 1211:12 1217:16 1225:11 1241:3 1248:7,9 1249:18 1185:6,13.21 1188:11 1185:6,13.21 1188:11 1194:23 1206:23 1248:19 1266:21 1266	procedure (4) 1187:17				
proceeding [1] 1274:12 proceeding [2] 1266:24 1274:6 produce [2] 1243:24,24 produced [2] 1223:22 1242:9.23 Professional [4] 1166:15 1274:3.15.19 proceeding [2] 1274:12 1213:14 1214:14 1215:12 1213:14 1214:14 1215:12 1213:14 1214:14 1215:12 123:12 1215:21 123:14 1214:14 1215:12 123:12 1225:17 1240:22 1249:4 1268:20 1240:22 1249:4 1268:20 1250:14 1203:16 1216:5 1192:17 1192:18 1192:17 1192:18 1185:12 1192:17 1192:17 1192:17 1192:17 1192:17 1192:18 1192:17 1192:18 1185:12 1192:17 1192:17 1192:18 1192:17 1192:17 1192:18 1192:17 1192:18 1192:17 1192:18 119	1191:16 1193:1 1195:19				,
proceedings [3] 1266:24 1215:22 1226:18 1225:17 1240:22 1249:4 1268:20 1247:13 1269:2,3 1271:7 1240:22 1249:4 1268:20 1271:8 1271:8 1200:1	proceeding [1] 1274:12	1213:14 1214:14 1214:12			,
1274:6	proceedings [3] 1266:24	1215:22 1226:18	1223:11.12 1225:17	1247:13 1269:2.3 1271:7	
produce [1] 1243:24.24 produced [1] 1223:22 1242:9.23 Professional [4] 1152:11,14,17 1194:5 read [18] 1191:19 1205:19 1211:12 1217:16 1225:11 1182:12.15 1184:6,13,15 1185:6,13,21 1188:11 refuse [1] 1182:18 1185:7 reputation [2] 123:23 reputation [3] 123:23 reputation [3] 123:23 reputation [3] 126:16 1267:4 request [1] 123:23 reputation [3] 1261:12 reputation [3] 1261:13 ruled [3] 1260:13 rules [3] 1260:13 rules [3] 1260:13 rules [3] 1260:13 rules [4] 1260:13	1274:6	Raymond (*) 1185:17	_	_	routinely (2) 1183:15.17
1202:14 1203:16 1216:5 1892:17 1892:17 1205:16 1205:16 1205:19 1211:12 1217:16 1225:11 1205:19 1211:12 1217:16 1225:11 1205:19 1211:12 1217:16 1225:11 1205:19 1211:12 1217:16 1225:11 1205:19 1205:19 1205:19 1205:19 1206:13 1206:23 1248:19 1206:23 1248:19 1206:21	produce [1] 1243:24,24	1192:11,14,17 1194:5			Rule [1] 1261:12
Professional [4] 1211:12 1217:16 1225:11 1182:12.13 1184:6,13.15 request [1] 1185:12.19 1241:3 1248:7,9 1249:18 1185:6,13.21 1188:11 1194:23 1206:23 1248.19 run [1] 1266:21 Index Pag	produced [7] 1223:22	1202:14 1203:16 1216:5			
1166:15 1274:3.15.19 1241:3 1248:7.9 1249:18 1185:6,13.21 1188:11 1194:23 1206:23 1248:19 rum [1] 1266:21 Index Pag		read (10) 1191:19 1205:19	1103:13 15 1104:5 17 17		rules (1) 1260:13
Index Pag					run [1] 1266:21
	1100:15 1274:3,15,19	1741:2 1748:\/\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	1.03.0,13-1 1100.11	1194:23 1200:23 1248.19	
Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey	Turtin Sabaaidaa =	T 2			Index Page 6

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER Brown & Williamson vs. V. vac Multi-Page Runfols - Thornton Volume 8

/olume 8				7/18/9
Runfola (3) 1166:15	1210:16,23 1211:13,14	1227:15 1234:15	stack (3) 1265:8 1268:18	1177.23,24 1179:3 1188
1274:2.15	1211:20 1212:2,3 1214:6 1214:20:20 1215:10	mit [10] 1218:13 1221:23	1259:1	1188:7 1222:17 1237.24
	1219:15 1224:6,7 1226:13	1241:1 1253:17 1258:7	stamp (1) 1239:6	1242:3 1247:10 1269:3 1269:11
<u>-S-</u>	1226:15.21 1227:12	1259:1 1265:4 1268:5,6 1270:3	stand (1) 1269:23	summations (1) 1247:
S (7) 1166:8,12 1168:3,8	1232:3 1235:9,22 1252:8		stands (1) 1264:8	support (2) 1181:18
1170:1 1274 4.19	1252:9 1271:7	sits [2] 1178:17 1244:5	start [7] 1192:9,21	1231:3
Sandefur (3) 1177/9.14	SCR4EROC [1] 1228:17	sitting [1] 1221:14	1196:22 1199:9 1244:22	supposed (1) 1227:17
1195:5	separately (1) 1268:14	#1100:18.20 	1254:4 1269:13	,
(avč (2) 1220:5,9	September p 1242,23	1	started (2) 1206:7	supposedly (3) 1219:1- 1223:18 1236:21
Mary [9] 1171:2 1219:10	1245:23 1246:1.3.5	situations (1) 1190:17	1270:10	Supreme (3) 1260:4.12
1222:7,15,15 1224:24	sequence [1] 1270:14	Six (1) 1265:8	starting (5) 1249:2,22	1260:13
1253:15,20 1269:11	scrious (s) 1177:15	Smith (7) 1167:7 1200:21 1201:7,24 1202:1 1203:17		surciy (2) 1216:15 1231
##Y# [13] 1170:3 1180:21 1180:22 1222:2 1229:5	1179:11 1216:22 1217:8	1218:3	statement (1) 1261:13	Surreon (5) 1197:2.12
1229:10.15 1230:1	1232:4.5,8 1266:13	smokeless (1) 1197:14	statements (1) 1191:7	1197:13,15,16
1232:15 1241:18 1254:16	SCHOUGHORS [4] 1178:24	1197:21,23		surprised (1) 1255:3
1258:14 1260:11 1265:12	1195:16,24 1266:23	smoking (4) 1174:10	States [4] 1182:22 1191:21 1207:10 1214:17	surreptitionsly [4]
1267:16	session [1] 1257:16	1175:23 1176:3 1192:2	stay (7) 1221:4 1234:3,5	1179:23 1180:1 1210:17
Cicnes (5) 1183:20	SCT (5) 1191:16 1247:9 1256:11.11 1257:20	so-called pr 1210:1	1260:5.6 1268:11 1269:14	1210:20
1184:17 1213:18		1226:10	stenotypy (1) 1274:7	Sustained pp 1185:4
cientific (34) 1171:24	sets (1) 1257:8	soil (1) 1210:12	Sticky (1) 1271:16	1226:9 1271:24
1172:5.8.11.12 1173:9.13 1173:14 1177:19.22	seven (i) 1257:5	someone (j. 1171:17	still pj 1265:9	swap [1] 1272:2
1179:2 1181:4 1195:17	shaking [1] 1252:12	1212:3 1266:11	stolen (i) 1194:13	sworm [1] 1170:2
1207.2,7 1212:4,7,11,19	shared [1] 1184:23	sometime (1) 1271:4	stop (1) 1176:13	system (t) 1175:11
1213:17 1214:2,7,13	Shea (2) 1168:1 1257:11	sometimes (3) 1182:9	stopped (1) 1266:24	
1217:3 1219:15 1232:1,3	Sheffler (56) 1167:12	1227:5		T
1232:6 1234:7 1236:24 1237:15.16 1247:3.4	1169:4 1170:7 1172:24 1173:8 1175:12 1185:2	somewhere (2) 1246:15	Street (3) 1167:6,15,19	Tm 1169:2
cientist (1) 1223:4	1188:1 1196:3,19 1218:21	1256:12	strike (nj. 1185:2 1195:9 1220:15,18 1226:8	table (3) 1256:3.8 1270:
cientists (1) 1179:12	1221:2 1222:21 1223:1	BOTTY (8) 1189:8 1220:5.7 1220:8.11.13,14 1250:6	l .' -	takes [4] 1218:12.18
	1223:12 1229:22 1232:9		strongly [1] 1254:9 studies (19) 1177:19.22	1255:19 1265:5
cott (1) 1168:13	1240:13,19 1241:8 1242:6	SOUTCE (1) 1172:23	1179:2 1185:24 1186:1,2	tape (2) 1263:9 1272:8
cratch [1] 1254:15	1243;2 1247;2,7 1251;2 1251;14,21 1254;11	Sources [1] 1173:24	1186:B.10 1189:12.16	tapes (i) 1272:3
cruggs [1] 1257:10	1265:16 1266:3.10 1267:6	South [1] 1167:2	1190:7,13,15 1191:4,6	telling pr 1219:14
calcd[1] 1258:22	1267:18 1268:23 1269:19	Southampton [15]	1193:9 1195:18 1234:7	1258:12 1267:12
econds [1] 1261:15	1272:21	1200:17 1203:2,11,19,24	1247:1	tells [2] 1260:12 1261:4
	shifted (1) 1236:12	1206:3 1247:17 1250:23	study [23] 1172;5 1174:6	temporary (1) 1262:11
60 (34) 1170:9,11.13.19	shifting [2] 1238:20	1251:11	1174:8 1176:2 1178:3,6 1181:4,21 1185:20,22,23	ten (1) 1245:5
1170:22 1183:18,23 1187:10,19 1191;22	1266:5	Spalding [1] 1167:6	1186:3,6,6,9 1189:9	tenure (2) 1188:14
1107-2 1108-17 1100-10	short (1) 1261:13	speaking [2] 1172:22	1193:4 1199:5.7,8 1205:6	1189:16
1201:20.22 1210:22	show (s) 1174:19,23	1200:13	1253:22,23	terminated (1) 1194:7
1211:1 1215:15,17,18	1227:19 1228:15 1259:24	Special (u. 1166:13	stuff (21 1208:20 1222:15	terms (4) 1189:19
1222:20 1223:10 1224:14	1259:24 1260:8.9	specific [24] 1174:8,23	subject (4) 1179:5	1190:20 1210:11 1231:1
1229:11 1241:15 1242:2 1246:21.22 1252:3	showed pj. 1235:10 1258:20 1271:7	1175:21 1177:1,4,17	1219:11 1234:22 1248:11	Тепту рт 1200:18.20
	showing (1) 1261:13	1178:6 1180:15,18 1181:21,22 1187:14	subjects (1) 1200;1	1203:16
	shown (1) 1235:11	1191:23 1199:6 1208:5	subsequent (2) 1191:3	tested (1) 1204:23
eeing (1) 1190:20	shows [1] 1262:23	1209:24 1216:4 1222:12	1197:14	testified (10) 1183:6
ell (1) 1266:23	shred (6) 1227:16	1222:12 1229:1,4 1230:7	subsequently [1]	1212:18 1218:8 1221:18
mi-annual (5) 1186:9	1236:18 1237:9 1239:8	1237:8 1242:19 1248:10	1212:2	1233:9 1238:10,12,16 1247:15 1262:20
1188:7 1220:20 1238:22	1239:10,15	1265:11	aubstantiate (2) 1217:8 1239:22	testimony (s) 1171:5
1245:22	sides [1] 1196:6	specifically [19] 1175:16 1177:8 1181:3	ruch (1) 1216:7.10.11.12	1179:19 1238:2.18.19
emi-supuniy (1)	sign m 1239:20	1175:16 1177:8 1181:5	[220:2 1223:2 1230:14	1252:4,6 1267:17
1218:9	significant na 1176-14	1188:13 1192:24 1195:3	1241:19 1268:15,15	therefore [t] 1252:11
CBG [11] 1191:18 1192:11	eignificant (10) 1176:16 1176:20 1224:18 1225:2	1195:9 1207:4 1209:6	1274:6	Thereupon (1) 1273:6
1192:12,17 1193:6 1200:4 1206:23 1210:6 1212:10	1227:8 1230:14 1231:6,9	1214:22 1216:4 1217:6	suddenly (1) 1219:24	thinking [1] 1195:11
1216-7 1252-24	1231:11 1241:13	1234:24 1245:1 1256:4 1269:15	suggesting (1) 1253:14	third (11 1266:1
cnding (7) 1210:7,19	similar(1) 1173:15		suggestion pj 1222-22	Thomas (3) 1166:14
1212:9 1235:13.17,18,19	simple (nj. 1213:18	specificity (t) 1230:11	1222:23	1274:2,15
	simply [4] 1208:1	specifics (1) 1216:16 1243:9 1244:2	Suite [2] 1167:14,19	Thorston (21) 1185:16
1179:15 1188:4,6 1189:3	1220:19 1246:13 1247:13		summaries (*) 1172:19	1185:17 1188:3,10.12
	Simpson (1) 1260:22	speech [1] 1239:20	1173:19 1174:17 1247:3	1192:11,14,17 1193:5.9
1207:14 1208:8,9,10,14	single (*) 1172:3,5	spending (1) 1235:2	1251:7	1194:5 1200:7 1202:14
1400 33 1400				
1208:23 1209:14,16	1203:22 1217:7.11	spends (t) 1259:13	summary (12) 1174:13	1203:16 1213:14 1214:1

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCOLLITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER
Brown & Williamson vs. W 'd Multi-Page Thornton's - York
Volume 8 _______ 7/18/96

126:18 126:18 126:18 127:12 126:18 129:19 129:19 129:19 120:19 1	Volume 8				7/18/96
Thorston's (i) 1189-21 189-2		1268:5	1191:3		yellow [1] 1271:13
1306-1218-122-13 1306-1218-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-					yesterday [1] 1265:24
128-19 128-12 128-12 128-19 1				1187-18 188-14 189-14	
thought at 1264-8 threw 1126-12 threw		l	1 :::: .	1191:1 1194:8,14 1195:14	
three(ii) 128:12 173:128		1		1170.00 1171.10 1170.7	
threw (ii) 120-12 through ten 173-24 through ten 173-12 through ten				■	1100.7
1200-11 127-12 119-11 120-16 1221-7 120-11 120-16 1221-7 120-11 120-16 1221-7 120-11 120-16 1221-7 120-11 120-16 1221-7 120-11 120-16 120-17 120-12					
1182-16 1191-12 1195-17 1295-17					J
1972 124					
1372.2 124:15 126:17 2382.3 1246:1.4.5 2382.5 1246:1.286:14		l .	violation (1) 1261:12		
2345.33 2366-1 1266-1 2366-1		type (t) 1172:15	violations [1] 1262:2		
1286.5.13 1266.12 1266.18 1266.12 1266.18 1266.12 1266.18 1266.12 1266.18 1266.12 1266.18 1266.12 1266.18 1266.12 12					
This product 1166:19	1248:6.7.9 1249:2,23,24				
10.05.71 1.206.22 12.09.8 12.09.1 12	1265:5,13 1266:1 1268:14				
1255-1258-12 1271-20	Thursday (1) 1166:19		V\$(1) 1166:7		
1232-4 1238-14 1232-4 1238-13 1232-4 1238-13 1232-5 1233-5 1232-5 123	thwarted (i) 1266:18				
172-21 1	times (4) 1203:4 1214:16			witness (40) 1175:6,21	
1239-11 1269-12 1269-13 1269		U.K. (2) 1193:18 1200:12			
1868 1869 1868					
100 100					
1188:11 1197:14.17.23 1188:9 1188:9 1188:20				1187:21,24 1189:15	
1197.23 1197.23 1197.24 1181.20 1197.24 1181.20 1281.24 1292.22 1296.10 1297.24 1292.12 1296.10 1297.24 1292.12 1296.10 1297.24 1292.12 1296.10 1297.24 1292.12 1296.10 1297.24 1292.12 1296.10 1297.24 1292.12 1296.10 1297.24 1292.12 1296.10 1297.24 1292.12 1296.10 1297.24 1292.12 1296.10 1297.24 1292.12 1296.10 1297.24 1297					
181:20 117:14 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12			***************************************		
1218-18 1220-22 1236-10 1236-12 1236	today (19) 1177:3 1178:2				
1286:11 227:5124 1238:10 127:524 1238:10 127:524 1238:10 127:524 1238:10 127:524 1238:10 127:52		under (2) 1259:9 1260:6			
1238-10.12.16 1246-15 1266-12 1399-1 1911-116.11 1399-1 1911-116.11 1265-17 1266-18 12					
1249:17 1256:11 1266:12 1196:1 1210:14 1256:29 1256:13 1266:17.17 1266:9 1267:17 1266:19 1267:17 1266:19 1267:17 1266:19 1267:17 1266:19 1267:17 1266:19 1267:17 1266:19 1267:17 1266:19 1267:17 1266:19 1267:17 1266:19 1267:17 1266:19 1267:17 126					
1265:17 1265:17 1265:17 1265:17 1265:17 1265:17 1267:17 1266:17 1267:17 1266:17 1267:17 1266:17 1267:17 1266:17 1267:17 1266:17 1267:17 1266:17 1267:17 1266:17 1267:17 1266:17 1267:17 1266:17 1267	1249:17 1256:11 1266:12		1246:11,16,20 1272;4		
126:14 126:15 127:14 126:16 126:14 129:12 126:12 126:14 126:16 126:14 126:16 126:14 126:16 126:12 1					
1266-4 1220:7 1234-23 1256-23 1256-23 1256-23 1256-23 1256-23 1256-23 1267-4 1168-13 1268-13 1	tomorrow [1] 1265:7				
1267-4 1260-1 1261-14 1261-16 1261-1		understands [2] 1256:21	1		
cock		1256:23		1	
1199:14 1216:6 126:14 126:14 126:14 126:12 126:14 126:14 126:12		understood (1) 1252:9			
1261:23			= " 3"		
				1	•
United (4) 1182:22 1191:21 1207:9 1214:17 1165:18 1167:3 rail [1] 1229:9 ranscript [2] 1274:5,7 rail [2] 1240:5 1163:4.5 rial [2] 1240:5.10 1243:15 1244:2 1254:18 1259:8 ranscript [2] 1274:5,7 rial [2] 1240:5 10 1243:15 1244:2 1254:18 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1263:4.5 rial [2] 1240:5 10 1243:15 1244:2 1254:18 1259:8 unprofessional [2] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1259:8 unprofessional [1] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1263:7 uncasonable [2] 1259:8 uncasonable [2] 1259		1.1			
Tower					
116:18 116:18 116:18 116:18 116:18 1229:9 1268:12 1248:15 1249:5 1268:12 1249:5 1268:12 1249:5 1268:12 1249:5 1268:12 1249:5 1268:12 1249:5 1268:12 1249:5 1268:12 1249:5 1268:12 1249:5 1268:12 1249:5 1268:12 1249:5 1268:12 1249:5 1268:12 1249:5 1268:12 1249:5 1268:12 1249:5 1268:12 1249:5 12					
110/13		_		writing (1) 1271:22	
126:18 1274:5.7			1195:7 1200:10.11,12.14		
		unlikely (1) 1240:5	• · · • · · • · • · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1263:4,5 1263:4,5 1263:17 1263:7 1263:7 1263:3 1259:8 1259:9 1259:					
126::3 1240:5.10 125::4 125::4 125::8 1259:8 1259:8 1259:8 1259:8 1257:15 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1260::0 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1260::0 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1257:9 1259:8 1259:8 1259:24 1259:24 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:1.2					
1243:15 1244:8 1254:18 1257:18 1257:19 1257:9 1257:9 1257:19:24 1257:19:24 1257:19:24 1257:19:24 1257:19 1257:	, ,		1263:3		
1257:9 1257:19:24 1251:16 1265:19 1265:19 1268:3 129:24 1260:8,9,18 129:24 127:16 1262:1.2 1	1243:15 1244:8 1254:18		West (2) 1167:15.19		
193:23 1233:4 1257:4 1257:19.24 1261:16 1206:20 1207:1 1231:3 1239:10 1240:9 1243:14 1248:8 1258:20 1259:24 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1260:8.12 1260:8.9.18 1260:8.12			whereby (1) 1195:19		
1257:19.24 1261:16 1265:19 1231:3 1239:10 1240:9 1243:14 1239:20 1259:24 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1259:24 1260:8.9.18 1269:1.2 126	tried (m) 1174:20 1176:4		whisper (1) 1263:7	-X-	
1239:10 1240:9 1243:14 1248:8 1258:20 1259:24 1248:8 1258:20 1259:24 1259:24 1260:8,9,18 1259:24 1274:6 1255:24 1274:6 1265:13 1265:13 1266:20 1267:14 1266:20 1267:14 1270:21 1270:21 1266:8,12 1170:18 1181:13 1187:8 1198:16 121:1:16 1225:16 1247:10 1261:24 1262:1.2 1267:19 1274:5 1274:5 1228:3 1274:5 1228:3 1274:5 1228:3 1274:5 1228:3 1274:5 1228:3 1274:5 1228:3 1274:5 1228:3 1274:5 1228:3 1274:5 1228:3 1274:5 1228:3 1274:5 1228:3 1274:5 1228:3 1274:5 127			whispered [1] 1263:3	X 521 1169:1.2	
Tue (iii 1171:21,24 1259:24 1260:8,9,18 1259:24 1260:8,9,18 1259:24 1260:8,9,18 1259:24 1260:8,9,18 1260:124 1260:25:14 1260:25:14 1260:25:14 1260:25:14 1260:25:14 1260:25:14 1260:25:14 1260:25:14 1260:25:15 1260:25:16 1241:22 1245:12 1246:10 1247:15 1225:25 1267:13 1267:13 1277:		1239:10 1240:9 1243:14	wbole (t) 1270:21		
1172:2 1189:6 1194:8.10 1198:22 1237:18 1251:14 1255:24 1274:6 Truth (5) 1237:13,14,14 1237:17 1268:3 Try (9) 1220:23 1229:11 1230:2 1245:15 1265:10 1246:20 1247:15.18 1258:6,10,13 1267:19 1274:5 Wild (1) 1229:24 Williams (1) 1167:8 Williams (1) 1167:8 Williams (2) 1166:4 Williams (2) 1166:4 Index Page Index Page			Wigand [19] 1166:8.12	-Y-	
1198:22 1237:18 1251:14 1255:24 1274:6 1255:24 1274:6 1247:15.18 1258:6,10,13 1247:15.18 1258:6,10,13 1247:15.18 1258:6,10,13 1267:19 1274:5 1228:3 1239:2 1229:11 1230:2 1245:15 1265:10 1230:2 1245:15 1265:10 1246:10 1247:15.18 1258:6,10,13 1267:19 1274:5 Wild [1] 1229:24 William [1] 1167:8 Williamson [42] 1166:4 Williamson [43] 1166:4 Index Page Index Page			117G:1.8 1181:13 1187:8		
1255:24 1274:6 Tuth (5) 1237:13,14,14 1237:17 1268:3 Ty (9) 1220:23 1229:11 1230:2 1245:15 1265:10 1230:2 1245:15 1265:10 1230:2 1245:15 1265:10 1265:13 1266:20 1267:14 Ty (1) 1167:20 Vancouver (2) 1172:7 Williamson (42) 1166:4 Williamson (42) 1166:4 Fig. 1247:15,18 1258:6,10,13 1267:19 1274:25 Wild (1) 1229:24 Williamson (42) 1166:4 Williamson (42) 1166:4 Fig. 1247:15,18 1258:6,10,13 1248:15 1248:1	1198:22 1237:18 1251:14				
Tutle (5) 1237:13,14,14 1237:17 1268:3 Ty (9) 1220:23 1229:11 1230:2 1245:15 1265:10 1230:2 1245:15 1266:20 1266:20 1267:14 Vancouver (2) 1172:7 Williamson (42) 1166:4 Vancouver (2) 1172:7 Williamson (42) 1166:4 Index Page		1446.146			
Ty (9) 1220:23 1229:11 V (1) 1167:20 Williams (1) 1167:8 Williams (1) 1167:8 Williams (1) 1166:4 Years (1) 1221:22 Index Page	truth (5) 1237:13,14,14		1267:19 1274:5		
Ty 1220:23 1229:11 V 1 1167:20 William 1167:8 1249:19 Vancouver 1 1172:7 Williamson 1266:20 1267:14 Vancouver 1 1172:7 Williamson 1266:4 Years 11 1221:22 Index Page					
1265:13 1266:20 1267:14 Vancouver [2] 1172:7 Williamson [43] 1166:4 Years [1] 1221:22 Index Page			William or 1167:8		
Index Page		VanCouver [1] 1172:7	Williamson [42] 1166:4	years [1] 1221:22	
			<u> </u>		I. dan Dara D
	والمستعدين المتعدد	7			index rage 8

Curtin, Schneider & Lawrey